

Course: CS 215 Section: 001 - THEORY OF

COMPUTATION Instructor: Neal E. Young

Home Dept.: Computer Science & Engineering

Tracking #: 535

Enrollment: 14 Enrollment: 1305 Enrollment: 26901 Respondents: 13 Respondents: 574 Respondents: 19299 Response Rate: 93% Response Rate: 44% Response Rate: 72%

					Cours	se			Department C			Camp	ous	
Questions		4	3	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u> Low	N/A	Mean	Med SD	% tile	Mean	Med SD	% tile	Mean	Med SD
1 I had a strong desire to take this course	6	6	1	-	-	-	4.4	4.0 0.7	75	3.8	4.0 1.1	77	3.9	4.0 1.0
2 I attended class regularly	11	2	-	-	-	-	4.8	5.0 0.4	83	4.4	5.0 0.9	86	4.5	5.0 0.8
3 I put considerable effort into this course	8	5	-	-	-	-	4.6	5.0 0.5	92	4.1	4.0 0.9	76	4.2	4.0 0.8
4 I gained a good understanding of the course content	8	4	-	-	-	1	4.7	5.0 0.5	92	4.1	4.0 0.8	87	4.2	4.0 0.8
5 I normally spent at least two hours preparing for each hour of class	5	4	4	-	-	-	4.1	4.0 0.9	94	3.3	3.0 1.2	70	3.5	4.0 1.1
6 Instructor was prepared and organized	11	2	-	-	-	-	4.8	5.0 0.4	100	4.4	5.0 0.7	92	4.4	5.0 0.8
7 Instructor used class time effectively	11	2	-	-	-	-	4.8	5.0 0.4	100	4.4	5.0 0.8	92	4.4	5.0 0.8
8 Instructor was clear and understandable	10	2	1	-	-	-	4.7	5.0 0.6	92	4.4	5.0 0.8	90	4.3	5.0 0.9
9 Instructor exhibited enthusiasm for subject and teaching	12	1	-	-	-	-	4.9	5.0 0.3	100	4.4	5.0 0.8	96	4.5	5.0 0.8
10 Instructor respected students; sensitive to and concerned with their progress	11	2	-	-	-	-	4.8	5.0 0.4	92	4.3	5.0 0.8	92	4.4	5.0 0.9
11 Instructor was available and helpful	11	2	-	-	-	-	4.8	5.0 0.4	92	4.3	4.0 0.8	92	4.3	5.0 0.9
12 Instructor was fair in evaluating students	12	-	1	-	-	-	4.8	5.0 0.6	92	4.3	5.0 0.8	92	4.3	5.0 0.9
13 Instructor was effective as a teacher overall	13	-	-	-	-	-	5.0	5.0 0.0	100	4.3	5.0 0.8	100	4.4	5.0 0.9
14 The syllabus clearly explained the structure of the courses	10	1	2	-	-	-	4.6	5.0 0.8	83	4.4	5.0 0.8	83	4.4	5.0 0.8
15 The examinations reflected the materials covered during the course	11	2	-	-	-	-	4.8	5.0 0.4	100	4.3	4.0 0.9	92	4.3	5.0 0.9
16 The required readings contributed to my learning	10	3	-	-	-	-	4.8	5.0 0.4	100	4.1	4.0 0.9	92	4.2	4.0 0.9
17 The assignments Contributed to my learning	10	3	-	-	-	-	4.8	5.0 0.4	100	4.2	4.0 0.9	92	4.3	4.0 0.9
18 Supplementary materials (e.g. films, slides, videos, guest lectures, iLearn, web pages, etc) were informative	4	5	2	-	-	2	4.2	4.0 0.8	71	4.1	4.0 0.9	67	4.2	4.0 0.9
19 The course overall as a learning experience was excellent	10	2	-	-	-	1	4.8	5.0 0.4	100	4.2	4.0 0.9	93	4.2	4.0 0.9
20 Q1	1	-	-	-	-	12	5.0	5.0 0.0	100	4.7	5.0 0.7	100	4.5	5.0 0.9
21 Q2	1	-	-	-	-	12	5.0	5.0 0.0	100	4.6	5.0 0.7	100	4.4	5.0 1.0
22 Q3	1	-	-	-	-	12	5.0	5.0 0.0	100	4.7	5.0 0.7	100	4.4	5.0 1.0
23 Q4	1	-	-	-	-	12	5.0	5.0 0.0	100	4.7	5.0 0.7	100	4.5	5.0 0.9
24 Q5	1	-	-	-	-	12	5.0	5.0 0.0	100	4.7	5.0 0.7	100	4.5	5.0 0.9

