

CS141: Intermediate Data Structures and Algorithms

Dynamic Programming

Amr Magdy

Programming?



- > In this context, programming is a tabular method
 - > Storing previously calculated results in a table, and look it up later
- > Other examples:
 - Linear programing
 - Integer programming





Main idea











Main idea



> Do not repeat same work, store the result and look it up later



Main idea: DP vs Divide & Conquer



- > Do not repeat same work, store the result and look it up later
- Is MergeSort(A, 1, n/2) and MergeSort(A, n/2, n) the same?
- Is Fib(n-2) and Fib(n-2) the same?



Main idea: DP vs Divide & Conquer



- > Do not repeat same work, store the result and look it up later
- Is MergeSort(A, 1, n/2) and MergeSort(A, n/2, n) the same?
 - > No
- Is Fib(n-2) and Fib(n-2) the same?
 - > Yes



Main idea: DP vs Divide & Conquer



- > Do not repeat same work, store the result and look it up later
- Is MergeSort(A, 1, n/2) and MergeSort(A, n/2, n) the same?
 - > No
- Is Fib(n-2) and Fib(n-2) the same?
 - > Yes
- Same function + same input → same output (DP)
- > DC same function has different inputs



























- Given a rod of length n and prices p_i, find the cutting strategy that makes the maximum revenue
 - > In the example: (2+2) cutting makes r=5+5=10



> Naïve: try all combinations



- > Naïve: try all combinations
 - How many?



- > Naïve: try all combinations
 - How many?
 - > 0 cut: 1 1 cut: (n-1) 2 cuts: ${}^{n-1}C_2 = \Theta(n^2)$
 - > 3 cuts: ${}^{n-1}C_3 = \Theta(n^3) \dots n$ cuts: ${}^{n-1}C_{n-1} = \Theta(1)$



- > Naïve: try all combinations
 - > How many?
 - > 0 cut: 1 1 cut: (n-1) 2 cuts: ${}^{n-1}C_2 = \Theta(n^2)$
 - > 3 cuts: ${}^{n-1}C_3 = \Theta(n^3) \dots n$ cuts: ${}^{n-1}C_{n-1} = \Theta(1)$
 - > Total: $\Theta(1+n+n^2+n^3+...+n^{n/2}+...+n^3+n^2+n+1)$
 - Total: O(nⁿ)



- Naïve: try all combinations
 - How many?
 - > 0 cut: 1 1 cut: (n-1) 2 cuts: ${}^{n-1}C_2 = \Theta(n^2)$
 - > 3 cuts: ${}^{n-1}C_3 = \Theta(n^3) \dots n$ cuts: ${}^{n-1}C_{n-1} = \Theta(1)$
 - > Total: $\Theta(1+n+n^2+n^3+...+n^{n/2}+...+n^3+n^2+n+1)$
 - Total: O(nⁿ)
- > Better solution? Can I divide and conquer?



- > Naïve: try all combinations
 - How many?
 - > 0 cut: 1 1 cut: (n-1) 2 cuts: ${}^{n-1}C_2 = \Theta(n^2)$
 - > 3 cuts: ${}^{n-1}C_3 = \Theta(n^3) \dots n$ cuts: ${}^{n-1}C_{n-1} = \Theta(1)$
 - Total: $\Theta(1+n+n^2+n^3+...+n^{n/2}+...+n^3+n^2+n+1)$
 - Total: O(nⁿ)
- > Better solution? Can I divide and conquer?





- Naïve: try all combinations
 - How many?
 - > 0 cut: 1 1 cut: (n-1) 2 cuts: ${}^{n-1}C_2 = \Theta(n^2)$
 - > 3 cuts: ${}^{n-1}C_3 = \Theta(n^3) \dots n$ cuts: ${}^{n-1}C_{n-1} = \Theta(1)$
 - Total: $\Theta(1+n+n^2+n^3+...+n^{n/2}+...+n^3+n^2+n+1)$
 - Total: O(nⁿ)
- > Better solution? Can I divide and conquer?





