Exploring Biomedical Databases with BioNav

Abhijith Kashyap CSE Dept SUNY Buffalo Buffalo, NY 14260 rk39@cse.buffalo.edu

Vagelis Hristidis School of Computing and Information Sciences Florida International University Miami, FL 33199 vagelis@cis.fiu.edu

Michalis Petropoulos CSE Dept SUNY Buffalo Buffalo, NY 14260 mpetropo@cse.buffalo.edu sotiria.tavoulari@vale.edu

Sotiria Tavoulari Pharmacology Dept Yale University New Haven, CT 06520

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we present the BioNav system, a novel search interface that enables the user to navigate large number of query results by organizing them using the MeSH concept hierarchy. First, the query results are organized into a navigation tree. Previous works expand the hierarchy in a predefined static manner. In contrast, BioNav uses an intuitive navigation cost model to decide what concepts to display at each step. Another key difference from previous works is that the hierarchy is not strictly displayed level-by-level.

Categories and Subject Descriptors

H.3.3 [Information Storage and Retrieval]: Information Search and Retrieval - search process, selection process and information storage and filtering. H.3.6 [Information Storage and Retrieval]: Digital Libraries - Dissemination, User Issues. J.3 [Computer Applications]: Life and Medical Sciences -Medical Information Systems.

General Terms

Algorithms, Design and Human Factors.

1. INTRODUCTION

The last decade has been marked by unprecedented growth in both the production of biomedical data and the amount of published literature discussing it. The MEDLINE database, on which the PubMed search engine operates, contains over 18 million citations, and the database is growing at the rate of 500,000 new citations each year [6]. Keyword search queries on these databases return a large results set from which only a small portion is relevant for the user. Many solutions have been proposed to address this problem - commonly referred to as information-overload [2,3]. These approaches can be broadly classified into two classes: ranking and categorization, which can also be combined.

BioNav belongs primarily to the categorization class, which is ideal for this domain given the rich concept hierarchies available for biomedical data, such as MeSH [5]. Each citation in MEDLINE is associated with several MeSH concepts in two ways: (i) by being explicitly annotated with them, and (ii) by

Permission to make digital or hard copies of all or part of this work for personal or classroom use is granted without fee provided that copies are not made or distributed for profit or commercial advantage and that copies bear this notice and the full citation on the first page. To copy otherwise, or republish, to post on servers or to redistribute to lists, requires prior specific permission and/or a fee.

Conference'04, Month 1-2, 2004, City, State, Country.

Copyright 2004 ACM 1-58113-000-0/00/0004 ... \$5.00.

mentioning them in their text. Since these associations are provided by PubMed, a relatively straightforward interface to navigate the query result would first attach the citations to the corresponding MeSH concept nodes and then let the user navigate the concept hierarchy.

> MESH (313) Amino Acids, Peptides, and Proteins (310) Proteins (307) Nucleoproteins (40) Histones (15) 4 more nodes 45 more nodes 2 more nodes Genetic Processes (193) Gene Expression (92) Transcription, Genetic (25) 1 more node 10 more nodes 96 more nodes

Figure 1. Static Navigation on the MeSH Concept Hierarchy

Figure 1 displays a snapshot of such an interface where shown next to each node label is the count of distinct citations in the subtree rooted at that node. For this example, we assume that the user queries MEDLINE for the nucleoprotein "prothymosin" and his personal interests are reflected in the two indicated concepts, corresponding to two independent lines of research related to prothymosin. A typical navigation starts with revealing the children of the root ranked by their citation count, and is continued with expanding one or more of them, revealing their ranked children and so on. Further, the user may click on a concept and inspect the attached citations. A similar interface and navigation method is used by GoPubMed [5] and e-commerce sites, such as Amazon and eBay.

The above static -same for every query result- navigation method is problematic when the MeSH hierarchy (or one with similar properties) is used for categorization for the following reasons:

- The massive size of the MeSH hierarchy (over 48,000 concept nodes) makes it challenging for the users to effectively navigate to the desired concepts and browse the associated records.
- A substantial number of *duplicate* citations are introduced in the navigation tree of Figure 1, since each one of the 313 distinct citations is associated with several concepts. Specifically, the total count of citations in Figure 1 is 40,195.

