UC RIVERSIDE - Faculty Instruction Evaluation (iEval) Winter 2015

Course: CS 145 Section: 001 - COMBINATRIAL OPTMZTN ALGORITHM
Instructor: Neal E. Young
Home Dept.: Computer Science & Engineering

Enrollment: 12	Enrollment: 2464	Enrollment: 68452
Respondents: 8	Respondents: 1883	Respondents: 52598
Response Rate: 67%	Response Rate: 76%	Response Rate: 77%

Questions	5 High	4	3	2	1 Low	N/A	Mean	Med	SD	Dept % tile	Dept Mean	Dept Med	Dept SD	Campus % tile	Campus Mean	Campus Med	Campus SD
1 I had a strong desire to take this course	4	3	1	-	-	-	4.4	4.5	0.7	80	3.9	4.0	1.0	77	4.0	4.0	1.1
2 I attended class regularly	4	4	-	-	-	-	4.5	4.5	0.5	69	4.1	4.0	1.0	71	4.4	5.0	0.8
3 I put considerable effort into this course	3	4	1	-	-	-	4.3	4.0	0.7	71	4.1	4.0	0.9	67	4.3	4.0	0.8
4 I gained a good understanding of the course content	2	3	3	-	-	-	3.9	4.0	0.8	50	4.0	4.0	0.9	52	4.2	4.0	0.9
5 I normally spent at least two hours preparing for each hour of class	4	2	2	-	-	-	4.3	4.5	0.9	93	3.6	4.0	1.1	75	3.9	4.0	1.1
6 Instructor was prepared and organized	5	3	-	-	-	-	4.6	5.0	0.5	93	4.3	4.0	0.8	83	4.3	5.0	0.8
7 Instructor used class time effectively	5	3	-	-	-	-	4.6	5.0	0.5	93	4.2	4.0	0.9	85	4.3	5.0	0.9
8 Instructor was clear and understandable	5	3	-	-	-	-	4.6	5.0	0.5	94	4.2	4.0	0.9	85	4.2	4.0	1.0
9 Instructor exhibited enthusiasm for subject and teaching	5	3	-	-	-	-	4.6	5.0	0.5	92	4.3	4.0	0.8	82	4.4	5.0	0.8
10 Instructor respected students; sensitive to and concerned with their progress	5	3	-	-	-	-	4.6	5.0	0.5	93	4.2	4.0	0.9	82	4.4	5.0	0.8
11 Instructor was available and helpful	5	3	-	-	-	-	4.6	5.0	0.5	86	4.2	4.0	0.8	82	4.3	5.0	0.8
12 Instructor was fair in evaluating students	5	3	-	-	-	-	4.6	5.0	0.5	92	4.2	4.0	0.8	83	4.3	4.0	0.9
13 Instructor was effective as a teacher overall	5	3	-	-	-	-	4.6	5.0	0.5	93	4.2	4.0	0.9	85	4.3	4.0	0.9
14 The syllabus clearly explained the structure of the courses	3	3	2	-	-	-	4.1	4.0	0.8	45	4.3	4.0	0.8	63	4.4	5.0	0.8
15 The examinations reflected the materials covered during the course	5	3	-	-	-	-	4.6	5.0	0.5	92	4.3	4.0	0.8	83	4.3	4.0	0.8
16 The required readings contributed to my learning	5	3	-	-	-	-	4.6	5.0	0.5	93	4.2	4.0	0.9	83	4.2	4.0	0.9
17 The assignments contributed to my learning	6	2	-	-	-	-	4.8	5.0	0.5	100	4.2	4.0	0.9	91	4.3	4.0	0.8
18 Supplementary materials (e.g. films, slides, videos, demonstrations, guest lectures, iLearn, web pages, etc) were informative	4	4	-	-	-	-	4.5	4.5	0.5	92	4.1	4.0	0.9	77	4.2	4.0	0.9
19 The course overall as a learning experience was excellent	5	3	-	-	-	-	4.6	5.0	0.5	93	4.1	4.0	0.9	85	4.2	4.0	0.9

* The number of N/A is not included in the Mean, Median, and S.D. calculation.

Question # 25: Please comment on how the instructor's teaching helped your learning of the material in this course. Please give serious thought to your comments. Your comments will be studied by the professor after the grade and performance evaluation of your work have been submitted and may be used in changing future offerings of the course. In addition, these comments are placed in the instructor's file and may be used for purposes of evaluating the instructor's teaching. The information collected will remain anonymous.

- I enjoy taking Dr. Young's class. His logic is very clear, explanation is well organized, which greatly helps students to follow the lecture. The best professor I have seen at UCR. I appreciate Dr. Young's preparation on this class.

- Good overall.

- We cover fields including linear and integer programming, approximation algorithms, flow networks, reductions, and proofs among others. In addition to be a very friendly faculty, Dr. Young has the ability to explain very sophisticated ideas in no-so-complex ways. He is able to explain all these complex algorithms and ideas with an unusual easiness. Everything being taken into account this class is a great continuation and complement to the field of algorithms. If are about to register this class go for it! Just be willing to work around 8 hours per week digesting the material. I am glad I registered this course.

- Some of the contents of the course is repetitive if you have taken CS 215 and CS 218. But if not, then this course is a goon one for understanding some approximation algorithm and Complexity of problems. The instructor has a very good idea about his content and he tries a lot to make the content understandable to his student. Overall this class was a good experience and learned quite a lot about optimization algorithms.