st The number of N/A is not included in the Mean, Median, and S.D. calculation.

UCR STUDENT EVALUATION OF TEACHING FORM OFFICE OF INSTRUCTIONAL DEVELOPMENT Winter 2008

COMPUTER SCIENCE & ENGINEERING DEPARTMENTAL SUMMARY

PERCENT COMPLETED: 43.98

FORMS COMPLETED: 574

ENROLLMENT: 1305

1 I had	La strong desire	to take this course				
1. That	High	to take this course			Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	177	188	146	38	20	5
		MEAN: 3.8	MEDIAN: 4	STD. DEV: 1.1		
2. I atte	ended class regul	arly				
	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	339	162	35	22	10	6
		MEAN: 4.4	MEDIAN: 5	STD. DEV: .9		
3. I put	considerable ef	fort into this course				
	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	199	273	70	15	11	6
		MEAN: 4.1	MEDIAN: 4	STD. DEV: .9		
4. I gai	ned a good unde	rstanding of the cou	rse content			
	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	185	289	57	16	6	21
		MEAN: 4.1	MEDIAN: 4	STD. DEV: .8		
5. I nor	mally spent at le	ast two hours prepa	ring for each hour of c	elass		
	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	102	165	167	92	41	7
		MEAN: 3.3	MEDIAN: 3	STD. DEV: 1.2		
6. Instr	uctor was prepar	red and organized				
	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	293	230	38	5	3	5

		WILAIN. 4.4	WIEDIAN. 3	SID. DEV/		
7.	Instructor used class	time effectively				
	High	-			Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	297	215	45	6	5	6
		MEAN 44	MEDIAN			
		MEAN: 4.4	MEDIAN: 5	STD. DEV: .8		
8.	Instructor was clear a	and understandable				
	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	311	184	56	12	5	6
		MEAN: 4.4	MEDIAN: 5	STD. DEV: .8		
9.	Instructor exhibited e	enthusiasm for subject	ct and teaching			
	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	320	172	61	11	4	6
		MEAN: 4.4	MEDIAN: 5	STD. DEV: .8		
10	Instructor respected s	students: sensitive to	and concerned with t	hair prograss		
10.	High	students, sensitive to	and concerned with the	nen progress	Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	285	195	75	9	3	7
		MEAN: 4.3	MEDIAN: 5	STD. DEV: .8		
11.	Instructor was availal	ble and helpful				
	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	274	198	84	8	3	7
		MEAN: 4.3	MEDIAN: 4	STD. DEV: .8		
12.	Instructor was fair in	evaluating students				
	High	2			Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	285	194	70	10	5	10
		MEAN: 4.3	MEDIAN: 5	STD. DEV: .8		
	T					
13.	Instructor was effecti	ve as a teacher overa	ali		T	3. T / A
	High (5)	(4)	(2)	(2)	Low	N/A
NUMBER	(5) 284	(4) 214	(3) 51	(2) 11	(1) 5	(0)
NUMBER	204	214	31	11	J	9