- > Naïve: try all combinations
 - How many?
 - > 0 cut: 1 1 cut: (n-1) 2 cuts: ${}^{n-1}C_2 = \Theta(n^2)$
 - > 3 cuts: ${}^{n-1}C_3 = \Theta(n^3) \dots n$ cuts: ${}^{n-1}C_{n-1} = \Theta(1)$
 - > Total: $\Theta(1+n+n^2+n^3+...+n^{n/2}+...+n^3+n^2+n+1)$
 - Total: O(nⁿ)
- > Better solution? Can I divide and conquer?
 - But I don't really know the best way to divide





- > Naïve: try all combinations
 - How many?
 - > 0 cut: 1 1 cut: (n-1) 2 cuts: ${}^{n-1}C_2 = \Theta(n^2)$
 - > 3 cuts: ${}^{n-1}C_3 = \Theta(n^3) \dots n$ cuts: ${}^{n-1}C_{n-1} = \Theta(1)$
 - > Total: $\Theta(1+n+n^2+n^3+...+n^{n/2}+...+n^3+n^2+n+1)$
 - Total: O(nⁿ)
- > Better solution? Can I divide and conquer?
 - But I don't really know the best way to divide





- > Naïve: try all combinations
 - How many?
 - > 0 cut: 1 1 cut: (n-1) 2 cuts: ${}^{n-1}C_2 = \Theta(n^2)$
 - > 3 cuts: ${}^{n-1}C_3 = \Theta(n^3) \dots n$ cuts: ${}^{n-1}C_{n-1} = \Theta(1)$
 - > Total: $\Theta(1+n+n^2+n^3+...+n^{n/2}+...+n^3+n^2+n+1)$
 - Total: O(nⁿ)
- > Better solution? Can I divide and conquer?
 - But I don't really know the best way to divide



UCR

- Naïve: try all combinations
 - How many?
 - > 0 cut: 1 1 cut: (n-1) 2 cuts: ${}^{n-1}C_2 = \Theta(n^2)$
 - > 3 cuts: ${}^{n-1}C_3 = \Theta(n^3) \dots n$ cuts: ${}^{n-1}C_{n-1} = \Theta(1)$
 - Total: $\Theta(1+n+n^2+n^3+...+n^{n/2}+...+n^3+n^2+n+1)$
 - Total: O(nⁿ)
- > Better solution? Can I divide and conquer?
 - But I don't really know the best way to divide



Recursive top-down algorithm

$$r_n = \max_{1 \le i \le n} \left(p_i + r_{n-i} \right)$$

CUT-ROD(p, n)

- 1 **if** *n* == 0
- 2 return 0
- 3 $q = -\infty$
- 4 **for** i = 1 **to** n
- 5 $q = \max(q, p[i] + \text{CUT-ROD}(p, n i))$
- 6 return q





- > Better solution? Can I divide and conquer?
 - > But I don't really know the best way to divide



> How many subproblems (recursive calls)?



- > Better solution? Can I divide and conquer?
 - But I don't really know the best way to divide



> How many subproblems (recursive calls)? $T(n) = 1 + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T(j) .$



- Better solution? Can I divide and conquer? >
 - But I don't really know the best way to divide >



How many subproblems (recursive calls)? > $T(n) = 1 + \sum_{j=0}^{n} T(j) .$ $T(n) = 2^{n}$

(Prove by induction)

Rod Cutting Recursive Complexity



- > Find the complexity of $T(n) = 1 + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T(j)$
- > Proof by induction:
 - Assume the solution is some function X(n)
 - Show that X(n) is true for the smallest n (the base case), e.g., n=0
 - Prove that X(n+1) is a solution for T(n+1) given X(n)
 - You are done
- Given $T(n) = 1 + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T(j)$
- > Assume $T(n) = 2^n$
- > $T(0) = 1 + \sum_{j=0}^{-1} T(j) = 1 = 2^0$ (base case)
- > $T(n+1) = 1 + \sum_{j=0}^{n} T(j) = 1 + \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} T(j) + T(n) = T(n) + T(n) = 2T(n) = 2 * 2^{n} = 2^{n+1}$
- > Then, $T(n) = 2^n$



- > Better solution? Can I divide and conquer?
 - > But I don't really know the best way to divide



> How many subproblems (recursive calls)?

$$T(n) = 1 + \sum_{j=0}^{n} T(j) .$$

$$T(n) = 2^{n} \qquad \text{(Prove by induction)}$$

> Can we do better?

>



- > Better solution? Can I divide and conquer?
 - > But I don't really know the best way to divide



Can we do better?