BioNav, first proposed in [2]introduces a dynamic navigation method that depends on the particular query result at hand. The query results are attached to the corresponding MeSH concept nodes as in Figure 1, but then the navigation proceeds differently. The key action on the interface is the *expansion* of a node that selectively reveals a ranked list of descendant (not necessarily children) concepts, instead of simply showing all its children.



Figure 2. BioNav Interface after Querying for "prothymosin" and its Associated Subtree Information Window

BioNav Interface. Figure 2 shows the state of the BioNav interface after querying for "prothymosin". The root of the MeSH tree can be seen on the left pane. The right pane shows the results under the current node of the navigation tree of the left pane. The user can also view more information about a subtree rooted at a given concept node by clicking on the **O** icons that appear next to each concept label. The table of the pop-up window in Figure 2 shows various characteristics of the current subtree, including the fact that the 313 citations in the query result are spread over 3940 concept nodes.



Figure 3 BioNav Navigations

BioNav Navigation. Figure3a shows the initial expansion of the root node where only 8 (highlighted) descendants are revealed compared to 98 children shown in Figure 1. The concepts are ranked by their relevance to the user query and the number of them revealed depends on the characteristics of the query results. Next, assuming the user is interested in the "Amino Acids..." node and judging that the 310 attached citations is still a big number, she expands it by clicking on the ">>>" hyperlink next to it in Figure 3.b. The user inspects the 6 concepts revealed and decides that she is not interested in any of them. Hence, she expands the "Amino Acids..." node one more time in Figure 3.c, revealing 4 additional concepts. Note that "Nucleoproteins" is an example of

a descendant node being revealed, since its parent node "Proteins" (shown in Figure 1) is not revealed in Figure 3c. In Figure 3d, the user expands the "Nucleoproteins" node and reveals "Histones", one of the two key concepts for the query. Note that to reach "Histones" using the BioNav navigation method only 23 concepts are revealed, after 4 node expansions, compared to 152 concepts, also after 4 expansions, with the static navigation method of Figure 1.

2. SYSTEM OVERVIEW

The MeSH concept hierarchy is a labeled tree [5], where the label of a child concept node is more specific than the one of its parent. Once the user issues a keyword query, PubMed–BioNav uses the Entrez Programming Utilities (eUtils)[4]–returns a list of citations, each associated with several MeSH concepts. BioNav constructs a *Navigation Tree* by attaching to each concept node of the MeSH concept hierarchy a list of its associated citations and removing all nodes with no citations, while preserving the ancestor-descendent relationship. The *Navigation TreeT(V, E, r)* is the maximum embedding of an initial navigation tree $T_{I}(V_{I}, E_{I}, r)$ such that no node $n \in V$ is labeled with an empty results list L(n), excluding the root (in order to maintain the tree structure and avoid the creation of a forest).



Figure 4. Navigation Tree, EdgeCut and Component Subtrees

We model a node expansion at a given navigation step as an *EdgeCut* in the navigation tree. In Figure 4, the dashed line illustrates the EdgeCut corresponding to the expansion of the node "Amino Acids…". This expansion reveals the highlighted concepts of Figure 4, which include a subset of the highlighted concepts in Figure 3c. The EdgeCut consists of the edges ("Proteins", "Transcription Factors") and ("Proteins", "Nucleoproteins"). A valid EdgeCut of a tree T(V,E,r) is an EdgeCut $C \subseteq E$ such that no two edges in C appear in a path from the root to a leaf node. We only consider valid EdgeCuts, because invalid EdgeCuts lead to unintuitive navigations.

Component Subtrees An EdgeCut causes the creation of two types of *component subtrees*, a single *upper* and possibly multiple *lower*. Figure 4 shows two lower component subtrees, rooted at "Transcription Factors" and "Nucleoproteins", and an upper component subtree comprising of the node being expanded "Amino Acids…" and all nodes not in any of the lower component subtrees.

2.1 Navigation and Cost Model

BioNav initiates a navigation by constructing the initial results tree and displaying its root to the user. Subsequently, the user navigates the tree by performing one of the following actions on a given component subtree I(n) rooted at concept node n:

- 1. **EXPAND** *I*(*n*): The user clicks on the ">>>" hyperlink next to node *n* and causes an *EdgeCut*(*I*(*n*)) operation to be performed on it, thus revealing a new set of concept nodes from the set *I*(*n*).
- 2. **SHOWRESULTS** I(n): By performing this action, the user sees the results list L(I(n)) of citations attached to the component subtree I(n).
- 3. **IGNORE** *I*(*n*): The user examines the label of concept node *n*, ignores it as unimportant and moves on to the next revealed concept.

