

## CS141: Intermediate Data Structures and Algorithms

# **Dynamic Programming**

Amr Magdy

#### **Programming?**



- > In this context, programming is a tabular method
  - > Storing previously calculated results in a table, and look it up later
- > Other examples:
  - Linear programing
  - Integer programming





#### Main idea











#### Main idea



> Do not repeat same work, store the result and look it up later



#### Main idea: DP vs Divide & Conquer



- > Do not repeat same work, store the result and look it up later
- Is MergeSort(A, 1, n/2) and MergeSort(A, n/2, n) the same?
- Is Fib(n-2) and Fib(n-2) the same?



#### Main idea: DP vs Divide & Conquer



- > Do not repeat same work, store the result and look it up later
- Is MergeSort(A, 1, n/2) and MergeSort(A, n/2, n) the same?
  - > No
- Is Fib(n-2) and Fib(n-2) the same?
  - > Yes



#### Main idea: DP vs Divide & Conquer



- > Do not repeat same work, store the result and look it up later
- Is MergeSort(A, 1, n/2) and MergeSort(A, n/2, n) the same?
  - > No
- Is Fib(n-2) and Fib(n-2) the same?
  - > Yes
- > Same function + same input  $\rightarrow$  same output (DP)
- > DC same function has different inputs









Name:



The table gives the price pi for a rod of metal of length i, for example, a piece of length 8 is sold for \$20. Given a rod of length 6 as shown in the picture, cut this rod to sell it for maximum price. For example, if you cut this rod into two pieces, each of length 3, you can sell it total for \$8+\$8=\$16.

























- Given a rod of length n and prices p<sub>i</sub>, find the cutting strategy that makes the maximum revenue
  - > In the example: (2+2) cutting makes r=5+5=10



> Naïve: try all combinations



- > Naïve: try all combinations
  - How many?



- > Naïve: try all combinations
  - How many?
    - > 0 cut: 1 1 cut: (n-1) 2 cuts:  ${}^{n-1}C_2 = \Theta(n^2)$
    - > 3 cuts:  ${}^{n-1}C_3 = \Theta(n^3) \dots n$  cuts:  ${}^{n-1}C_{n-1} = \Theta(1)$



- Naïve: try all combinations
  - > How many?
    - > 0 cut: 1 1 cut: (n-1) 2 cuts:  ${}^{n-1}C_2 = \Theta(n^2)$
    - > 3 cuts:  ${}^{n-1}C_3 = \Theta(n^3) \dots n$  cuts:  ${}^{n-1}C_{n-1} = \Theta(1)$
    - > Total:  $\Theta(1+n+n^2+n^3+...+n^{n/2}+...+n^3+n^2+n+1)$
    - Total: O(n<sup>n</sup>)



- > Naïve: try all combinations
  - How many?
    - > 0 cut: 1 1 cut: (n-1) 2 cuts:  ${}^{n-1}C_2 = \Theta(n^2)$
    - > 3 cuts:  ${}^{n-1}C_3 = \Theta(n^3) \dots n$  cuts:  ${}^{n-1}C_{n-1} = \Theta(1)$
    - > Total:  $\Theta(1+n+n^2+n^3+...+n^{n/2}+...+n^3+n^2+n+1)$
    - Total: O(n<sup>n</sup>)
- > Better solution? Can I divide and conquer?



- > Naïve: try all combinations
  - How many?
    - > 0 cut: 1 1 cut: (n-1) 2 cuts:  ${}^{n-1}C_2 = \Theta(n^2)$
    - > 3 cuts:  ${}^{n-1}C_3 = \Theta(n^3) \dots n$  cuts:  ${}^{n-1}C_{n-1} = \Theta(1)$
    - Total:  $\Theta(1+n+n^2+n^3+...+n^{n/2}+...+n^3+n^2+n+1)$
    - Total: O(n<sup>n</sup>)
- > Better solution? Can I divide and conquer?





- > Naïve: try all combinations
  - How many?
    - > 0 cut: 1 1 cut: (n-1) 2 cuts:  ${}^{n-1}C_2 = \Theta(n^2)$
    - > 3 cuts:  ${}^{n-1}C_3 = \Theta(n^3) \dots n$  cuts:  ${}^{n-1}C_{n-1} = \Theta(1)$
    - Total:  $\Theta(1+n+n^2+n^3+...+n^{n/2}+...+n^3+n^2+n+1)$
    - Total: O(n<sup>n</sup>)
- > Better solution? Can I divide and conquer?