- Subproblem overlapping
 - No need to re-solve the same problem





- Subproblem overlapping
 - No need to re-solve the same problem
- > Idea:
 - Solve each subproblem once
 - > Write down the solution in a lookup table (array, hashtable,...etc)
 - > When needed again, look it up in $\Theta(1)$
Rod Cutting Problem $r_n = \max_{1 \le i \le n} \left(p_i + r_{n-i} \right)$ 0 3 00 0 **Dynamic Programming** Subproblem overlapping > No need to re-solve the same problem > Idea: > Solve each subproblem once >

- Write down the solution in a lookup table (array, hashtable,...etc)
- > When needed again, look it up in $\Theta(1)$



- Recursive top-down dynamic programming algorithm MEMOIZED-CUT-ROD(p, n)
 - 1 let r[0..n] be a new array
 - 2 **for** i = 0 **to** n

3
$$r[i] = -\infty$$

4 **return** MEMOIZED-CUT-ROD-AUX(p, n, r)

```
MEMOIZED-CUT-ROD-AUX(p, n, r)
```

```
if r[n] \ge 0
1
  return r[n]
2
3 if n == 0
4
  q = 0
5
  else q = -\infty
       for i = 1 to n
6
           q = \max(q, p[i] + \text{MEMOIZED-CUT-ROD-AUX}(p, n - i, r))
7
  r[n] = q
8
                                                                   38
9
   return q
```



- Recursive top-down dynamic programming algorithm MEMOIZED-CUT-ROD(p, n)
 - 1 let r[0..n] be a new array
 - 2 **for** i = 0 **to** n

3
$$r[i] = -\infty$$

4 **return** MEMOIZED-CUT-ROD-AUX(p, n, r)

```
MEMOIZED-CUT-ROD-AUX(p, n, r)
```

```
if r[n] \ge 0
1
                                                         \Theta(n^2)
  return r[n]
2
3 if n == 0
  q = 0
4
  else q = -\infty
5
       for i = 1 to n
6
           q = \max(q, p[i] + \text{MEMOIZED-CUT-ROD-AUX}(p, n - i, r))
7
  r[n] = q
8
                                                                    39
9
   return q
```



- > Bottom-up dynamic programming algorithm
 - > I know I will need the smaller problems \rightarrow solve them first
 - > Solve problem of size 0, then 1, then 2, then 3, ... then n



- Bottom-up dynamic programming algorithm
 - > I know I will need the smaller problems \rightarrow solve them first
 - > Solve problem of size 0, then 1, then 2, then 3, ... then n

```
BOTTOM-UP-CUT-ROD(p, n)

1 let r[0 ...n] be a new array

2 r[0] = 0

3 for j = 1 to n

4 q = -\infty

5 for i = 1 to j

6 q = \max(q, p[i] + r[j - i])

7 r[j] = q

8 return r[n]
```



- Bottom-up dynamic programming algorithm
 - > I know I will need the smaller problems \rightarrow solve them first
 - > Solve problem of size 0, then 1, then 2, then 3, ... then n

```
BOTTOM-UP-CUT-ROD(p, n)
   let r[0..n] be a new array
2 r[0] = 0
3
 for j = 1 to n
4
       q = -\infty
                                                \Theta(n^2)
5
       for i = 1 to j
6
           q = \max(q, p[i] + r[j - i])
7
       r[j] = q
8
   return r[n]
```

Elements of a Dynamic Programming Problem



- > Optimal substructure
 - Optimal solution of a larger problem comes from optimal solutions of smaller problems
- Subproblem overlapping
 - > Same exact sub-problems are solved again and again

Optimal Substructure



- Longest path from A to F LP(A,F) includes node B
 - But it does not include LP(A,B) and LP(B,F)
 - i.e., optimal solutions for the subproblems $A \rightarrow B$, and $B \rightarrow F$ cannot be combined to find an optimal solution for $A \rightarrow F$





Dynamic Programming vs. D&C

> How different?

