An Analysis of Linux Scalability to Many Cores





What are we going to talk about?

- Scalability analysis of 7 system applications running on Linux on a 48 core computer
 - Exim, memcached, Apache, PostgreSQL, gmake, Psearchy and MapReduce
- > How can we improve the traditional Linux for better scalability



Amdahl's law

 If α is the fraction of a calculation that is sequential, and 1 – α is the fraction that can be parallelized, the maximum speedup that can be achieved by using P processors is given according to Amdahl's Law

Speedup =
$$\frac{1}{\alpha + \frac{1-\alpha}{P}}$$



Introduction

- Popular belief that traditional kernel designs won't scale well on multicore processors
- > Can traditional kernel designs be used and implemented in a way that allows applications to scale?



Why Linux? Why these applications?

- Linux has a traditional kernel design and the Linux community has made a great progress in making it scalable
- The chosen applications are designed for parallel execution and stress many major Linux kernel components



How can we decide if Linux is scalable?

- Measure scalability of the applications on a recent Linux kernel
 - > 2.6.35-rc5 (July 12,2010)
- > Understand and fix scalability problems
- Kernel design is scalable if the changes are modest



Kind of problems

- > Linux kernel implementation
- > Applications' user-level design
- Applications' use of Linux kernel services



The Applications

- > 2 Types of applications
 - Applications that previous work has shown not to scale well on linux
 - Memcached, Apache and Metis (MapReduce library)
 - > Applications that are designed for parallel execution
 - > gmake, PosgtreSQL, Exim and Psearchy
- Use synthetic user workloads to cause them to use the kernel intensively
 - Stress the network stack, file name cache, page cache, memory manager, process manager and scheduler



Exim

- > Exim is a mail server
- Single master process listens for incoming SMTP connections via TCP
- The master forks a new process for each connection
- > Has a good deal of parallelism
- Spends 69% of its time in the kernel on a single core
- Stresses process creation and small file creation and deletion



memcached – Object cache

- In-memory key-value store used to improve web application performance
- Has key-value hash table protected by internal lock
- Stresses the network stack, spending 80% of its time processing packets in the kernel at one core



Apache – Web server

- > Popular web server
- > Single instance listening on port 80.
- One process per core each process has a thread pool to service connections
- On a single core, a process spends 60% of the time in the kernel
- Stresses network stack and the file system



PostgreSQL

- > Popular open source SQL database
- Makes extensive internal use of shared data structures and synchronization
- Stores database tables as regular files accessed concurrently by all processes
- For read-only workload, it spends 1.5% of the time in the kernel with one core, and 82% with 48 cores



gmake

- Implementation of the standard make utility that supports executing independent build rules concurrently
 - Unofficial default benchmark in the Linux community
- Creates more processes than there are core, and reads and writes many files
- Spends 7.6% of the time in the kernel with one core



Psearchy – File indexexer

- Parallel version of searchy, a program to index and query web pages
- Version in the article runs searchy indexer on each core, sharing a work queue of input files



Metis - MapReduce

- MapReduce library for single multicore servers
- Allocates large amount of memory to hold temporary tables, stressing the kernel memory allocator
- Spends 3% of the time in the kernel with one core, 16% of the time with 48 cores



Kernel Optimizations

- Many of the bottlenecks are common to multiple applications
- The solutions have not been implemented in the standard kernel because the problem are not serious on small-scale SMPs or are masked by I/O delays



Quick intro to Linux file system

- Superblock The superblock is essentially file system metadata and defines the file system type, size, status, and information about other metadata structures (metadata of metadata)
- Inode An inode exists in a file system and represents metadata about a file.
- Dentry A dentry is the glue that holds inodes and files together by relating inode numbers to file names. Dentries also play a role in directory caching which, ideally, keeps the most frequently used files on-hand for faster access. File system traversal is another aspect of the dentry as it maintains a relationship between directories and their files.