- > Naïve: try all combinations
  - > How many?
    - > 0 cut: 1 1 cut: (n-1) 2 cuts:  ${}^{n-1}C_2 = \Theta(n^2)$
    - > 3 cuts:  ${}^{n-1}C_3 = \Theta(n^3) \dots n$  cuts:  ${}^{n-1}C_{n-1} = \Theta(1)$
    - > Total:  $\Theta(1+n+n^2+n^3+...+n^{n/2}+...+n^3+n^2+n+1)$
    - Total: O(n<sup>n</sup>)
- > Better solution? Can I divide and conquer?
  - But I don't really know the best way to divide





- > Naïve: try all combinations
  - How many?
    - > 0 cut: 1 1 cut: (n-1) 2 cuts:  ${}^{n-1}C_2 = \Theta(n^2)$
    - > 3 cuts:  ${}^{n-1}C_3 = \Theta(n^3) \dots n$  cuts:  ${}^{n-1}C_{n-1} = \Theta(1)$
    - > Total:  $\Theta(1+n+n^2+n^3+...+n^{n/2}+...+n^3+n^2+n+1)$
    - Total: O(n<sup>n</sup>)
- > Better solution? Can I divide and conquer?
  - But I don't really know the best way to divide





- Naïve: try all combinations
  - How many?
    - > 0 cut: 1 1 cut: (n-1) 2 cuts:  ${}^{n-1}C_2 = \Theta(n^2)$
    - > 3 cuts:  ${}^{n-1}C_3 = \Theta(n^3) \dots n$  cuts:  ${}^{n-1}C_{n-1} = \Theta(1)$
    - > Total:  $\Theta(1+n+n^2+n^3+...+n^{n/2}+...+n^3+n^2+n+1)$
    - Total: O(n<sup>n</sup>)
- > Better solution? Can I divide and conquer?
  - But I don't really know the best way to divide



UCR

- Naïve: try all combinations
  - How many?
    - > 0 cut: 1 1 cut: (n-1) 2 cuts:  ${}^{n-1}C_2 = \Theta(n^2)$
    - > 3 cuts:  ${}^{n-1}C_3 = \Theta(n^3) \dots n$  cuts:  ${}^{n-1}C_{n-1} = \Theta(1)$
    - > Total:  $\Theta(1+n+n^2+n^3+...+n^{n/2}+...+n^3+n^2+n+1)$
    - Total: O(n<sup>n</sup>)
- > Better solution? Can I divide and conquer?
  - But I don't really know the best way to divide



Recursive top-down algorithm

$$r_n = \max_{1 \le i \le n} \left( p_i + r_{n-i} \right)$$

 $\operatorname{CUT-ROD}(p,n)$ 

- 1 **if** *n* == 0
- 2 return 0
- 3  $q = -\infty$
- 4 **for** i = 1 **to** n
- 5  $q = \max(q, p[i] + \text{CUT-ROD}(p, n i))$

6 return q





- > Better solution? Can I divide and conquer?
  - > But I don't really know the best way to divide



> How many subproblems (recursive calls)?



- > Better solution? Can I divide and conquer?
  - But I don't really know the best way to divide



> How many subproblems (recursive calls)?

CUT-ROD(p, n)

- 1 **if** *n* == 0
- 2 return 0

3 
$$q = -\infty$$

for 
$$i = 1$$
 to  $n$ 

- 5  $q = \max(q, p[i] + \text{CUT-ROD}(p, n-i))$
- 6 return q



- > Better solution? Can I divide and conquer?
  - But I don't really know the best way to divide



> How many subproblems (recursive calls)?  $T(n) = 1 + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T(j) .$ 



- > Better solution? Can I divide and conquer?
  - But I don't really know the best way to divide



> How many subproblems (recursive calls)?  $T(n) = 1 + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} T(j).$ 

 $T(n) = 2^n$ 

$$j=0$$
 (Prove by induction)

### **Rod Cutting Recursive Complexity**



- > Find the complexity of  $T(n) = 1 + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T(j)$
- > Proof by induction:
  - Assume the solution is some function X(n)
  - Show that X(n) is true for the smallest n (the base case), e.g., n=0
  - Prove that X(n+1) is a solution for T(n+1) given X(n)
  - You are done
- Given  $T(n) = 1 + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T(j)$
- > Assume  $T(n) = 2^n$
- >  $T(0) = 1 + \sum_{j=0}^{-1} T(j) = 1 = 2^0$  (base case)
- >  $T(n+1) = 1 + \sum_{j=0}^{n} T(j) = 1 + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T(j) + T(n) = T(n) + T(n) = 2T(n) = 2 * 2^n = 2^{n+1}$
- > Then,  $T(n) = 2^n$



- > Better solution? Can I divide and conquer?
  - > But I don't really know the best way to divide



> How many subproblems (recursive calls)?

$$T(n) = 1 + \sum_{j=0} T(j) .$$
  

$$T(n) = 2^{n}$$
 (Prove by induction)

> Can we do better?

>


- > Better solution? Can I divide and conquer?
  - > But I don't really know the best way to divide



> Can we do better?





- Subproblem overlapping
  - No need to re-solve the same problem





- Subproblem overlapping
  - No need to re-solve the same problem
- > Idea:
  - > Solve each subproblem once
  - > Write down the solution in a lookup table (array, hashtable,...etc)
  - > When needed again, look it up in  $\Theta(1)$

#### **Rod Cutting Problem** $r_n = \max_{1 \le i \le n} \left( p_i + r_{n-i} \right)$ 0 3 0 0 0 **Dynamic Programming** Subproblem overlapping > No need to re-solve the same problem > Idea: > Solve each subproblem once >

- > Write down the solution in a lookup table (array, hashtable,...etc)
- When needed again, look it up in Θ(1)



- Recursive top-down dynamic programming algorithm MEMOIZED-CUT-ROD(p, n)
  - 1 let r[0..n] be a new array
  - 2 **for** i = 0 **to** n

