CS 153 Design of Operating Systems

Winter 2016

Lecture 9: Semaphores and Monitors Some slides from Matt Welsh

Summarize Where We Are

- Goal: Use mutual exclusion to protect critical sections of code that access shared resources
- Method: Use locks (spinlocks or disable interrupts)
- Problem: Critical sections can be long

Spinlocks:

- Threads waiting to acquire lock spin in test-and-set loop
- Wastes CPU cycles
- Longer the CS, the longer the spin
- Greater the chance for lock holder to be interrupted



Disabling Interrupts:

- Should not disable interrupts for long periods of time
- Can miss or delay important events (e.g., timer, I/O)

Implementing Locks (4) -- (no spin)

```
struct lock {
    int held = 0;
}
void acquire (lock) {
    while (test-and-set(&lock->held))
        thread_yield();
}
void release (lock) {
    lock->held = 0;
}
```

Implementing Locks (4) -- (no spin)

- mutex_lock:
- TSL REGISTER, MUTEX
- CMP REGISTER, #0
- JNE ok
- CALL thread_yield
- JMP mutex_lock
- ok: RET
- mutex_unlock:
- MOVE MUTEX, #0
- RET

|copy mutex to register, set mutex to 1
|was mutex zero?
|if zero, mutex was unlocked, so return
|mutex busy, schedule another thread
|try again later
|return to caller; CR entered

|store a 0 in mutex |return to caller

Implementing Locks (5) -- Mutex (true blocking)

System-wide

```
struct lock {
  int held = 0;
}
void acquire (lock) {
  if(test-and-set(&lock->held))
     // block the thread;
     // send it to a waiting queue
}
void release (lock) {
  lock->held = 0;
  // move on thread from the waiting
  // queue to ready queue
```

Higher-level synchronization primitives

- We have looked at one synchronization primitive: locks
- Locks are useful, but may not satisfy all program needs
- Examples? Reader/Writer problem
 - Say we had a shared variable where we wanted any number of threads to read the variable, but only one thread to write it.
 - How would you do this with locks? What's wrong with this code?

```
Reader() {
    lock.acquire();
    local_copy = shared_var;
    lock.release();
    return local_copy;
}
```

```
Writer() {
    lock.acquire();
    shared_var = NEW_VALUE;
    lock.release();
}
```

Semaphores

- Semaphores are an abstract data type that provide mutual exclusion to critical sections
 - Block waiters, interrupts enabled within critical section
 - Described by Dijkstra in THE system in 1968
- Semaphores are integers that support two operations:
 - wait(semaphore): decrement, block until semaphore is open
 - » Also P(), after the Dutch word for test, or down()
 - signal(semaphore): increment, allow another thread to enter
 - » Also V() after the Dutch word for increment, or up()
 - That's it! No other operations not even just reading its value exist
- Semaphore safety property: the semaphore value is always greater than or equal to 0

Blocking in Semaphores

- Associated with each semaphore is a queue of waiting threads/processes
- When wait() is called by a thread:
 - If semaphore is open, thread continues
 - If semaphore is closed, thread blocks on queue
- Then signal() opens the semaphore:
 - If a thread is waiting on the queue, the thread is unblocked
 - If no threads are waiting on the queue, the signal is remembered for the next thread

Semaphore Types

- Semaphores come in two types
- Mutex semaphore (or binary semaphore)
 - Represents single access to a resource
 - Guarantees mutual exclusion to a critical section
- Counting semaphore (or general semaphore)
 - Multiple threads pass the semaphore determined by count
 mutex has count = 1, counting has count = N
 - Represents a resource with many units available
 - or a resource allowing some unsynchronized concurrent access (e.g., reading)

Using Semaphores

• Use is similar to our locks, but semantics are different



Using Semaphores

- We've looked at a simple example for using synchronization
 - Mutual exclusion while accessing a bank account
- Now we're going to use semaphores to look at more interesting examples
 - Producer consumer with bounded buffers
 - Readers/Writers

