

Iterative methods

vs. direct methods

Matrix splitting

Want to solve $A\vec{x} = \vec{b}$

Split A :

$$A = S - T$$

$$Ax = b \Rightarrow (S - T)\vec{x} = \vec{b}$$

$$\Rightarrow S\vec{x} = T\vec{x} + \vec{b} \quad (*)$$

Start with x_0 and solve

$$S\vec{x}_1 = T\vec{x}_0 + b$$

repeat

$$S\vec{x}_{k+1} = T\vec{x}_k + b$$

S is chosen so that each of these steps is fast. (diagonal, or triangular, e.g.) Does this converge?

Subtract off the exact solution in $(*)$

$$S(\vec{x}_{k+1} - \vec{x}) = T(\vec{x}_k - \vec{x})$$

$$S\vec{e}_{k+1} = T\vec{e}_k$$

$$\text{So } \vec{e}_{k+1} = S^{-1}T \vec{e}_k$$

this relates the error at iteration $k+1$ to the error at iteration k .

$$\text{So } \|\vec{e}_{k+1}\| = \|S^{-1}T \vec{e}_k\| \leq \|S^{-1}T\| \|\vec{e}_k\|$$

If $\|S^{-1}T\| \ll 1$, this can converge quickly, but often this is not the case.

We'll highlight two common splittings:

① Jacobi Method

$$\text{Choose } S = \text{diag}(A) = D$$

$$\boxed{D \vec{x}_{k+1} = -(L+U) \vec{x}_k + \vec{b}}$$

where $A = D + L + U$; L is the strictly lower triangular part of A , & U the strictly upper triangular part.

This is trivial to solve in each iteration. It is also trivial to parallelize, but usually slow.

② Gauss-Seidel Method

$$\boxed{(D+L) \vec{x}_{k+1} = -U \vec{x}_k + \vec{b}}$$

Lower triangular system. Solve by forward subst. Sequential. Generally faster convergence than Jacobi.

§5.4 Conv. Rates + Stopping Criteria

Iterative methods (vs. direct methods)

$$\text{Cost} = \frac{\text{Cost}}{\text{iter}} \cdot \# \text{ iter}$$

Conv. rate

$$e_k = x_k - x^* \quad \text{error at iter } k.$$

conv w/ rate r if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\|e_{k+1}\|}{\|e_k\|^r} = C, \quad C > 0$$

• $r = 1, C < 1 \Rightarrow$ linear

• $r > 1 \Rightarrow$ superlinear

• $r = 2 \Rightarrow$ quadratic

• $r = 3 \Rightarrow$ cubic

gain
constant # of
correct digits
each iter
 $-(\log_p(C))$

} $r \times$ as many
correct digits as
in prev iter.

Ex. 5.6. Conv rates.

$$10^{-2}, 10^{-3}, 10^{-4}, 10^{-5}, \dots$$

$$10^{-2}, 10^{-4}, 10^{-6}, 10^{-8}$$

$$10^{-2}, 10^{-3}, 10^{-5}, 10^{-8}, 10^{-12}$$

$$10^{-2}, 10^{-4}, 10^{-8}, 10^{-16}$$

linear $C = 10^{-1}$

linear $C = 10^{-2}$

superlinear, not quad.

quadratic.

plot $\log \|e_{k+1}\|$ vs $\log \|e_k\|$
slope = r

Stopping criteria

don't know e_k
 $\|x_{k+1} - x_k\| / \|x_k\|$

— not difficult
to give bound.

Power Iteration

$$\boxed{\vec{x}_{k+1} = A\vec{x}_k}$$

\vec{x}_{k+1} converges to eigenvector corresponding to largest eigenvalue.

$$x_0 = \alpha_1 v_1 + \alpha_2 v_2 + \dots + \alpha_n v_n$$

$$\begin{aligned} A^k x_0 &= \alpha_1 \lambda_1^k v_1 + \alpha_2 \lambda_2^k v_2 + \dots + \alpha_n \lambda_n^k v_n \\ &= \lambda_1^k \left(\alpha_1 v_1 + \alpha_2 \left(\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right)^k v_2 + \dots + \alpha_n \left(\frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_1}\right)^k v_n \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$j > 1, \left(\frac{\lambda_j}{\lambda_1}\right)^k \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty$$

Algorithm : normalized power iteration

x_0

for $k=1, 2, \dots$

$$y_k = Ax_{k-1}$$

$$x_k = y_k / \|y_k\|$$

end

note: convergence depends on ratio $\left|\frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1}\right|$

- possible to choose a shift σ s.t.

$$\left| \frac{\lambda_{2'} - \sigma}{\lambda_{1'} - \sigma} \right| < \left| \frac{\lambda_2}{\lambda_1} \right|$$

Speed up convergence

- but still we can only find one of two extreme eigenvalues

Inverse Iteration

$$A^{-1}v = \frac{1}{\lambda}v$$

- power iteration on A^{-1} would find largest eigenvalue of A^{-1} (smallest eigenvalue of A)

algorithm:

x_0

for $k=1, 2, \dots$

$$Ay_k = x_{k-1}$$

$$x_k = y_k / \|y_k\|$$

end

- each iteration requires a solve, do
by e.g., LU or Cholesky

- inverse iteration can find any
 λ of A with (appropriate choice of
shift)

$$A - \sigma I$$

smallest eigenvalue is
one closest to ~~σ~~ σ .