3 
$$r[i] = -\infty$$

4 **return** MEMOIZED-CUT-ROD-AUX(p, n, r)

```
MEMOIZED-CUT-ROD-AUX(p, n, r)
```

```
if r[n] \ge 0
1
  return r[n]
2
3 if n == 0
4
  q = 0
  else q = -\infty
5
       for i = 1 to n
6
           q = \max(q, p[i] + \text{MEMOIZED-CUT-ROD-AUX}(p, n - i, r))
7
  r[n] = q
8
                                                                   41
9
   return q
```



- Recursive top-down dynamic programming algorithm MEMOIZED-CUT-ROD(p, n)
  - 1 let r[0..n] be a new array
  - 2 **for** i = 0 **to** n

3 
$$r[i] = -\infty$$

4 **return** MEMOIZED-CUT-ROD-AUX(p, n, r)

```
MEMOIZED-CUT-ROD-AUX(p, n, r)
```

```
if r[n] \ge 0
1
                                                         \Theta(n^2)
   return r[n]
2
3 if n == 0
  q = 0
4
  else q = -\infty
5
       for i = 1 to n
6
           q = \max(q, p[i] + \text{MEMOIZED-CUT-ROD-AUX}(p, n - i, r))
7
  r[n] = q
8
                                                                    42
9
   return q
```



- > Bottom-up dynamic programming algorithm
  - > I know I will need the smaller problems  $\rightarrow$  solve them first
  - > Solve problem of size 0, then 1, then 2, then 3, ... then n



- > Bottom-up dynamic programming algorithm
  - > I know I will need the smaller problems  $\rightarrow$  solve them first
  - > Solve problem of size 0, then 1, then 2, then 3, ... then n

```
BOTTOM-UP-CUT-ROD(p, n)

1 let r[0 ...n] be a new array

2 r[0] = 0

3 for j = 1 to n

4 q = -\infty

5 for i = 1 to j

6 q = \max(q, p[i] + r[j - i])

7 r[j] = q

8 return r[n]
```



- > Bottom-up dynamic programming algorithm
  - > I know I will need the smaller problems  $\rightarrow$  solve them first
  - > Solve problem of size 0, then 1, then 2, then 3, ... then n

```
BOTTOM-UP-CUT-ROD(p, n)
   let r[0...n] be a new array
2 r[0] = 0
3
 for j = 1 to n
4
       q = -\infty
                                                \Theta(n^2)
5
       for i = 1 to j
6
           q = \max(q, p[i] + r[j - i])
7
       r[j] = q
8
   return r[n]
```

#### Elements of a Dynamic Programming Problem



- > Optimal substructure
  - Optimal solution of a larger problem comes from optimal solutions of smaller problems
- Subproblem overlapping
  - > Same exact sub-problems are solved again and again

#### **Optimal Substructure**



- Longest path from A to F LP(A,F) includes node B
  - But it does not include LP(A,B) and LP(B,F)
  - → i.e., optimal solutions for the subproblems  $A \rightarrow B$ , and  $B \rightarrow F$  cannot be combined to find an optimal solution for  $A \rightarrow F$





#### **Dynamic Programming vs. D&C**

> How different?

## **Dynamic Programming vs. D&C**



- > How different?
  - > No subproblem overlapping
    - > Each subproblem with distinct input is a new problem
  - > Not necessarily optimization problems, i.e., no objective function

#### **Reconstructing Solution**



- > Rod cutting problem: What are the actual cuts?
  - > Not only the best revenue (the optimal objective function value)

#### **Reconstructing Solution**



- > Rod cutting problem: What are the actual cuts?
  - > Not only the best revenue (the optimal objective function value)

EXTENDED-BOTTOM-UP-CUT-ROD(p, n)let  $r[0 \dots n]$  and  $s[1 \dots n]$  be new arrays 1 2 r[0] = 03 **for** j = 1 **to** n4  $q = -\infty$ 5 for i = 1 to j**if** q < p[i] + r[j - i]6 q = p[i] + r[j - i]7 s[j] = i8 9 r[j] = q10 **return** r and s

#### **Reconstructing Solution**



- > Rod cutting problem: What are the actual cuts?
  - > Not only the best revenue (the optimal objective function value)

**PRINT-CUT-ROD-SOLUTION**(p, n)

1 (r,s) = EXTENDED-BOTTOM-UP-CUT-ROD(p,n)

2 **while** 
$$n > 0$$

3 print 
$$s[n]$$

$$4 n = n - s[n]$$

> Let's trace examples



> How to multiply a chain of four matrices  $A_1A_2A_3A_4$ ?



> How to multiply a chain of four matrices  $A_1A_2A_3A_4$ ?

 $(A_1(A_2(A_3A_4)))$  $(A_1((A_2A_3)A_4))$  $((A_1A_2)(A_3A_4))$  $((A_1(A_2A_3))A_4)$  $(((A_1A_2)A_3)A_4)$ 



> How to multiply a chain of four matrices  $A_1A_2A_3A_4$ ?

 $(A_1(A_2(A_3A_4)))$  $(A_1((A_2A_3)A_4))$  $((A_1A_2)(A_3A_4))$  $((A_1(A_2A_3))A_4)$  $(((A_1A_2)A_3)A_4)$ 

Does it really make a difference?