MEAN: 4.4 MEDIAN: 5 STD. DEV: .7

		MEAN: 4.3	MEDIAN: 5	STD. DEV: .8		
14.	The syllabus clearly e	explained the structu	are of the courses			
	High	•			Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	295	199	57	10	6	7
		MEAN: 4.4	MEDIAN: 5	STD. DEV: .8		
15.	The examinations ref	ected the materials	covered during the co	urse		
	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	271	185	83	15	5	15
		MEAN: 4.3	MEDIAN: 4	STD. DEV: .9		
16.	The required readings	s contributed to my	learning			
	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	228	189	120	17	8	12
		MEAN: 4.1	MEDIAN: 4	STD. DEV: .9		
17.	The assignments Con	tributed to my learn	ing			
	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	258	205	71	17	9	14
		MEAN: 4.2	MEDIAN: 4	STD. DEV: .9		
18.	Supplementary mater	ials (e.g. films, slide	es, videos, guest lectur	es, iLearn, web page	es, etc) were in	formative
	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	211	186	135	12	7	23
		MEAN: 4.1	MEDIAN: 4	STD. DEV: .9		
19.	The course overall as	a learning experien	ce was excellent			
	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	246	214	81	13	8	12
		MEAN: 4.2	MEDIAN: 4	STD. DEV: .9		
20.	Q1					
	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	8	1	1	0	0	564

21.	Q2					
	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	7	2	1	0	0	564
		MEAN: 4.6	MEDIAN:	5 STD. I	DEV: .7	
22.	Q3					
	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	8	1	1	0	0	564
		MEAN: 4.7	MEDIAN:	5 STD. 1	DEV: .7	
23.	Q4					
	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	8	1	1	0	0	564
		MEAN: 4.7	MEDIAN:	5 STD. I	DEV: .7	
24.	Q5					
	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	8	1	1	0	0	564

MEAN: 4.7 MEDIAN: 5 STD. DEV: .7

MEAN: 4.7 MEDIAN: 5 STD. DEV: .7

UCR STUDENT EVALUATION OF TEACHING FORM OFFICE OF INSTRUCTIONAL DEVELOPMENT Winter 2008

UCR CAMPUSWIDE SUMMARY

	ENROLLME	NT: 26901	FORMS COMPLETED: 19299		PERCENT C	PERCENT COMPLETED: 71.74	
1.	I had a strong desire t	o take this course					
	High				Low	N/A	
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)	
NUMBER	6377	6757	4282	1220	533	130	
		MEAN: 3.9	MEDIAN: 4	STD. DEV	7: 1		
2.	I attended class regula	arly					
	High				Low	N/A	
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)	
NUMBER		5383	1108	399	180	138	
		MEAN: 4.5	MEDIAN: 5	STD. DEV	7: .8		
3.	I put considerable effe	ort into this course					
	High				Low	N/A	
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)	
NUMBER	7889	8612	2026	448	154	170	
		MEAN: 4.2	MEDIAN: 4	STD. DEV	7: .8		
4.	I gained a good under	estanding of the co	urse content				
	High	C			Low	N/A	
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)	
NUMBER	7456	8486	2212	616	183	346	
		MEAN: 4.2	MEDIAN: 4	STD. DEV	7: .8		
5.	I normally spent at lea	ast two hours prepa	aring for each hour of c	elass			
	High		-		Low	N/A	
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)	
NUMBER	4371	5665	5497	2672	899	195	
		MEAN: 3.5	MEDIAN: 4	STD. DEV	: 1.1		
6.	Instructor was prepare	ed and organized					
	High	Č			Low	N/A	
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)	
MUMDED	11020	6247	1220	201	104	110	

NUMBER

		MEAN: 4.4	MEDIAN: 5	SID. DEV:	.8	
7.	Instructor used class t	time effectively				
	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	10858	6104	1450	525	234	128
		MEAN: 4.4	MEDIAN: 5	STD. DEV:	.8	
8.	Instructor was clear a	nd understandable				
	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	10589	5670	1864	705	323	148
		MEAN: 4.3	MEDIAN: 5	STD. DEV:	.9	
9.	Instructor exhibited e	nthusiasm for subjec	ct and teaching			
	High	·	J		Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	12244	4941	1349	380	235	150
		MEAN: 4.5	MEDIAN: 5	STD. DEV:	.8	
10.	Instructor respected s	tudents; sensitive to	and concerned with	their progress		
	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	11289	5392	1767	437	261	153
		MEAN: 4.4	MEDIAN: 5	STD. DEV:	.9	
11.	Instructor was availab	ole and helpful				
	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	10392	5624	2540	357	224	162
		MEAN: 4.3	MEDIAN: 5	STD. DEV:	.9	
12.	Instructor was fair in	evaluating students				
	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	10221	5856	2378	404	250	190
		MEAN: 4.3	MEDIAN: 5	STD. DEV:	.9	
13.	Instructor was effective	ve as a teacher overa	all			
	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	10786	5828	1674	539	294	178