- especially useful if estimate to λ
is available

4.5.2 Inverse Iteration

$$A^{-1}v = \frac{1}{\lambda}v$$

- find smallest (in mag.) eigenvalue of A
largest (") " A^{-1}

Algorithm: inverse iteration

x_0 = arbitrary nonzero vec.

for $k=1, 2, \dots$

$$\text{Solve } Ay_k = x_{k-1}$$

$$x_k = y_k / \|y_k\|_2$$

[next vector]

[normalize]

- can solve by, e.g., LU or Cholesky
- with appropriate choice of shift σ , inverse iteration can compute any eigenvalue of $A - \sigma I$
 - smallest eigenval. of $A - \sigma I$ is λ closest to σ
 - rapid convergence if shift is close to λ
- particularly useful if estimate to λ is available

4.5.3 Rayleigh Quotient Iteration

- if x is an approx. eigenvec. of A , then

$$\lambda x \approx Ax$$

can be interpreted as L.S. problem, with normal equations

$$x^T x \lambda = x^T A x \Rightarrow \lambda = \frac{x^T A x}{x^T x}$$

- can accelerate power method

Rayleigh Quotient

Matlab 'eig'

$$Av = \lambda Bv$$

QZ or generalized Schur decomposition

- ignores symmetry of A or B

Cholesky - symm A + spd B

Algorithm: Rayleigh Quotient Iteration

x_0 = arbitrary non-zero vector

for $k=1, 2, \dots$

$$\sigma_k = x_{k-1}^T A x_{k-1} / x_{k-1}^T x_{k-1}$$

$$\text{Solve } (A - \sigma_k I) y_k = x_{k-1}$$

$$x_k = y_k / \|y_k\|_\infty$$

end

[compute shift]

[next vector]

[normalize]

- quadratic convergence rate (for non-defective eigenval)
- cubic for normal matrices (including symm)
 $AA^T = A^T A$

- but must refactor the matrix each iteration - high cost

4.5.4 Deflation

- Assume found (λ_1, \vec{x}_1) s.t. $A\vec{x}_1 = \lambda_1 \vec{x}_1$

- Find H (e.g., Householder) s.t. $H\vec{x}_1 = \alpha \vec{e}_1$

$$\text{Then } \frac{1}{\alpha} \vec{x}_1 = H^{-1} \vec{e}_1$$

$$HAH^{-1} \vec{e}_1 = HA \left(\frac{1}{\alpha} \vec{x}_1 \right) = \frac{1}{\alpha} HA \vec{x}_1 = \frac{\lambda_1}{\alpha} H \vec{x}_1 = \lambda_1 \vec{e}_1$$

i.e. first column of HAH^{-1} is $\lambda_1 \vec{e}_1$

4.5.5 Simultaneous Iteration

Algorithm: Simultaneous Iteration

$X_0 =$ arbitrary $n \times p$ matrix (rank p) $p < n$.
for $k = 1, 2, \dots$

end $X_k = AX_{k-1}$ [next matrix]

Let $S_0 = \text{span}(X_0)$

$S = \text{span}(\{\vec{v}_1, \dots, \vec{v}_p\})$ first p eigenvectors
(largest $|A|$)

- If no non-zero vec in S is $\perp S_0$, then

$$S_k = A^k S_0$$

has a basis

$$X_k = A^k X_0$$

- and if $|\lambda_r| > |\lambda_{p+1}|$

$$S_k \rightarrow S$$

→ "subspace iteration"

Problems:

- need to rescale cols. of X_k

- each column converging to mult. of v_1

- X_k becoming increasingly ill-conditioned

Therefore ... , orthogonalize →

QR Iteration

$$A_0 = A$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$A_k = Q_k R_k$$

QR decomposition
of A_k

$$A_{k+1} = R_k Q_k$$

end

Note:

- $A_{k+1} = R_k Q_k = Q_k^T A_k Q_k$

so A_{k+1} is similar to A_k (same λ 's)

- stable algorithm, since it is based on orthogonal similarity transforms.

- Under certain conditions, A_k converges to Schur form of A :
 $A = Q T Q^*$
 T triangular