> How to multiply a chain of four matrices  $A_1A_2A_3A_4$ ?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

 $(A_1(A_2(A_3A_4)))$  $(A_1((A_2A_3)A_4))$  $((A_1A_2)(A_3A_4))$  $((A_1(A_2A_3))A_4)$  $(((A_1A_2)A_3)A_4)$ 

- Does it really make a difference?
- # of multiplications:
   A rowo\*R colo\*A colo

A.rows\*B.cols\*A.cols

MATRIX-MULTIPLY (A, B)

if A. columns ≠ B. rows
error "incompatible dimensions"
else let C be a new A. rows × B. columns matrix
for i = 1 to A. rows
for j = 1 to B. columns

 $c_{ii} = 0$ 

for k = 1 to A. columns  $c_{ij} = c_{ij} + a_{ik} \cdot b_{kj}$ return C

1

7



- Does it really make > a difference?
- > # of multiplications: A.rows\*B.cols\*A.cols
- Example: > A1\*A2\*A3

Dimensions:

10x100x5x50

MATRIX-MULTIPLY(A, B)if A. columns  $\neq$  B. rows error "incompatible dimensions" 2 3 else let C be a new A.rows  $\times$  B.columns matrix for i = 1 to A. rows 4 5 for j = 1 to B. columns 6  $c_{ii} = 0$ for k = 1 to A. columns 8  $c_{ij} = c_{ij} + a_{ik} \cdot b_{kj}$ 9 return C

- # of multiplications in ((A1\*A2)\*A3)=10\*100\*5+10\*5\*50=7.5K >
- # of multiplications in (A1\*(A2\*A3))=100\*5\*50+10\*100\*50=75K >



Given n matrices A<sub>1</sub> A<sub>2</sub> ... A<sub>n</sub> of dimensions p<sub>0</sub> p<sub>1</sub> ... p<sub>n</sub>, find the optimal parentheses to multiply the matrix chain



- Given n matrices A<sub>1</sub> A<sub>2</sub> ... A<sub>n</sub> of dimensions p<sub>0</sub> p<sub>1</sub> ... p<sub>n</sub>, find the optimal parentheses to multiply the matrix chain
- $> A_1 A_2 A_3 A_4 A_5 \dots A_n$



- Given n matrices A<sub>1</sub> A<sub>2</sub> ... A<sub>n</sub> of dimensions p<sub>0</sub> p<sub>1</sub> ... p<sub>n</sub>, find the optimal parentheses to multiply the matrix chain
- $> A_1 A_2 A_3 A_4 A_5 \dots A_n$
- >  $(A_1 A_2 A_3)(A_4 A_5 ... A_n)$



- Given n matrices A<sub>1</sub> A<sub>2</sub> ... A<sub>n</sub> of dimensions p<sub>0</sub> p<sub>1</sub> ... p<sub>n</sub>, find the optimal parentheses to multiply the matrix chain
- $> A_1 A_2 A_3 A_4 A_5 \dots A_n$
- >  $(A_1 A_2 A_3)(A_4 A_5 ... A_n)$
- > Sub-chains C1 =  $(A_1 A_2 A_3), C2 = (A_4 A_5 ... A_n)$



- Given n matrices A<sub>1</sub> A<sub>2</sub> ... A<sub>n</sub> of dimensions p<sub>0</sub> p<sub>1</sub> ... p<sub>n</sub>, find the optimal parentheses to multiply the matrix chain
- $A_1 A_2 A_3 A_4 A_5 \dots A_n$
- >  $(A_1 A_2 A_3)(A_4 A_5 ... A_n)$
- > Sub-chains C1 =  $(A_1 A_2 A_3), C2 = (A_4 A_5 ... A_n)$
- > Total Cost C =  $cost(C1)+cost(C2)+p_0p_3p_n$



- Given n matrices A<sub>1</sub> A<sub>2</sub> ... A<sub>n</sub> of dimensions p<sub>0</sub> p<sub>1</sub> ... p<sub>n</sub>, find the optimal parentheses to multiply the matrix chain
- $A_1 A_2 A_3 A_4 A_5 \dots A_n$
- >  $(A_1 A_2 A_3)(A_4 A_5 ... A_n)$
- > Sub-chains C1 =  $(A_1 A_2 A_3), C2 = (A_4 A_5 ... A_n)$
- > Total Cost C =  $cost(C1)+cost(C2)+p_0p_3p_n$
- Then, if cost(C1) and cost(C2) are minimal (i.e., optimal), then C is optimal (optimal substructure holds)



- Given n matrices A<sub>1</sub> A<sub>2</sub> ... A<sub>n</sub> of dimensions p<sub>0</sub> p<sub>1</sub> ... p<sub>n</sub>, find the optimal parentheses to multiply the matrix chain
- >  $A_1 A_2 A_3 A_4 A_5 \dots A_n$
- >  $(A_1 A_2 A_3)(A_4 A_5 ... A_n)$
- > Sub-chains C1 =  $(A_1 A_2 A_3), C2 = (A_4 A_5 ... A_n)$
- > Total Cost C =  $cost(C1)+cost(C2)+p_0p_3p_n$
- Then, if cost(C1) and cost(C2) are minimal (i.e., optimal), then C is optimal (optimal substructure holds)
- > Proof by contradiction:
  - Given C is optimal, are cost(C1)=c1 and cost(C2)=c2 optimal?
  - Assume c1 is NOT optimal, then ∃ an optimal solution of cost c1' < c1</p>
  - > Then c1'+c2+p < c1+c2+p  $\rightarrow$  C' < C
  - > Then C is not optimal  $\rightarrow$  contradiction!
  - > Then C1 has to be optimal  $\rightarrow$  optimal substructure holds