Dynamic Programming vs. D&C



- > How different?
 - > No subproblem overlapping
 - > Each subproblem with distinct input is a new problem
 - > Not necessarily optimization problems, i.e., no objective function

Reconstructing Solution



- > Rod cutting problem: What are the actual cuts?
 - > Not only the best revenue (the optimal objective function value)

Reconstructing Solution



- > Rod cutting problem: What are the actual cuts?
 - > Not only the best revenue (the optimal objective function value)

EXTENDED-BOTTOM-UP-CUT-ROD(p, n)let $r[0 \dots n]$ and $s[1 \dots n]$ be new arrays 1 2 r[0] = 03 **for** j = 1 **to** n4 $q = -\infty$ 5 for i = 1 to j**if** q < p[i] + r[j - i]6 q = p[i] + r[j-i]7 s[j] = i8 9 r[j] = q**return** r and s 10

Reconstructing Solution



- > Rod cutting problem: What are the actual cuts?
 - > Not only the best revenue (the optimal objective function value)

PRINT-CUT-ROD-SOLUTION(p, n)

1 (r,s) = EXTENDED-BOTTOM-UP-CUT-ROD(p,n)

2 while
$$n > 0$$

B print
$$s[n]$$

$$4 n = n - s[n]$$

> Let's trace examples



> How to multiply a chain of four matrices $A_1A_2A_3A_4$?



> How to multiply a chain of four matrices $A_1A_2A_3A_4$?

 $(A_1(A_2(A_3A_4)))$ $(A_1((A_2A_3)A_4))$ $((A_1A_2)(A_3A_4))$ $((A_1(A_2A_3))A_4)$ $(((A_1A_2)A_3)A_4)$



> How to multiply a chain of four matrices $A_1A_2A_3A_4$?

 $(A_1(A_2(A_3A_4)))$ $(A_1((A_2A_3)A_4))$ $((A_1A_2)(A_3A_4))$ $((A_1(A_2A_3))A_4)$ $(((A_1A_2)A_3)A_4)$

Does it really make a difference?



> How to multiply a chain of four matrices $A_1A_2A_3A_4$?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

 $(A_1(A_2(A_3A_4)))$ $(A_1((A_2A_3)A_4))$ $((A_1A_2)(A_3A_4))$ $((A_1(A_2A_3))A_4)$ $(((A_1A_2)A_3)A_4)$

- Does it really make a difference?
- * # of multiplications:

A.rows*B.cols*A.cols

MATRIX-MULTIPLY (A, B)

if A. columns ≠ B. rows
error "incompatible dimensions"
else let C be a new A. rows × B. columns matrix
for i = 1 to A rows

```
for i = 1 to A.rows
for j = 1 to B.columns
```

 $c_{ij} = 0$ **for** k = 1 **to** A.columns $c_{ij} = c_{ij} + a_{ik} \cdot b_{kj}$

return C

1

7

8

9



- Does it really make > a difference?
- > # of multiplications: A.rows*B.cols*A.cols
- Example: > A1*A2*A3

Dimensions:

10x100x5x50

MATRIX-MULTIPLY(A, B)if A. columns \neq B. rows error "incompatible dimensions" 2 3 else let C be a new A.rows \times B.columns matrix for i = 1 to A. rows 4 5 for j = 1 to B. columns 6 $c_{ii} = 0$ for k = 1 to A. columns $c_{ij} = c_{ij} + a_{ik} \cdot b_{kj}$ return C

- # of multiplications in ((A1*A2)*A3)=10*100*5+10*5*50=7.5K >
- # of multiplications in (A1*(A2*A3))=100*5*50+10*100*50=75K >



> Given n matrices $A_1 A_2 \dots A_n$ of dimensions $p_0 p_1 \dots p_n$, find the optimal parentheses to multiply the matrix chain



- Given n matrices A₁ A₂ ... A_n of dimensions p₀ p₁ ... p_n, find the optimal parentheses to multiply the matrix chain
- > $A_1 A_2 A_3 A_4 A_5 \dots A_n$



- Given n matrices A₁ A₂ ... A_n of dimensions p₀ p₁ ... p_n, find the optimal parentheses to multiply the matrix chain
- $> A_1 A_2 A_3 A_4 A_5 \dots A_n$
- > $(A_1 A_2 A_3)(A_4 A_5 ... A_n)$



- Given n matrices A₁ A₂ ... A_n of dimensions p₀ p₁ ... p_n, find the optimal parentheses to multiply the matrix chain
- > $A_1 A_2 A_3 A_4 A_5 \dots A_n$
- > $(A_1 A_2 A_3)(A_4 A_5 ... A_n)$
- > Sub-chains C1 = $(A_1 A_2 A_3), C2 = (A_4 A_5 ... A_n)$



