UC RIVERSIDE - Faculty Instruction Evaluation (iEval) Winter 2014

Course: CS 215 Section: 001 - THEORY OF COMPUTATION

nstructor: Neal E. Young

Home Dept.: Computer Science & Engineering

Enrollment: 16 Respondents: 14 Response Rate: 88% Enrollment: 2421 Respondents: 1888 Response Rate: 78% Enrollment: 64823 Respondents: 50450 Response Rate: 78%

	Course							Department				Campus		
Questions	<u>5</u> High		<u>2</u>	<u>1</u> Low		Mear	n Med SD	% tile	Mean	Med	SD	% tile	Mean	Med SE
I had a strong desire to take this course	6	5 3	3 -	-	-	4.2	4.0 0.8	62	4.0	4.0	1.0	68	4.0	4.0 1.1
? I attended class regularly	10	2 2	2 -	-	-	4.6	5.0 0.8	82	4.2	5.0	1.0	73	4.4	5.0 0.8
3 I put considerable effort into this course	9	3 2	<u> -</u>	-	-	4.5	5.0 0.8	78	4.2	4.0	8.0	74	4.3	4.0 0.8
I gained a good understanding of the course content	7	4 2	2 1	-	-	4.2	4.5 1.0	64	4.1	4.0	8.0	67	4.2	4.0 0.9
in I normally spent at least two hours preparing for each hour of class	9	23	3 -	-	-	4.4	5.0 0.9	82	3.7	4.0	1.1	77	3.9	4.0 1.1
Instructor was prepared and organized	9	2 2	2 1	-	-	4.4	5.0 1.0	64	4.3	5.0	8.0	75	4.4	5.0 0.8
' Instructor used class time effectively	8	3 1	1	1	-	4.1	5.0 1.3	40	4.3	4.0	0.9	61	4.3	5.0 0.9
Instructor was clear and understandable	10	2 2	2 -	-	-	4.6	5.0 0.8	91	4.3	5.0	0.9	87	4.2	4.0 1.0
Instructor exhibited enthusiasm for subject and teaching	10	2 2	<u> -</u>	-	-	4.6	5.0 0.8	80	4.3	5.0	0.9	81	4.4	5.0 0.8
0 Instructor respected students; sensitive to and concerned with their progress	12	1 1	-	-	-	4.8	5.0 0.6	100	4.2	4.0	0.9	91	4.4	5.0 0.9
1 Instructor was available and helpful	12	1 1	-	-	-	4.8	5.0 0.6	100	4.2	4.0	0.9	91	4.3	5.0 0.9
2 Instructor was fair in evaluating students	11	1 2	2 -	-	-	4.6	5.0 0.7	91	4.3	4.0	0.9	82	4.3	4.0 0.9
3 Instructor was effective as a teacher overall	10	13	} -	-	-	4.5	5.0 0.9	77	4.2	4.0	0.9	80	4.3	4.0 0.9
4 The syllabus clearly explained the structure of the courses	9	3 1	1	-	-	4.4	5.0 0.9	67	4.3	4.0	8.0	71	4.4	5.0 0.8
5 The examinations reflected the materials covered during the course	9	3 1	1	-	-	4.4	5.0 0.9	73	4.3	4.0	8.0	75	4.3	4.0 0.8
6 The required readings contributed to my learning	8	3 3	3 -	-	-	4.4	5.0 0.8	78	4.2	4.0	0.9	75	4.2	4.0 0.9
7 The assignments contributed to my learning	9	3 2	2 -	-	-	4.5	5.0 0.8	70	4.3	4.0	0.9	76	4.3	4.0 0.9
8 Supplementary materials (e.g. films, slides, videos, demonstrations, guest lectures, iLearn, web pages, etc) were informative	8	2 4		-	-	4.3	5.0 0.9	60	4.2	4.0	0.9	67	4.2	4.0 0.9
9 The course overall as a learning experience was excellent	10	13	3 -	-	-	4.5	5.0 0.9	82	4.2	4.0	0.9	82	4.2	4.0 0.9

The number of N/A is not included in the Mean, Median, and S.D. calculation.



Winter 2014

Course: CS 215 Section: 001 - THEORY OF COMPUTATION

Instructor: Neal E. Young

Question # 25: Please comment on how the instructor's teaching helped your learning of the material in this course. Please give serious thought to your comments. Your comments will be studied by the professor after the grade and performance evaluation of your work have been submitted and may be used in changing future offerings of the course. In addition, these comments are placed in the instructor's file and may be used for purposes of evaluating the instructor's teaching. The information collected will remain anonymous.

- This class is not easy but Neal is awesome. In this class, he tried all methods to help us understand the materials. He will answer our questions in the Piazza and in the group discussion. Besides, whenever you have questions, he will make an appointment immediately and be very patient to tell you how to solve it. I appreciate that he is our teacher.
- This class was okay. I had a hard time with it in general for some reason though. The main bad thing is the professor seemed to not really be prepared to teach a large number of times which isn't something you should do in general.
- Dropped course not sure why this was on my ieval.