



UC RIVERSIDE - Student Evaluation of Instructor, Instructional Development Courses - Fall 2005

Course: CS 141 Section: 001 - INTERMED DATA
STRUCS & ALGORITHM
Instructor: Neal E. Young
Home Dept.: Computer Science & Engineering
Tracking #: 704

Enrollment: 20
Respondents: 15
Response Rate: 75%

Enrollment: 1448
Respondents: 994
Response Rate: 69%

Enrollment: 50083
Respondents: 33948
Response Rate: 68%

Questions	Course							Department			Campus								
	<u>7</u> High	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u> Low	<u>N/A</u>	Mean	Med	SD	% tile	Mean	Med	SD	% tile	Mean	Med	SD
1 What is your overall rating of the instructor?	7	6	2	-	-	-	-	-	6.3	6.0	0.7	79	5.8	6.0	1.3	81	6.0	6.0	1.2
2 What is your overall rating of the course?	4	4	7	-	-	-	-	-	5.8	6.0	0.9	67	5.4	6.0	1.4	67	5.7	6.0	1.3
3 Did the instructor present the material in an organized, understandable manner?	6	6	3	-	-	-	-	-	6.2	6.0	0.8	70	5.9	6.0	1.3	79	6.0	6.0	1.3
4 Was the instructor concerned about students learning and understanding the course material?	10	3	2	-	-	-	-	-	6.5	7.0	0.7	80	5.7	6.0	1.4	86	6.0	6.0	1.3
5 Have you learned something you consider valuable?	5	6	3	1	-	-	-	-	6.0	6.0	0.9	61	5.6	6.0	1.5	74	5.9	6.0	1.4

* The number of N/A is not included in the Mean, Median, and S.D. calculation.

UCR STUDENT EVALUATION OF TEACHING FORM
OFFICE OF INSTRUCTIONAL DEVELOPMENT
Fall 2005

COMPUTER SCIENCE & ENGINEERING DEPARTMENTAL SUMMARY

ENROLLMENT: 1448

FORMS COMPLETED: 994

PERCENT COMPLETED: 68.65

1. What is your overall rating of the instructor?

	High						Low	N/A
	(7)	(6)	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	351	330	180	72	31	15	11	4
	MEAN: 5.8		MEDIAN: 6		STD. DEV: 1.3			

2. What is your overall rating of the course?

	High						Low	N/A
	(7)	(6)	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	248	290	237	117	58	27	13	4
	MEAN: 5.4		MEDIAN: 6		STD. DEV: 1.4			

3. Did the instructor present the material in an organized, understandable manner?

	High						Low	N/A
	(7)	(6)	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	425	290	154	65	28	16	9	7
	MEAN: 5.9		MEDIAN: 6		STD. DEV: 1.3			

4. Was the instructor concerned about students learning and understanding the course material?

	High						Low	N/A
	(7)	(6)	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	396	252	159	104	32	25	18	8
	MEAN: 5.7		MEDIAN: 6		STD. DEV: 1.4			

5. Have you learned something you consider valuable?

	High						Low	N/A
	(7)	(6)	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	407	190	156	156	35	24	19	7
	MEAN: 5.6		MEDIAN: 6		STD. DEV: 1.5			

UCR STUDENT EVALUATION OF TEACHING FORM
OFFICE OF INSTRUCTIONAL DEVELOPMENT
Fall 2005

UCR CAMPUSWIDE SUMMARY

ENROLLMENT: 50083

FORMS COMPLETED: 33948

PERCENT COMPLETED: 67.78

1. What is your overall rating of the instructor?

	High						Low	N/A
	(7)	(6)	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	14630	10112	5369	2144	863	406	265	159
	MEAN: 6		MEDIAN: 6		STD. DEV: 1.2			

2. What is your overall rating of the course?

	High						Low	N/A
	(7)	(6)	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	11405	10191	6740	3282	1236	541	323	230
	MEAN: 5.7		MEDIAN: 6		STD. DEV: 1.3			

3. Did the instructor present the material in an organized, understandable manner?

	High						Low	N/A
	(7)	(6)	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	15880	9049	4637	2303	1023	563	254	239
	MEAN: 6		MEDIAN: 6		STD. DEV: 1.3			

4. Was the instructor concerned about students learning and understanding the course material?

	High						Low	N/A
	(7)	(6)	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	16292	8826	4548	2429	831	437	314	271
	MEAN: 6		MEDIAN: 6		STD. DEV: 1.3			

5. Have you learned something you consider valuable?

	High						Low	N/A
	(7)	(6)	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	16005	7228	4446	3842	1042	609	541	235
	MEAN: 5.9		MEDIAN: 6		STD. DEV: 1.4			

UCR STUDENT EVALUATION OF TEACHING FORM
OFFICE OF INSTRUCTIONAL DEVELOPMENT
FALL QUARTER 2005

Instructor: Young, Neal E.
Home Dept.:
Enrollment: 20 (Excluding auditors and concurrently enrolled students)

Course: Computer Science 141
INTERMED DATA STRUCS & ALGORITHM

Number of Forms Returned: 15

Tracking Number: 704

Below are the comments submitted by the students enrolled in the above listed course. All comments have been typed exactly as they were written, including any misspelling, grammatical errors, or punctuation errors. All comments submitted by a given student are grouped in a single paragraph, with a space separating the comments of different students. The number of students writing comments may be less than the number of forms returned because some of the students choose not to make comments.

The comments have been ordered on the basis of student responses (5-Strongly Agree , followed by 4-Agree, etc...) to the following questions: Section 2 - 1A: I had a strong desire to take this course. Section 2 - 8B: Instructor was effective as a teacher overall Section 2 - 6C: The course overall as a learning experience was excellent The comments of students who did not respond to the questions were typed last. It is hoped this ordering system will provide a useful but unbiased grouping of comments.

1. Very helpful and clear.
2. Excellent.
3. Great teacher. Great class.
4. Definitely one of my favorite CS instructors. Nice guy, laid back, knows his stuff.
5. The instructor was great.
6. Good instructor who is very clear and reiterates important points. Would rather the course focus on practical rather than theoretical.
7. Very helpful and non-intimidating.
8. The teacher should get the class more involved.