- Given n matrices A₁ A₂ ... A_n of dimensions p₀ p₁ ... p_n, find the optimal parentheses to multiply the matrix chain
- $A_1 A_2 A_3 A_4 A_5 \dots A_n$
- > $(A_1 A_2 A_3)(A_4 A_5 ... A_n)$
- > Sub-chains C1 = $(A_1 A_2 A_3), C2 = (A_4 A_5 ... A_n)$
- > Total Cost C = $cost(C1)+cost(C2)+p_0p_3p_n$



- Given n matrices A₁ A₂ ... A_n of dimensions p₀ p₁ ... p_n, find the optimal parentheses to multiply the matrix chain
- $A_1 A_2 A_3 A_4 A_5 \dots A_n$
- > $(A_1 A_2 A_3)(A_4 A_5 ... A_n)$
- > Sub-chains C1 = $(A_1 A_2 A_3), C2 = (A_4 A_5 ... A_n)$
- > Total Cost C = $cost(C1)+cost(C2)+p_0p_3p_n$
- Then, if cost(C1) and cost(C2) are minimal (i.e., optimal), then C is optimal (optimal substructure holds)



- Given n matrices A₁ A₂ ... A_n of dimensions p₀ p₁ ... p_n, find the optimal parentheses to multiply the matrix chain
- > $A_1 A_2 A_3 A_4 A_5 \dots A_n$
- > $(A_1 A_2 A_3)(A_4 A_5 ... A_n)$
- > Sub-chains C1 = $(A_1 A_2 A_3), C2 = (A_4 A_5 ... A_n)$
- > Total Cost C = $cost(C1)+cost(C2)+p_0p_3p_n$
- Then, if cost(C1) and cost(C2) are minimal (i.e., optimal), then C is optimal (optimal substructure holds)
- > Proof by contradiction:
 - Given C is optimal, are cost(C1)=c1 and cost(C2)=c2 optimal?
 - Assume c1 is NOT optimal, then ∃ an optimal solution of cost c1' < c1</p>
 - > Then c1'+c2+p < c1+c2+p \rightarrow C' < C
 - > Then C is not optimal \rightarrow contradiction!
 - > Then C1 has to be optimal \rightarrow optimal substructure holds



- Given n matrices A₁ A₂ ... A_n of dimensions p₀ p₁ ... p_n, find the optimal parentheses to multiply the matrix chain
- > $A_1 A_2 A_3 A_4 A_5 \dots A_n$
- > $(A_1 A_2 A_3)(A_4 A_5 ... A_n)$
- > Sub-chains C1 = $(A_1 A_2 A_3), C2 = (A_4 A_5 ... A_n)$
- > Total Cost C = $cost(C1)+cost(C2)+p_0p_3p_n$
- Then, if cost(C1) and cost(C2) are minimal (i.e., optimal), then C is optimal (optimal substructure holds)
- Optimal C1, C2 might be one of different options

>
$$C1 = (A_1 A_2), C2 = (A_3 A_4 A_5 \dots A_n)$$

>
$$C1 = (A_1), C2 = (A_2 A_3 A_4 A_5 \dots A_n)$$

> $C1 = (A_1 A_2 A_3 A_4), C2 = (A_5 \dots A_n)$



Assume k is length of first sub-chain C1





Assume k is length of first sub-chain C1





Assume k is length of first sub-chain C1



> Obviously, a lot of overlapping subproblems appear



Assume k is length of first sub-chain C1



- > Obviously, a lot of overlapping subproblems appear
- Optimal substructure + subproblem overlapping = dynamic programming



- > Generally: $A_i \dots A_k \dots A_j$ of dimensions $p_i \dots p_k \dots p_j$
- > $(A_i \dots A_k)(A_{k+1} \dots A_j)$, where k=i,i+1,...j-1
- Then, solve each sub-chains recursively



- > Generally: $A_i \dots A_k \dots A_j$ of dimensions $p_i \dots p_k \dots p_j$
- > $(A_i \dots A_k)(A_{k+1} \dots A_j)$, where k=i,i+1,...j-1
- Then, solve each sub-chains recursively

$$m[i, j] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = j \\ \min_{i \le k < j} \{m[i, k] + m[k+1, j] + p_{i-1} p_k p_j\} & \text{if } i < j \end{cases}$$



> What is the smallest subproblem?

