CS 202 Advanced Operating Systems

Spring 21

Finishing Scheduling, starting Concurrency and Synchronization

Administrivia

- How is Lab going?
- Office hours
 - after class for quick items, or email me.
 - If there is a lot of interest, I can set up weekly time
- Academic honesty
 - Please follow rules
 - Please do not mispresent someone else's work as yours
 - Work hard, have fun, don't worry too much about grades

Parallel/distributed scheduling

- Parallel processing started early
 - Many hands make light work
 - I did my PhD in this area frustrating to work in it
 - » Competition with Moore's law
 - » Programming is hard
 - Scheduling when the machine is shared
 - » E.g., Gang scheduling
- COW/NOW projects (~early 1990s)
 - Opportunistically use resources when they are available
 - Scheduling is important subsystem
 - Heterogeneous schedulers such as Condor, Hence, ...

Parallel/distributed processing

- Late 1990s:
 - Grid computing
 - Clusters
 - Public resource computing
 - Other: example, peer to peer networks focused on content sharing
- 2000s:
 - Cloud computing
 - Data centers
- Scheduling nowadays: lets listen to the Hawk talk

INTRODUCTION TO CONCURRENCY AND SYNCHRONIZATION

Concurrency and synchronization

- Threads share the same address space and resources
- Threads cooperate on concurrent activities
- We are under the mercy of the scheduler; generally, the scheduler is unaware of the application
- What can go wrong?
 - Race conditions
 - Incorrect ordering of activities
- So, we need tools to synchronize
 - They should enable us to control concurrency effectively
 - We need to perform well
 - We need to handle some resulting issues: deadlocks, lock contention, convoying, scheduler interactions

Threads: Cooperation

• Threads voluntarily give up the CPU with thread_yield



Pong Thread

}

```
while (1) {
```

```
printf("pong\n");
```

```
thread_yield();
```

Synchronization

- For correctness, we need to control this cooperation
 - Threads interleave executions arbitrarily and at different rates
 - Scheduling is not under program control
- We control cooperation using synchronization
 - Synchronization enables us to restrict the possible interleavings of thread executions
- Problem occurs around shared resources
 - Variables, etc...

A First Example

 Suppose we have to implement a function to handle withdrawals from a bank account:

```
withdraw (account, amount) {
```

```
balance = get_balance(account);
balance = balance - amount;
put_balance(account, balance);
```

```
return balance;
```

```
}
```

- Now suppose that you and your father share a bank account with a balance of \$1000
- Then you each go to separate ATM machines and simultaneously withdraw \$100 from the account

Example Continued

- We'll represent the situation by creating a separate thread for each person to do the withdrawals
- These threads run on the same bank machine:

withdraw (account, amount) {
 balance = get_balance(account);
 balance = balance - amount;
 put_balance(account, balance);
 return balance;

```
withdraw (account, amount) {
    balance = get_balance(account);
    balance = balance - amount;
    put_balance(account, balance);
    return balance;
```

- What's the problem with this implementation?
 - Think about potential schedules of these two threads

Interleaved Schedules

• The problem is that the execution of the two threads can be interleaved:



• What is the balance of the account now?

Shared Resources

- Problem: two threads accessed a shared resource
 - Known as a race condition (remember this buzzword!)
- Need mechanisms to control this access
 - So we can reason about how the program will operate
- Our example was updating a shared bank account
- Also necessary for synchronizing access to any shared data structure
 - Buffers, queues, lists, hash tables, etc.

When Are Resources Shared?

- Local variables?
 - Not shared: refer to data on the stack
 - Each thread has its own stack
 - Never pass/share/store a pointer to a local variable on the stack for thread T1 to another thread T2
- Global variables and static objects?
 - Shared: in static data segment, accessible by all threads
- Dynamic objects and other heap objects?
 - Shared: Allocated from heap with malloc/free or new/delete



How Interleaved Can It Get?

How contorted can the interleavings be?

- We'll assume that the only atomic operations are reads and writes of individual memory locations
 - Some architectures don't even give you that!
- We'll assume that a context switch can occur at any time
- We'll assume that you can delay a thread as long as you like as long as it's not delayed forever

get_balance(account);
balance = get_balance(account);
balance =
balance = balance – amount;
balance = balance – amount;
put_balance(account, balance);
put_balance(account, balance);

What do we do about it?

- Does this problem matter in practice?
- Are there other concurrency problems?
- And, if so, how do we solve it?
 - Really difficult because behavior can be different every time
- How do we handle concurrency in real life?

Mutual Exclusion

- Mutual exclusion to synchronize access to shared resources
 - This allows us to have larger atomic blocks
 - What does atomic mean?
- Code that uses mutual called a critical section
 - Only one thread at a time can execute in the critical section
 - All other threads are forced to wait on entry
 - When a thread leaves a critical section, another can enter
 - Example: sharing an ATM with others
- What requirements would you place on a critical section?

Critical Section Requirements

Critical sections have the following requirements:

- 1) Mutual exclusion (mutex)
 - If one thread is in the critical section, then no other is
- 2) Progress
 - A thread in the critical section will eventually leave the critical section
 - If some thread T is not in the critical section, then T cannot prevent some other thread S from entering the critical section
- 3) Bounded waiting (no starvation)
 - If some thread T is waiting on the critical section, then T will eventually enter the critical section

4) Performance

 The overhead of entering and exiting the critical section is small with respect to the work being done within it

Mechanisms For Building Critical Sections

- Locks
 - Primitive, minimal semantics, used to build others
- Semaphores
 - Basic, easy to get the hang of, but hard to program with
- Monitors
 - High-level, requires language support, operations implicit
- Architecture help
 - Atomic read/write
 - » Can it be done?

How do we implement a lock? First try

pthread_trylock(mutex) {
 if (mutex==0) {
 mutex= 1;
 return 1;
 } else return 0;
}

Thread 0, 1, ...

...//time to access critical region
while(!pthread_trylock(mutex); // wait
<critical region>
pthread_unlock(mutex)

- Does this work? Assume reads/writes are atomic
- The lock itself is a critical region!
 - Chicken and egg
- Computer scientist struggled with how to create software locks

Dekker's Algorithm

Bool flag[2]I Int turn = 1;

flag[0] = 1;while (flag[1] != 0) { if(turn == 2) { flag[0] = 0;while (turn == 2); flag[0] = 1;} //if }//while critical section flag[0]=0; turn=2; outside of critical section

flag[1] = 1;while (flag[0] != 0) { if(turn == 1) { flag[1] = 0;while (turn == 1);flag[1] = 1;} //if }//while critical section flag[1]=0; turn=1; outside of critical section

Some observations

- This stuff (software locks) is hard
 - Hard to get right
 - Hard to prove right
- It also is inefficient
 - A spin lock waiting by checking the condition repeatedly
- Even better, software locks don't really work
 - Compiler and hardware reorder memory references from different threads
 - Something called memory consistency model
 - Well beyond the scope of this class ☺
- So, we need to find a different way
 - Hardware help