- Given n matrices A<sub>1</sub> A<sub>2</sub> ... A<sub>n</sub> of dimensions p<sub>0</sub> p<sub>1</sub> ... p<sub>n</sub>, find the optimal parentheses to multiply the matrix chain
- >  $A_1 A_2 A_3 A_4 A_5 \dots A_n$
- >  $(A_1 A_2 A_3)(A_4 A_5 ... A_n)$
- > Sub-chains C1 =  $(A_1 A_2 A_3), C2 = (A_4 A_5 ... A_n)$
- > Total Cost C =  $cost(C1)+cost(C2)+p_0p_3p_n$
- Then, if cost(C1) and cost(C2) are minimal (i.e., optimal), then C is optimal (optimal substructure holds)
- Optimal C1, C2 might be one of different options

> 
$$C1 = (A_1 A_2), C2 = (A_3 A_4 A_5 \dots A_n)$$

> 
$$C1 = (A_1), C2 = (A_2 A_3 A_4 A_5 \dots A_n)$$

>  $C1 = (A_1 A_2 A_3 A_4), C2 = (A_5 \dots A_n)$ 



Assume k is length of first sub-chain C1





> Assume k is length of first sub-chain C1





Assume k is length of first sub-chain C1



> Obviously, a lot of overlapping subproblems appear



> Assume k is length of first sub-chain C1



- > Obviously, a lot of overlapping subproblems appear
- Optimal substructure + subproblem overlapping = dynamic programming

#### Matrix Chain Multiplication: Designing Algorithm



> What is the smallest subproblem?

#### Matrix Chain Multiplication: Designing Algorithm

UCR

- > What is the smallest subproblem?
  - > A chain of length 2

#### Matrix Chain Multiplication: Designing Algorithm



- > What is the smallest subproblem?
  - > A chain of length 2
- > Solve all chains of length 2, then 3, then 4, ...n


- > What is the smallest subproblem?
  - > A chain of length 2
- > Solve all chains of length 2, then 3, then 4, ...n
- Example: n=6,
- A1: 30x35
- A2: 35x15
- A3: 15x5
- A4: 5x10
- A5: 10x20
- A6: 20x25

- > What is the smallest subproblem?
  - > A chain of length 2
- > Solve all chains of length 2, then 3, then 4, ...n
- > Example: n=6, A1: 30x35
  - Chains of length 2:
     A2: 35x15 A3: 15x5
- (A1 A2) (A2 A3) (A3 A4) A4: 5x10 A5: 10x20 A6: 20x25
- (A4 A5)



- > What is the smallest subproblem?
  - A chain of length 2

(A2 A3)

(A3 A4)

(A4 A5)

(A5 A6)

- > Solve all chains of length 2, then 3, then 4, ...n
- > Example: n=6,
   A1: 30x35 

   > Chains of length 2:
   A2: 35x15 

   (A1 A2) = 30\*35\*15 = 15750 A4: 5x10 

   A5: 10x20
  - A6: 20x25

75

- > What is the smallest subproblem?
  - > A chain of length 2
- > Solve all chains of length 2, then 3, then 4, ...n
- Example: n=6,
   Chains of length 2:
   A1: 30x35 A2: 35x15 A3: 15x5
- $(A1 A2) = 30^{*}35^{*}15 = 15750$  A4: 5x10 A5: 10x20
- $(A2 A3) = 35^{*}15^{*}5 = 2625$  A6: 20x25

- (A4 A5)
- (A5 A6)





- > What is the smallest subproblem?
  - > A chain of length 2
- > Solve all chains of length 2, then 3, then 4, ...n
- Example: n=6,
   A1: 30x35
   A2: 35x15
  - > Chains of length 2:  $(\Delta 1 \ \Delta 2) = 30*35*15 = 15750$ A2: 00/10 A3: 15x5 A4: 5x10
  - (A1 A2) = 30\*35\*15 = 15750A4: 5x10 A5: 10x20
  - (A2 A3) = 35\*15\*5 = 2625 A6: 20x25
  - (A3 A4) = 15\*5\*10 = 750
  - (A4 A5) = 5\*10\*20 = 1000
  - (A5 A6) = 10\*20\*25 = 5000



- > What is the smallest subproblem?
  - > A chain of length 2
- > Solve all chains of length 2, then 3, then 4, ...n
- > Example: n=6,
   > Chains of length 3:
   A1: 30x35 A2: 35x15 A3: 15x5
- (A1 A2 A3) (A2 A3 A4) (A3 A4 A5) A4: 5x10 A5: 10x20 A6: 20x25
- (A4 A5 A6)