MEAN: 4.4 MEDIAN: 5 STD. DEV: .8

		MEAN: 4.4	MEDIAN: 5	STD. DEV:	.9	
14.	The syllabus clearly e	xplained the structu	re of the courses			
	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	10796	6278	1506	395	171	153
		MEAN: 4.4	MEDIAN: 5	STD. DEV:	.8	
15.	The examinations refl	ected the materials	covered during the co	urse		
	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	9932	6202	2141	460	241	323
		MEAN: 4.3	MEDIAN: 5	STD. DEV:	.9	
16.	The required readings	contributed to my l	learning			
	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	8897	6513	2754	577	321	237
		MEAN: 4.2	MEDIAN: 4	STD. DEV:	.9	
17.	The assignments Con	tributed to my learn	ing			
	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	9131	6464	2557	481	285	381
		MEAN: 4.3	MEDIAN: 4	STD. DEV:	.9	
18.	Supplementary mater	ials (e.g. films, slide	es, videos, guest lectur	es, iLearn, web p	pages, etc) were	informative
	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	8636	5804	3465	469	320	605
		MEAN: 4.2	MEDIAN: 4	STD. DEV:	.9	
19.	The course overall as	a learning experienc	ce was excellent			
	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	9165	6542	2147	683	374	388
		MEAN: 4.2	MEDIAN: 4	STD. DEV:	.9	
20.	Q1					
	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	314	84	31	9	12	18849

21. Q2						
	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	251	74	33	5	13	18923
		MEAN: 4.4	MEDIAN: 5	STD. DEV	: 1	
22. Q3						
	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	236	63	42	10	13	18935
		MEAN: 4.4	MEDIAN: 5	STD. DEV	: 1	
23. Q4						
	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	198	52	26	2	10	19011
		MEAN: 4.5	MEDIAN: 5	STD. DEV	.9	
24. Q5						
	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	200	50	26	3	9	19011

MEAN: 4.5 MEDIAN: 5 STD. DEV: .9

MEAN: 4.5 MEDIAN: 5 STD. DEV: .9

UCR STUDENT EVALUATION OF TEACHING FORM OFFICE OF INSTRUCTIONAL DEVELOPMENT WINTER QUARTER 2008

Instructor: Young, Neal E. Course: Computer Science 215

Home Dept.: Computer Science & Engineering

THEORY OF COMPUTATION

Enrollment: <u>14</u> (Excluding auditors and concurrently enrolled students)

Number of Forms Returned: 13

Tracking Number: 535

Below are the comments submitted by the students enrolled in the above listed course. All comments have been typed exactly as they were written, including any misspelling, grammatical errors, or punctuation errors. All comments submitted by a given student are grouped in a single paragraph, with a space separating the comments of different students. The number of students writing comments may be less than the number of forms returned because some of the students choose not to make comments.

The comments have been ordered on the basis of student responses (5-Strongly Agree, followed by 4-Agree, etc...) to the following questions: Section 2 - 1A: I had a strong desire to take this course. Section 2 - 8B: Instructor was effective as a teacher overall Section 2 - 6C: The course overall as a learning experience was excellent The comments of students who did not respond to the questions were typed last. It is hoped this ordering system will provide a useful but unbiased grouping of comments.

- 1. One of the better courses I've ever taken, both in content, class environment, and instruction.
- 2. Awesome course. Really great professor (teaching, homeworks, exams, discussions everything).
- 3. Good Experience. to have a course like this.
- 4. Actaully the course is good. professor Young is excellent. It is just the content, a bit hard to understand.
- 5. Awesome