Taken from: http://unix.stackexchange.com/questions/4402/what-is-a-superblock-inode-dentry-and-a-file



Common problems

- The tasks may lock a shared data structures, so that increasing the number of cores increase the lock wait time
- The tasks may write a shared memory location, so that increasing the number of cores increases the time spent waiting for the cache coherence protocol



Common problems - cont

- The tasks may compete for space in a limited size shared hardware cache, so that increasing the number of cores increases the cache miss rate
- > The tasks may compete for other shared hardware resources such as DRAM interface
- > There may be too few tasks to keep all cores busy



Cache related problems

- Many scaling problems are delays caused by cache misses when a core uses data that other core have written
- Sometimes cache coherence related operation take about the same time as loading data from off-chip RAM
- The cache coherence protocol serializes modifications to the same cache line

Multicore packet processing

- > The Linux network stack connects different stages of packet processing with queues
 - A received packet typically passes through multiple queues before arriving at per-socket queue
- The performance would be better if each packet, queue and connection be handled by just one core
 - > Avoid cache misses and queue locking
- Linux kernels take advantage of network cards with multiple hardware queues

Multicore packet processing cont

- > Transmitting place outgoing packets on the hardware queue associated with the current core
- > Receiving configure the hardware to enqueue incoming packets matching a particular criteria (source ip and port) on a specific queue
 - Sample outgoing packets and update hardware's flow directing tables to deliver incoming packets from that connection directly to the core



Sloppy counters – The problem

- Linux uses shared counters for reference counting and to manage various resources
- Lock-free atomic inc and dec do not help because of cache coherence



Sloppy counter – The solution

- Each core holds a few spare references to an object
 - It gives ownership of these references to threads running on that core when needed, without having to modify the global reference count



Sloppy counter - cont

- > Core increments the sloppy counter by *V*:
 - 1. If local count $\geq V$
 - I. Get V references and decrement *local count* by V and finish
 - 2. Acquire $U \ge V$ references from the central counter and decrement the central counter by U
- > Core decrements the sloppy counter by V:
 - 1. Release V references for local use and decrement the local counter by V
 - 2. If $local count \ge threshold$ release spare references by decrementing local count and central count



Sloppy counter - cont

- > Invariant:
 - > \sum local counters+number of used resources = shared counter



Sloppy counter - use

- > These counters are used for counting references to:
 - > dentrys
 - vfsmounts
 - > dst_entrys
 - track amount of memory allocated by each network protocol (such as TCP and UDP)



Lock-free comparison

- There are situations where there are bottlenecks because of low scalability of name lookups in the dentry cache
 - The dentry cache speed ups lookup by mapping a directory and a file name to a dentry identifying the matching inode
 - When a potential dentry is located, the lookup code acquires a per-dentry spin lock to atomically compare fields of the dentry with the arguments

Lock-free comparison - cont

- > The search can be made lock-free
 - Use generation counter which is incremented after every modification. During modification temporarily set the generation counter to 0.
 - If the generation counter is 0, fall back to the lock-
 - ing protocol. Otherwise remember the value of the generation counter.
 - Copy the fields of the dentry to local variables. If the generation afterwards differs from the remembered value, fall back to the locking protocol.
 - Compare the copied fields to the arguments. If there is a match, increment the reference count unless it is 0, and return the dentry. If the reference count is 0, fall back to the locking protocol.



Per core data structures

- > Kernel data structures that caused scaling bottlenecks:
 - > Per super-block list of open files
 - Table of mount points
 - > Pool of free packet buffers



False sharing

- Some applications caused false sharing in the kernel
- A variable the kernel updated often was located on the same cache
 - line as a variable it read often



Evaluation



Figure 3: MOSBENCH results summary. Each bar shows the ratio of per-core throughput with 48 cores to throughput on one core, with 1.0 indicating perfect scalability. Each pair of bars corresponds to one application before and after our kernel and application modifications.



Technical details

- The experiments were made on a 48 core machine
 - > Tyan Thunder S4985 board
 - > 8*(2.4 GHz 6-core AMD Opteron 8431 chips)
 - Each core has 64Kb L1 cache and 512Kb L2 cache
 - > The cores on each chip share 6Mb L3 cache
 - Each chip has 8Gb of local off-chip DRAM



Exim



Figure 4: Exim throughput and runtime breakdown.



Exim - modifications

- Berkeley DB reads /proc/stat to find number of cores
 - Modification: Cache this information aggressively
- Split incoming queues messages across 62 spool directories, hashing by per connection pid



memcached





memcached - modifications

- False read/write sharing of IXGBE device driver data in the net_device and device structures
 - Modification: rearrange structures to isolate critical read-only members to their own cache lines
- Contention on dst_entry structure's reference count in the network stack's destination cache
 - Modification: use sloppy counter



Apache



Figure 6: Apache throughput and runtime breakdown.



