



# UC RIVERSIDE - Faculty Instruction Evaluation (iEval)

## Winter 2010

Course: CS 262 Section: 001 - ALGORITHMS AND DATA STRUCTURES  
 Instructor: Neal E. Young  
 Home Dept.: Computer Science & Engineering

Enrollment: 8  
 Respondents: 8  
 Response Rate: 100%

Enrollment: 1836  
 Respondents: 1435  
 Response Rate: 78%

Enrollment: 6144  
 Respondents: 4807  
 Response Rate: 78%

Questions	Course							Department				Campus					
	5 High	4	3	2	1 Low	N/A	Mean	Med	SD	% tile	Mean	Med	SD	% tile	Mean	Med	SD
1 I had a strong desire to take this course	5	2	-	-	-	1	4.7	5.0	0.5	85	3.8	4.0	1.1	89	4.0	4.0	1.1
2 I attended class regularly	7	-	-	-	-	1	5.0	5.0	0.0	100	4.3	5.0	1.0	100	4.4	5.0	0.9
3 I put considerable effort into this course	4	3	-	-	-	1	4.6	5.0	0.5	75	4.2	4.0	0.9	80	4.3	5.0	0.9
4 I gained a good understanding of the course content	3	3	-	1	-	1	4.1	4.0	1.1	42	4.2	4.0	0.9	63	4.2	4.0	1.0
5 I normally spent at least two hours preparing for each hour of class	1	5	1	-	-	1	4.0	4.0	0.6	59	3.6	4.0	1.2	66	3.8	4.0	1.2
6 Instructor was prepared and organized	7	1	-	-	-	-	4.9	5.0	0.4	91	4.5	5.0	0.8	96	4.4	5.0	0.9
7 Instructor used class time effectively	8	-	-	-	-	-	5.0	5.0	0.0	100	4.4	5.0	0.9	100	4.4	5.0	1.0
8 Instructor was clear and understandable	5	2	1	-	-	-	4.5	5.0	0.8	62	4.3	5.0	0.9	82	4.3	5.0	1.0
9 Instructor exhibited enthusiasm for subject and teaching	7	-	1	-	-	-	4.8	5.0	0.7	83	4.3	5.0	1.0	92	4.4	5.0	0.9
10 Instructor respected students; sensitive to and concerned with their progress	7	1	-	-	-	-	4.9	5.0	0.4	92	4.2	5.0	1.0	96	4.4	5.0	1.0
11 Instructor was available and helpful	7	1	-	-	-	-	4.9	5.0	0.4	94	4.3	5.0	1.0	96	4.3	5.0	1.0
12 Instructor was fair in evaluating students	7	1	-	-	-	-	4.9	5.0	0.4	94	4.3	5.0	1.0	96	4.3	5.0	1.0
13 Instructor was effective as a teacher overall	7	1	-	-	-	-	4.9	5.0	0.4	93	4.3	5.0	1.0	96	4.3	5.0	1.0
14 The syllabus clearly explained the structure of the courses	3	3	2	-	-	-	4.1	4.0	0.8	27	4.4	5.0	0.9	65	4.5	5.0	0.9
15 The examinations reflected the materials covered during the course	5	-	3	-	-	-	4.3	5.0	1.0	57	4.4	5.0	0.9	72	4.4	5.0	0.9
16 The required readings contributed to my learning	5	2	1	-	-	-	4.5	5.0	0.8	69	4.2	5.0	1.0	76	4.3	5.0	1.0
17 The assignments Contributed to my learning	4	3	1	-	-	-	4.4	4.5	0.7	58	4.3	5.0	0.9	77	4.3	5.0	1.0
18 Supplementary materials (e.g. films, slides, videos, guest lectures, iLearn, web pages, etc) were informative	4	2	2	-	-	-	4.3	4.5	0.9	62	4.2	5.0	1.0	74	4.3	5.0	1.0
19 The course overall as a learning experience was excellent	6	1	1	-	-	-	4.6	5.0	0.7	85	4.1	4.0	1.0	85	4.2	5.0	1.0
20 Q1	1	-	-	-	-	7	5.0	5.0	0.0	100	4.1	4.0	1.1	100	4.2	5.0	1.1
21 Q2	-	-	-	-	-	8	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4.0	4.0	1.0	n/a	4.2	5.0	1.1
22 Q3	-	-	-	-	-	8	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4.1	4.0	1.0	n/a	4.2	5.0	1.1
23 Q4	-	-	-	-	-	8	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4.1	4.0	1.0	n/a	4.2	5.0	1.1
24 Q5	-	-	-	-	-	8	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4.1	4.0	1.0	n/a	4.2	5.0	1.1

The number of N/A is not included in the Mean, Median, and S.D. calculation.



# UC RIVERSIDE - Faculty Instruction Evaluation (iEval)

## Winter 2010

Course: CS 262 Section: 001 - ALGORITHMS AND DATA STRUCTURES  
Instructor: Neal E. Young

**Question # 25:** Please comment on how the instructor's teaching helped your learning of the material in this course. Please give serious thought to your comments. Your comments will be studied by the professor after the grade and performance evaluation of your work have been submitted and may be used in changing future offerings of the course. In addition, these comments are placed in the instructor's file and maybe used for purposes of evaluating the instructor's teaching. The information collected will remain anonymous

- Neal's class is excellent, although I didn't get all the advanced materials he taught. I wish I could this is the only course I have so I can spend more time on it.
- Dr. Young is a great teacher who cares a lot about the students. The class was an interesting learning experience.
- This course is good. I found game theory is interesting. Auction and mechanism design are two parts I like most.
- This course was helpful in understanding the current research areas in algorithms. Some of the topics were very complex which made it difficult to understand. The course was interesting overall.
- Excellent professor. I really enjoy attending his lectures. He is very helpful and friendly.