UCR

- > What is the smallest subproblem?
 - > A chain of length 2



- > What is the smallest subproblem?
 - > A chain of length 2
- > Solve all chains of length 2, then 3, then 4, ...n

UCR

- > What is the smallest subproblem?
 - A chain of length 2
- > Solve all chains of length 2, then 3, then 4, ...n


- > What is the smallest subproblem?
 - A chain of length 2
- > Solve all chains of length 2, then 3, then 4, ...n



- > What is the smallest subproblem?
 - A chain of length 2
- > Solve all chains of length 2, then 3, then 4, ...n



- > What is the smallest subproblem?
 - A chain of length 2
- > Solve all chains of length 2, then 3, then 4, ...n



- > What is the smallest subproblem?
 - > A chain of length 2
- > Solve all chains of length 2, then 3, then 4, ...n



- > What is the smallest subproblem?
 - A chain of length 2
- > Solve all chains of length 2, then 3, then 4, ...n



- > What is the smallest subproblem?
 - A chain of length 2
- > Solve all chains of length 2, then 3, then 4, ...n



- > What is the smallest subproblem?
 - A chain of length 2
- > Solve all chains of length 2, then 3, then 4, ...n



- > What is the smallest subproblem?
 - A chain of length 2
- > Solve all chains of length 2, then 3, then 4, ...n

- > What is the smallest subproblem?
 - A chain of length 2
- > Solve all chains of length 2, then 3, then 4, ...n

- > What is the smallest subproblem?
 - A chain of length 2
- > Solve all chains of length 2, then 3, then 4, ...n

Matrix Chain Multiplication

MATRIX-CHAIN-ORDER (p)

1
$$n = p.length - 1$$

2 let $m[1 \dots n, 1 \dots n]$ and $s[1 \dots n - 1, 2 \dots n]$ be new tables
3 **for** $i = 1$ **to** n
4 $m[i, i] = 0$
5 **for** $l = 2$ **to** n // l is the chain length
6 **for** $i = 1$ **to** $n - l + 1$
7 $j = i + l - 1$
8 $m[i, j] = \infty$
9 **for** $k = i$ **to** $j - 1$
10 $q = m[i, k] + m[k + 1, j] + p_{i-1}p_k p_j$
11 **if** $q < m[i, j]$
12 $m[i, j] = q$
13 $s[i, j] = k$
14 **return** m and s

- $S_1 = \text{ACCGGTCGAGTGCGCGGAAGCCGGCCGAA}$
- $S_2 = \text{GTCGTTCGGAATGCCGTTGCTCTGTAAA}$
- A string subsequence is an ordered set of characters (not necessarily consecutive)
- A common subsequence of two strings is a subsequence that exist in both strings.
- The longest common subsequence is the common subsequence of the maximum length.

- > Given two strings: $X = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \rangle$ $Y = \langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$
- Find the longest common subsequence of X and Y LCS(X,Y)

- > Given two strings: $X = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \rangle$ $Y = \langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$
- Find the longest common subsequence of X and Y LCS(X,Y)
 - > Brute force?

- Given two strings: $X = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \rangle$ $Y = \langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$
- Find the longest common subsequence of X and Y LCS(X,Y)
 - Brute force? O(n*2^m) or O(m*2ⁿ) [enumerate all subsequences of X and check in Y, or vice versa]

- Given two strings: $X = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \rangle$ $Y = \langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$
- Find the longest common subsequence of X and Y LCS(X,Y)
 - Brute force? O(n*2^m) or O(m*2ⁿ) [enumerate all subsequences of X and check in Y, or vice versa]
- Are smaller problems simpler?

- > Given two strings: $X = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \rangle$ $Y = \langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$
- Find the longest common subsequence of X and Y LCS(X,Y)
 - Brute force? O(n*2^m) or O(m*2ⁿ) [enumerate all subsequences of X and check in Y, or vice versa]
- Are smaller problems simpler?
- > Let's define string prefixes

$$X_i = \langle x_1, x_2, ..., x_i \rangle$$
, for $i = 0, 1, ..., m$

and same for Y_j for j = 0,1,..., n

Let $X = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \rangle$ and $Y = \langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$ be sequences, and let $Z = \langle z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k \rangle$ be any LCS of X and Y.