This navigation process continues until the user finds *all* the citations she is interested in. The cost of a navigation is computed as follows: We assign (i) cost of 1 to each newly revealed concept node that the user examines after an EXPAND action, (ii) a cost of *B* (determined empirically) to each EXPAND action the user executes, and (iii) cost of 1 to each citation displayed after a SHOWRESULTS action. BioNav estimates the navigation cost by taking in to account the probability that the user will execute an EXPLORE or SHOWRESULTS action at each step of the navigation. The EXPLORE probability is proportional to the number of unique results in the corresponding component subtree, whereas *normalized* entropy of the component subtree is used as the SHOWRESULTS probability.

2.2 System Architecture

The BioNav system architecture is shown in Figure 5 and consists of two parts. The off-line components populate the BioNav database with the MeSH concept hierarchy and the associations of the MEDLINE citations with MeSH concepts to decrease the online response time. The on-line components support BioNav's web interface and the EXPAND/SHOWRESULTS user actions.

Off-Line Pre-Processing The BioNav database is first populated with the MeSH hierarchy (48441 concepts) available at [5]. Next, the associations of MEDLINE citations and MeSH concepts are populated by issuing a query on PubMed for each concept *c*. For each citation t_i returned by the query, we add the association $\langle c_t, t_i \rangle$ in our database.

On-Line Operation Upon receiving a keyword query from the user, BioNav executes the same query against the MEDLINE database and retrieves only the IDs (PubMed Identifiers) of the citations in the query result using the ESearch utility [4]. Next, the navigation tree is constructed by retrieving the MeSH concepts associated with each citation in the query result from the BioNav database. Initially, the root of this navigation tree is shown to the user. Subsequently, when she requests an EXPAND action on the root, the Navigation Subsystem executes a heuristic algorithm to compute the best EdgeCut and the roots of the resulting component subtrees are visualized on the web-interface.

3. DEMONSTRATION PLAN

In this demonstration we will show the effectiveness of BioNav in reducing the overall navigation cost as compared to *static*

navigation. Specifically, we show that BioNav, (a) shows significantly fewer number of concept labels as compared to static navigation, (b) selects *relevant* descendents, as opposed to children, to be revealed at each expansion step and (c) number of EXPAND actions is comparable to that of static navigation. The BioNav system is available at <u>http://db.cse.buffalo.edu/bionav</u> and the static navigation is available through the information pop up window (right side of Figure 2) for the users to compare in parallel. Further, we provide a set of sample queries at BioNav website for users with no biomedical background. A limitation of the current deployment of BioNav is that we do not allow queries that return more than 3000 results as this would slow down the system. The user is asked to refine such a query before BioNav processes it.



Figure 5. BioNav System Architecture

Backup Scenario. Since BioNav depends on eUtils [4] to download the list of citation IDs and high-level information for each citation returned by a query, we propose to download this data for a set of sample queries.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Vagelis Hristidis was partially supported by NSF grants IIS-0811922 and IIS-0534530.

REFERENCES

- A. Kashyap, V. Hristidis, M. Petropoulos and S. Tavoulari: BioNav: Effective Navigation on Query Results of Biomedical Databases. ICDE, 2009.
- [2] K. Chakrabarti, S. Chaudhuri and S.W. Hwang: Automatic Categorization of Query Results. SIGMOD Conference 2004: 755-766.
- Z. Chen and T. Li: Addressing Diverse User Preferences in SQL-Query-Result Navigation. SIGMOD Conference 2007: 641-652(2008)
- [4] Entrez Programming Utilities. [Online]. Available: <u>http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/query/static/eutils_help.</u> <u>html</u>
- [5] Medical Subject Headings(MeSH). http://nlm.nih.gov/mesh/
- [6] Transinsight GmbH GoPubMed. <u>http://gopubmed.org</u>.
- J.A. Mitchell, A.R. Aronson and J.G. Mork: *Gene Indexing: Characterization and Analysis of NLM's GeneRIFs*. In Proceedings of the AMIA Symposium, 8th–12th November, Washington, DC, pp. 460–464, 2003