- > What is the smallest subproblem?
  - > A chain of length 2
- > Solve all chains of length 2, then 3, then 4, ...n
- Example: n=6,
   Chains of length 3:
   (A1 A2 A3) = (A1 A2) A3
   or A1 (A2 A3)
   A1: 30x35
   A2: 35x15
   A3: 15x5
   A4: 5x10
   A5: 10x20
   A6: 20x25
- (A2 A3 A4) (A3 A4 A5) (A4 A5 A6)



- What is the smallest subproblem?
  - > A chain of length 2
- > Solve all chains of length 2, then 3, then 4, ...n
- Example: n=6,
  - > Chains of length 3:
  - (A1 A2 A3) = (A1 A2) A3 [15750+30\*15\*5] or A1 (A2 A3) [30\*35\*5+2625]
    - = 7875
  - (A2 A3 A4)
  - (A3 A4 A5)
  - (A4 A5 A6)



A1: 30x35

A2: 35x15

A3: 15x5

A4: 5x10

A5: 10x20

A6: 20x25

> What is the smallest subproblem?

or A2 (A3 A4)

- > A chain of length 2
- > Solve all chains of length 2, then 3, then 4, ...n
- > Example: n=6,A1: 30x35<br/>A2: 35x15> Chains of length 3:A3: 15x5<br/>A3: 15x5(A1 A2 A3) = 7875A4: 5x10<br/>A5: 10x20<br/>(A2 A3 A4) = (A2 A3) A4(A2 A3 A4) = (A2 A3) A4A6: 20x25

(A3 A4 A5) (A4 A5 A6)

- > What is the smallest subproblem?
  - > A chain of length 2
- > Solve all chains of length 2, then 3, then 4, ...n
- Example: n=6,
   Chains of length 3:
   (A1 A2 A3) = 7875
   A1: 30x35 A2: 35x15 A3: 15x5 A4: 5x10 A5: 10x20
- (A2 A3 A4) = (A2 A3) A4 [2625+35\*5\*10] A6: 20x25

or A2 (A3 A4) [35\*15\*10+750] = 4375

(A3 A4 A5)

(A4 A5 A6)



- > What is the smallest subproblem?
  - A chain of length 2
- > Solve all chains of length 2, then 3, then 4, ...n
- Example: n=6,
   Chains of length 3:
   A1: 30x35 A2: 35x15 A3: 15x5
  - (A1 A2 A3) = 7875(A2 A3 A4) = 4375(A2 A3 A4) = 4375A4: 5x10 A5: 10x20 A6: 20x25
  - (A3 A4 A5) = 2500(A4 A5 A6) = 3500



- > What is the smallest subproblem?
  - > A chain of length 2
- > Solve all chains of length 2, then 3, then 4, ...n
- > Example: n=6,
  - > Chains of length 4:
  - (A1 A2 A3 A4) = A1 (A2 A3 A4)
     Or (A1 A2)(A3 A4)
     Or (A1 A2 A3) A4

(A2 A3 A4 A5) (A3 A4 A5 A6)



A1: 30x35 A2: 35x15 A3: 15x5 A4: 5x10

- A4. JX10
- A5: 10x20
- A6: 20x25

- What is the smallest subproblem?
  - A chain of length 2
- > Solve all chains of length 2, then 3, then 4, ...n
- Example: n=6,
  - > Chains of length 4:
  - (A1 A2 A3 A4) = A1 (A2 A3 A4)
     Or (A1 A2)(A3 A4)
    - Or (A1 A2 A3) A4

(A2 A3 A4 A5)

(A3 A4 A5 A6)



A1: 30x35

A2: 35x15

A3: 15x5

A4: 5x10

A5: 10x20

A6: 20x25

- > What is the smallest subproblem?
  - > A chain of length 2
- > Solve all chains of length 2, then 3, then 4, ...n
- Example: n=6,
   Chains of length 4:
   (A1 A2 A3 A4) = 9375
   A1: 30x35 A2: 35x15 A3: 15x5 A3: 15x5 A4: 5x10 A5: 10x20
- (A2 A3 A4 A5) = 7125 A6: 20x25

(A3 A4 A5 A6) = 5375



- What is the smallest subproblem? >
  - A chain of length 2 >
- Solve all chains of length 2, then 3, then 4, ...n
- Example: n=6, A1: 30x35 > A2: 35x15 Chains of length 5: > A3: 15x5 A4: 5x10 (A1 A2 A3 A4 A5) = 11875 A5: 10x20
- (A2 A3 A4 A5 A6) = 10500



A6: 20x25

- > What is the smallest subproblem?
  - A chain of length 2
- > Solve all chains of length 2, then 3, then 4, ...n
- Example: n=6,
  - > Chains of length 6:
  - > (A1 A2 A3 A4 A5 A6) = 15125



A2: 35x15 A3: 15x5 A4: 5x10

A1: 30x35

- A5: 10x20
- A5. 10X20

- > What is the smallest subproblem?
  - A chain of length 2
- > Solve all chains of length 2, then 3, then 4, ...n



- > What is the smallest subproblem?
  - A chain of length 2
- > Solve all chains of length 2, then 3, then 4, ...n



- > What is the smallest subproblem?
  - A chain of length 2
- > Solve all chains of length 2, then 3, then 4, ...n



- > What is the smallest subproblem?
  - A chain of length 2
- > Solve all chains of length 2, then 3, then 4, ...n



