UC RIVERSIDE - Student Evaluation of Instructor, Instructional Development Courses - Winter 2006

Course: CS 260 Section: 003 - SEMINAR IN
COMPUTER SCIENCE
Instructor: Neal E. Young
Home Dept.: Computer Science & Engineering
Tracking #: 644

Enrollment: 8
Respondents: 8
Response Rate: 100%

Enrollment: 1561
Respondents: 832
Response Rate: 53%

Enrollment: 45146
Respondents: 30730
Response Rate: 68%

Questions	Course											Department				Campus			
	7 High	**6**	**5**	**4**	**3**	**2**	**1** Low	**N/A**	Mean	Med	SD	% tile	Mean	Med	SD	% tile	Mean	Med	SD
1 What is your overall rating of the instructor?	7	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.9	7.0	0.4	95	5.7	6.0	1.3	98	6.0	6.0	1.2
2 What is your overall rating of the course?	6	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.8	7.0	0.5	100	5.5	6.0	1.4	95	5.7	6.0	1.3
3 Did the instructor present the material in an organized, understandable manner?	6	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.8	7.0	0.5	100	5.9	6.0	1.2	95	6.0	6.0	1.3
4 Was the instructor concerned about students learning and understanding the course material?	6	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.8	7.0	0.5	100	5.6	6.0	1.5	95	6.0	6.0	1.3
5 Have you learned something you consider valuable?	7	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	6.9	7.0	0.4	100	5.7	6.0	1.4	97	5.9	6.0	1.4

* The number of N/A is not included in the Mean, Median, and S.D. calculation.

COMPUTER SCIENCE & ENGINEERING   DEPARTMENTAL SUMMARY

ENROLLMENT: 1561   FORMS COMPLETED: 832   PERCENT COMPLETED: 53.3

1. What is your overall rating of the instructor?

	High						Low	N/A
	(7)	(6)	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	299	221	164	90	30	12	10	6

MEAN: 5.7   MEDIAN: 6   STD. DEV: 1.3

2. What is your overall rating of the course?

	High						Low	N/A
	(7)	(6)	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	220	242	192	103	44	14	11	6

MEAN: 5.5   MEDIAN: 6   STD. DEV: 1.4

3. Did the instructor present the material in an organized, understandable manner?

	High						Low	N/A
	(7)	(6)	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	338	238	140	66	29	13	2	6

MEAN: 5.9   MEDIAN: 6   STD. DEV: 1.2

4. Was the instructor concerned about students learning and understanding the course material?

	High						Low	N/A
	(7)	(6)	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	301	210	128	96	43	27	18	9

MEAN: 5.6   MEDIAN: 6   STD. DEV: 1.5

5. Have you learned something you consider valuable?

	High						Low	N/A
	(7)	(6)	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	350	172	127	111	40	15	11	6

MEAN: 5.7   MEDIAN: 6   STD. DEV: 1.4

UCR CAMPUSWIDE SUMMARY

ENROLLMENT: 45146   FORMS COMPLETED: 30730   PERCENT COMPLETED: 68.07

1. What is your overall rating of the instructor?

	High						Low	N/A
	(7)	(6)	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	13122	9093	5042	1978	776	366	245	108

MEAN: 6   MEDIAN: 6   STD. DEV: 1.2

2. What is your overall rating of the course?

	High						Low	N/A
	(7)	(6)	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	10314	9140	6365	2895	1114	455	275	172

MEAN: 5.7   MEDIAN: 6   STD. DEV: 1.3

3. Did the instructor present the material in an organized, understandable manner?

	High						Low	N/A
	(7)	(6)	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	14257	8016	4450	2096	945	550	242	174

MEAN: 6   MEDIAN: 6   STD. DEV: 1.3

4. Was the instructor concerned about students learning and understanding the course material?

	High						Low	N/A
	(7)	(6)	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	14668	8006	4071	2213	813	442	333	184

MEAN: 6   MEDIAN: 6   STD. DEV: 1.3

5. Have you learned something you consider valuable?

	High						Low	N/A
	(7)	(6)	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	14387	6723	4111	3390	941	567	460	151

MEAN: 5.9   MEDIAN: 6   STD. DEV: 1.4

Instructor: Young, Neal E.   Course: Computer Science 260 (003)
Home Dept.: Computer Science & Engineering   SEMINAR IN COMPUTER SCIENCE
Enrollment: 8 (Excluding auditors and concurrently enrolled students)

Number of Forms Returned: 8

Tracking Number: 644

Below are the comments submitted by the students enrolled in the above listed course. All comments have been typed exactly as they were written, including any misspelling, grammatical errors, or punctuation errors. All comments submitted by a given student are grouped in a single paragraph, with a space separating the comments of different students. The number of students writing comments may be less than the number of forms returned because some of the students choose not to make comments.

The comments have been ordered on the basis of student responses (5-Strongly Agree , followed by 4-Agree, etc...) to the following questions: Section 2 - 1A: I had a strong desire to take this course. Section 2 - 8B: Instructor was effective as a teacher overall Section 2 - 6C: The course overall as a learning experience was excellent The comments of students who did not respond to the questions were typed last. It is hoped this ordering system will provide a useful but unbiased grouping of comments.

1. Good lecture.

2. It is one of the best courses that I have taken. It made me think a lot.

3. This is an awesome course. The book is not good since it is not well-written and materials are taken directly from papers.

4. Very stimulating course and instructor. Concepts are important and at the cutting edge of research.

5. The class was very much helpful. Opened my eyes to some of the hard problems in CS.