PostgreSQL



Figure 8: PostgreSQL read/write workload throughput and runtime breakdown.



PostgreSQL - cont



Figure 7: PostgreSQL read-only workload throughput and runtime breakdown.



gmake



Figure 9: gmake throughput and runtime breakdown.



Psearchy/pedsort



Figure 10: pedsort throughput and runtime breakdown.



Metis



Figure 11: Metis throughput and runtime breakdown.

of california, riverside UCRIVERSIDE Summary of Linux scalability problems

Parallel accept		Apache
Concurrent accept system calls contend on shared socket fields.	\Rightarrow	User per-core backlog queues for listening sockets.
dentry reference counting		Apache, Exim
File name resolution contends on directory entry reference counts.	\Rightarrow	Use sloppy counters to reference count directory entry objects.
Mount point (vfsmount) reference counting		Apache, Exim
Walking file name paths contends on mount point reference counts.	\Rightarrow	Use sloppy counters for mount point objects.
IP packet destination (dst_entry) reference counting		memcached, Apache
IP packet transmission contends on routing table entries.	⇒	Use sloppy counters for IP routing table entries.

of california, riverside UCRIVERSIDE Summary of Linux scalability problems - cont

Protocol memory usage tracking		memcached, Apache
Cores contend on counters for tracking protocol memory consumption.	\Rightarrow	Use sloppy counters for protocol usage counting.
Acquiring directory entry (dentry) spin locks		Apache, Exim
Walking file name paths contends on per-directory entry spin locks.	\Rightarrow	Use a lock-free protocol in dlookup for checking filename matches.
Mount point table spin lock		Apache, Exim
Resolving path names to mount points contends on a global spin lock.	\Rightarrow	Use per-core mount table caches.
Adding files to the open list		Apache, Exim
Cores contend on a per-super block list that tracks open files.	\Rightarrow	Use per-core open file lists for each super block that has open files.

UC RIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA **Summary of Linux scalability** problems - cont

False sharing in net_device and device		memcached,	Apache, PostgreSQL
False sharing causes contention for read-only structure fields.	\Rightarrow	Place read-only fields on their own cache lines.	
False sharing in page			Exim
False sharing causes contention for read-mostly structure fields.	⇒	Place read-only fields on their own cache lines.	
inode lists			memcached, Apache
Cores contend on global locks protecting lists used to track inodes.	\Rightarrow	Avoid acquiring the locks when not necessary.	
Dcache lists			memcached, Apache
Cores contand on global locks protecting lists used to track dont rus	1	Avoid acquiring the locks when not necessary	

Cores contenu on grobal locks protecting lists used to track dentrys. \Rightarrow Avoid acquiring the locks when not necessary.

of california, riverside UCRIVERSIDE Summary of Linux scalability problems - cont

Per-inode mutex		PostgreSQL
Cores contend on a per-inode mutex in lseek.	\Rightarrow	Use atomic reads to eliminate the need to acquire the mutex.
Super-page fine grained locking		Metis
Super-page soft page faults contend on a per-process mutex.	⇒	Protect each super-page memory mapping with its own mutex.



Summary of Bottlenecks

Application	Bottleneck
Exim	App: Contention on spool directories
memcached	HW: Transmit queues on NIC
Apache	HW: Receive queues on NIC
PostgreSQL	App: Application-level spin lock
gmake	App: Serial stages and stragglers
pedsort	HW: Cache capacity
Metis	HW: DRAM throughput

Figure 12: Summary of the current bottlenecks in MOSBENCH, attributed either to hardware (HW) or application structure (App).



Summary

- Most applications can scale well to many cores with modest modifications to the applications and to the kernel
- More bottlenecks are expected to be revealed when running on more cores



Thank you

> This presentation is based on "An Analysis of Linux Scalability to Many Cores" by Silas Boyd-Wickizer, Austin T. Clements, Yandong Mao, Aleksey Pesterev, M. Frans Kaashoek, Robert Morris, and Nickolai Zeldovich (https://pdos.csail.mit.edu/papers/linux:osdi10 .pdf)