1. If $x_m = y_n$, then $z_k = x_m = y_n$ and Z_{k-1} is an LCS of X_{m-1} and Y_{n-1} .

2. If $x_m \neq y_n$, then $z_k \neq x_m$ implies that Z is an LCS of X_{m-1} and Y.

3. If $x_m \neq y_n$, then $z_k \neq y_n$ implies that Z is an LCS of X and Y_{n-1} .

> Prove by contradiction

Let $X = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \rangle$ and $Y = \langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$ be sequences, and let $Z = \langle z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k \rangle$ be any LCS of X and Y.

1. If $x_m = y_n$, then $z_k = x_m = y_n$ and Z_{k-1} is an LCS of X_{m-1} and Y_{n-1} .

- 2. If $x_m \neq y_n$, then $z_k \neq x_m$ implies that Z is an LCS of X_{m-1} and Y.
- 3. If $x_m \neq y_n$, then $z_k \neq y_n$ implies that Z is an LCS of X and Y_{n-1} .
- > Prove by contradiction

Let $X = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m \rangle$ and $Y = \langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n \rangle$ be sequences, and let $Z = \langle z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k \rangle$ be any LCS of X and Y.

1. If $x_m = y_n$, then $z_k = x_m = y_n$ and Z_{k-1} is an LCS of X_{m-1} and Y_{n-1} .

- 2. If $x_m \neq y_n$, then $z_k \neq x_m$ implies that Z is an LCS of X_{m-1} and Y.
- 3. If $x_m \neq y_n$, then $z_k \neq y_n$ implies that Z is an LCS of X and Y_{n-1} .
- > Prove by contradiction

Let c[i,j] is LCS length of X_i and Y_j

$$c[i, j] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 0 \text{ or } j = 0, \\ c[i-1, j-1] + 1 & \text{if } i, j > 0 \text{ and } x_i = y_j, \\ \max(c[i, j-1], c[i-1, j]) & \text{if } i, j > 0 \text{ and } x_i \neq y_j. \end{cases}$$

>

>

Example: X="CS141" Y="CS111" $c[i, j] = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i = 0 \text{ or } j = 0, \\ c[i-1, j-1] + 1 & \text{if } i, j > 0 \text{ and } x_i = y_j, \\ \max(c[i, j-1], c[i-1, j]) & \text{if } i, j > 0 \text{ and } x_i \neq y_j. \end{cases}$ "" С S "" С S

>

Example: X="CS141" Y="CS111"								
•				(0			if $i = 0$ or $j = 0$,	
			c[i, j	$c[i, j] = \begin{cases} c[i-1, j-1] + 1 \\ max(a[i, j-1] + 1] \\ c[i, j-1] \end{cases}$			if $i, j > 0$ and $x_i = y_j$, 1 ii) if $i, j > 0$ and $x_i \neq y_j$	
	""	С	S	(max(c) 1	[i, j - 1], c[i - 1]	- 1, <i>J</i>]) II <i>i</i> , 1	$y > 0$ and $x_i \neq y_j$.	
""	0	0	0	0	0	0		
С	0	1	1	1	1	1		
S	0	1	2	2	2	2		
1	0	1	2	3	3	3		
4	0	1	2	3	3	3		
1	0	1	2	3	4	4		

LCS-LENGTH(X, Y)

m *ength* 1 2 n *ength* 3 let $b[1 \dots m, 1 \dots n]$ and $c[0 \dots m, 0 \dots n]$ be new tables 4 **for** i = 1 **to** m 5 c[i, 0] = 06 **for** j = 0 **to** n7 c[0, j] = 08 for i = 1 to m 9 for j = 1 to n10 if $x_i = y_i$ c[i, j] = c[i - 1, j - 1] + 111 $b[i, j] = " \ "$ 12 **elseif** $c[i - 1, j] \ge c[i, j - 1]$ 13 c[i, j] = c[i - 1, j]14 15 $b[i, j] = ``\uparrow"$ **else** c[i, j] = c[i, j-1]16 $b[i, j] = " \leftarrow "$ 17 18 **return** c and b

97

Book Readings

> Ch. 15: 15.1-15.4