- > What is the smallest subproblem?
  - A chain of length 2
- > Solve all chains of length 2, then 3, then 4, ...n



- > What is the smallest subproblem?
  - A chain of length 2
- > Solve all chains of length 2, then 3, then 4, ...n



- > What is the smallest subproblem?
  - A chain of length 2
- > Solve all chains of length 2, then 3, then 4, ...n



- > What is the smallest subproblem?
  - A chain of length 2
- Solve all chains of length 2, then 3, then 4, ...n



- > What is the smallest subproblem?
  - A chain of length 2
- > Solve all chains of length 2, then 3, then 4, ...n



- > What is the smallest subproblem?
  - A chain of length 2
- > Solve all chains of length 2, then 3, then 4, ...n



- > What is the smallest subproblem?
  - > A chain of length 2
- > Solve all chains of length 2, then 3, then 4, ...n



- > What is the smallest subproblem?
  - A chain of length 2
- > Solve all chains of length 2, then 3, then 4, ...n

	1	2	3	4	5	6
1	0	15750	7875	9375	11875	15125
2		0	2625	4375	7125	10500
3			0	750	2500	5375
4				0	1000	3500
5					0	5000
6						0

UCR

A1: 30x35

- A2: 35x15
- A3: 15x5
- A4: 5x10
- A5: 10x20
- A6: 20x25

# **Matrix Chain Multiplication**



- > Generally:  $A_i \dots A_k \dots A_j$  of dimensions  $p_i \dots p_k \dots p_j$
- >  $(A_i \dots A_k)(A_{k+1} \dots A_j)$ , where k=i,i+1,...j-1
- Then, solve each sub-chains recursively

# **Matrix Chain Multiplication**



- > Generally:  $A_i \dots A_k \dots A_j$  of dimensions  $p_i \dots p_k \dots p_j$
- >  $(A_i \dots A_k)(A_{k+1} \dots A_j)$ , where k=i,i+1,...j-1
- Then, solve each sub-chains recursively

$$m[i, j] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = j \\ \min_{i \le k < j} \{m[i, k] + m[k+1, j] + p_{i-1} p_k p_j\} & \text{if } i < j \end{cases}$$

# **Matrix Chain Multiplication**



MATRIX-CHAIN-ORDER (p)

1 
$$n = p.length - 1$$
  
2 let  $m[1 \dots n, 1 \dots n]$  and  $s[1 \dots n - 1, 2 \dots n]$  be new tables  
3 **for**  $i = 1$  **to**  $n$   
4  $m[i, i] = 0$   
5 **for**  $l = 2$  **to**  $n$  //  $l$  is the chain length  
6 **for**  $i = 1$  **to**  $n - l + 1$   
7  $j = i + l - 1$   
8  $m[i, j] = \infty$   
9 **for**  $k = i$  **to**  $j - 1$   
10  $q = m[i, k] + m[k + 1, j] + p_{i-1}p_k p_j$   
11 **if**  $q < m[i, j]$   
12  $m[i, j] = q$   
13  $s[i, j] = k$   
14 **return**  $m$  and  $s$ 



- $S_1 = \text{ACCGGTCGAGTGCGCGGAAGCCGGCCGAA}$
- $S_2 = \text{GTCGTTCGGAATGCCGTTGCTCTGTAAA}$
- A string subsequence is an ordered set of characters (not necessarily consecutive)
- A common subsequence of two strings is a subsequence that exist in both strings.
- The longest common subsequence is the common subsequence of the maximum length.



- > Given two strings:  $X = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \rangle$  $Y = \langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$
- Find the longest common subsequence of X and Y LCS(X,Y)



- > Given two strings:  $X = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \rangle$  $Y = \langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$
- Find the longest common subsequence of X and Y LCS(X,Y)
  - > Brute force?



- Given two strings:  $X = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \rangle$  $Y = \langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$
- Find the longest common subsequence of X and Y LCS(X,Y)
  - Brute force? O(n\*2<sup>m</sup>) or O(m\*2<sup>n</sup>) [enumerate all subsequences of X and check in Y, or vice versa]



- Given two strings:  $X = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \rangle$  $Y = \langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$
- Find the longest common subsequence of X and Y LCS(X,Y)
  - Brute force? O(n\*2<sup>m</sup>) or O(m\*2<sup>n</sup>) [enumerate all subsequences of X and check in Y, or vice versa]
- Are smaller problems simpler?


- Given two strings:  $X = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \rangle$  $Y = \langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$
- Find the longest common subsequence of X and Y LCS(X,Y)
  - Brute force? O(n\*2<sup>m</sup>) or O(m\*2<sup>n</sup>) [enumerate all subsequences of X and check in Y, or vice versa]
- Are smaller problems simpler?
  - If x<sub>m</sub> = y<sub>n</sub>, then this character can be included in LCS, and then we get LCS of X without x<sub>m</sub> and Y without y<sub>n</sub> (prefix X<sub>m-1</sub> and Y<sub>n-1</sub>)



- Given two strings:  $X = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \rangle$  $Y = \langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$
- Find the longest common subsequence of X and Y LCS(X,Y)
  - Brute force? O(n\*2<sup>m</sup>) or O(m\*2<sup>n</sup>) [enumerate all subsequences of X and check in Y, or vice versa]
- Are smaller problems simpler?
  - If x<sub>m</sub> = y<sub>n</sub>, then this character can be included in LCS, and then we get LCS of X without x<sub>m</sub> and Y without y<sub>n</sub> (prefix X<sub>m-1</sub> and Y<sub>n-1</sub>)
  - Let's define string prefixes

$$X_i = \langle x_1, x_2, ..., x_i \rangle$$
, for  $i = 0, 1, ..., m$ 

> and same for  $Y_j$  for j = 0, 1, ..., n



Let  $X = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \rangle$  and  $Y = \langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$  be sequences, and let  $Z = \langle z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k \rangle$  be any LCS of X and Y.