Producer-Consumer Problem / Bounded Buffer

• Problem:

- Producer puts things into a shared buffer
- Consumer takes them out
- Need synchronization for coordinating producer and consumer
- Example
 - Coke machine



Bounded Buffer



- Problem: There is a set of resource buffers shared by producer and consumer threads
 - Producer inserts resources into the buffer set
 - » Output, disk blocks, memory pages, processes, etc
- Buffer between producer and consumer allows them to
 - operate somewhat independently (execute at different rates)
- Otherwise must operate in lockstep
 - producer puts 1 thing in buffer, then consumer takes it out
 - then producer adds another, then consumer takes it out, etc
- What is desired safety property?
 - Sequence of consumed values is prefix of sequence of produced values
 - If *nc* is number consumed, *np* number produced, and N the size of the buffer, then 0 ≤ *np* − *nc* ≤ N

First Try: Sleep and Wakeup

#define N 100//# of slots in the bufferint count=0;//# of items in the buffer

```
producer {
                                              consumer {
 while(TRUE) {
                                               while(TRUE) {
                                                 if (count==0) sleep(inf); // no more item
  // produce new item
  if (count==N) sleep(inf); // wait for buffer
                                                 // remove item
  // insert item
                                                 count=count-1;
                                                 if(count==N-1) // have spaces now
  count=count+1;
  if(count==1) // just filled an empty buffer
                                                  wakeup(producer);
   wakeup(consumer);
                                                 Il consume resource;
```

What are the problems?

- Producer-consumer problem with fatal race condition
 - Access to "count" is a race condition
 - Access to "buffer" is a race condition
 - Wakeup call could get lost
- count = count + 1;

mov eax, count inc eax mov count, eax

```
count = count – 1;
↓
mov eax, count
dec eax
```

- mov count, eax
- Obviously, we need synchronization!

Second Try: Mutual Exclusion

#define N 100 //# of slots in the buffer int count=0; //# of items in the buffer Semaphore mutex = 1; // mutual exclusion

producer {	consumer {
while(TRUE) {	while(TRUE) {
// produce new item	wait(mutex); // lock for shared data access
wait(mutex); // lock for shared data access	if (count==0) sleep(inf); // no more item
if (count==N) sleep(inf); // wait for buffer	// remove item
// insert item	count=count-1;
count=count+1;	if(count==N-1) // have spaces now
if(count==1) // just filled an empty buffer	wakeup(producer);
wakeup(consumer);	signal(mutex); // unlock
signal(mutex); // unlock	<i>Il consume resource;</i>
}	}
3	3

Bounded Buffer (2)

- $0 \le np nc \le N$
- Use three semaphores:
 - filled count of filled buffers
 - » Counting semaphore
 - » filled = ?
 - (*np nc*)
 - empty count of empty buffers
 - » Counting semaphore
 - » empty = ?
 - N (*np nc*)
 - mutex mutual exclusion to shared set of buffers
 - » Binary semaphore

Last Try: Semaphores

Semaphore mutex = 1; // mutual exclusion to shared buffer Semaphore empty = N; // count of empty buffer slots (all empty to start) Semaphore filled = 0; // count of filled buffer slots (none to start)

producer {
 while (1) {
 Produce new resource;
 wait(empty); // wait for empty slot
 wait(mutex); // lock buffer list
 Add resource to an empty slot;
 signal(mutex); // unlock buffer list
 signal(filled); // note a filled slot
}

consumer {
 while (1) {
 wait(filled); // wait for a filled slot
 wait(mutex); // lock buffer list
 Remove resource from a filled slot;
 signal(mutex); // unlock buffer list
 signal(empty); // note an empty slot
 Consume resource;

Bounded Buffer (4)

- Why need the mutex at all?
- The pattern of signal/wait on full/empty is a common construct often called an interlock
- Producer-Consumer and Bounded Buffer are classic examples of synchronization problems

Next time...

- Scheduling
 - Read Chapter 6