1. If  $x_m = y_n$ , then  $z_k = x_m = y_n$  and  $Z_{k-1}$  is an LCS of  $X_{m-1}$  and  $Y_{n-1}$ .

2. If  $x_m \neq y_n$ , then  $z_k \neq x_m$  implies that Z is an LCS of  $X_{m-1}$  and Y.

3. If  $x_m \neq y_n$ , then  $z_k \neq y_n$  implies that Z is an LCS of X and  $Y_{n-1}$ .

> Prove by contradiction



Let  $X = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \rangle$  and  $Y = \langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$  be sequences, and let  $Z = \langle z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k \rangle$  be any LCS of X and Y.

1. If  $x_m = y_n$ , then  $z_k = x_m = y_n$  and  $Z_{k-1}$  is an LCS of  $X_{m-1}$  and  $Y_{n-1}$ .

- 2. If  $x_m \neq y_n$ , then  $z_k \neq x_m$  implies that Z is an LCS of  $X_{m-1}$  and Y.
- 3. If  $x_m \neq y_n$ , then  $z_k \neq y_n$  implies that Z is an LCS of X and  $Y_{n-1}$ .
- > Prove by contradiction





Let  $X = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \rangle$  and  $Y = \langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$  be sequences, and let  $Z = \langle z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k \rangle$  be any LCS of X and Y.

1. If  $x_m = y_n$ , then  $z_k = x_m = y_n$  and  $Z_{k-1}$  is an LCS of  $X_{m-1}$  and  $Y_{n-1}$ .

- 2. If  $x_m \neq y_n$ , then  $z_k \neq x_m$  implies that Z is an LCS of  $X_{m-1}$  and Y.
- 3. If  $x_m \neq y_n$ , then  $z_k \neq y_n$  implies that Z is an LCS of X and  $Y_{n-1}$ .
- > Prove by contradiction





Let c[i,j] is LCS length of X<sub>i</sub> and Y<sub>j</sub>

$$c[i, j] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 0 \text{ or } j = 0, \\ c[i-1, j-1] + 1 & \text{if } i, j > 0 \text{ and } x_i = y_j, \\ \max(c[i, j-1], c[i-1, j]) & \text{if } i, j > 0 \text{ and } x_i \neq y_j. \end{cases}$$

>





>



Example: X="CS141" Y="CS111"  $c[i, j] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 0 \text{ or } j = 0, \\ c[i-1, j-1] + 1 & \text{if } i, j > 0 \text{ and } x_i = y_j, \\ \max(c[i, j-1], c[i-1, j]) & \text{if } i, j > 0 \text{ and } x_i \neq y_j. \end{cases}$ "" С S "" С S 

>



Example: X="CS141" Y="CS111"								
•			-	0			if $i = 0$ or $j = 0$ ,	
			c[i, j	$c[i, j] = \begin{cases} c[i-1, j-1] + 1 \\ max(a[i, j-1] + 1] \\ max(a[i, j-1] + 1] \end{cases}$			if $i, j > 0$ and $x_i = y_j$ , 1 ii) if $i, j > 0$ and $x \neq y$	
	""	С	S	( max(c) 1	ι, <i>j</i> — Ι], <i>c</i> [ <i>ι</i> - <b>1</b>	- ı, jj) - ıı <i>ı</i> , 1	$j > 0$ and $x_i \neq y_j$ .	
""	0	0	0	0	0	0		
С	0	1	1	1	1	1		
S	0	1	2	2	2	2		
1	0	1	2	3	3	3		
4	0	1	2	3	3	3		
1	0	1	2	3	4	4		



LCS-LENGTH(X, Y)

m *ength* 1 2 n *ength* 3 let  $b[1 \dots m, 1 \dots n]$  and  $c[0 \dots m, 0 \dots n]$  be new tables 4 **for** i = 1 **to** m 5 c[i, 0] = 06 **for** j = 0 **to** n7 c[0, j] = 08 for i = 1 to m 9 for j = 1 to n10 if  $x_i = y_i$ c[i, j] = c[i - 1, j - 1] + 111  $b[i, j] = " \ "$ 12 **elseif**  $c[i - 1, j] \ge c[i, j - 1]$ 13 c[i, j] = c[i - 1, j]14 15  $b[i, j] = ``\uparrow"$ **else** c[i, j] = c[i, j-1]16 b[i, j] =" $\leftarrow$ " 17 18 **return** c and b

118

### **Book Readings**



> Ch. 15: 15.1-15.4