



UC RIVERSIDE - Student Evaluation of Instructor, Instructional Development Courses - Winter 2005

Course: CS 141 Section: 001 - INTERMED DATA
STRUCS & ALGORITHM
Instructor: Neal E. Young
Home Dept.: Computer Science & Engineering
Tracking #: 673

Enrollment: 22
Respondents: 14
Response Rate: 64%

Enrollment: 1642
Respondents: 860
Response Rate: 52%

Enrollment: 51432
Respondents: 33648
Response Rate: 65%

Questions	Course							Department			Campus								
	<u>7</u> High	<u>6</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u> Low	<u>N/A</u>	Mean	Med	SD	% tile	Mean	Med	SD	% tile	Mean	Med	SD
1 What is your overall rating of the instructor?	7	4	3	-	-	-	-	-	6.3	6.5	0.8	68	6.0	6.0	1.1	83	5.9	6.0	1.2
2 What is your overall rating of the course?	3	3	6	2	-	-	-	-	5.5	5.0	1.0	40	5.7	6.0	1.2	62	5.7	6.0	1.3
3 Did the instructor present the material in an organized, understandable manner?	6	4	3	1	-	-	-	-	6.1	6.0	1.0	59	6.1	6.0	1.1	78	5.9	6.0	1.3
4 Was the instructor concerned about students learning and understanding the course material?	9	4	1	-	-	-	-	-	6.6	7.0	0.6	78	6.1	6.0	1.1	89	6.0	6.0	1.3
5 Have you learned something you consider valuable?	6	3	4	1	-	-	-	-	6.0	6.0	1.0	56	5.9	6.0	1.3	74	5.8	6.0	1.5

* The number of N/A is not included in the Mean, Median, and S.D. calculation.

UCR STUDENT EVALUATION OF TEACHING FORM
OFFICE OF INSTRUCTIONAL DEVELOPMENT
Winter 2005

COMPUTER SCIENCE & ENGINEERING DEPARTMENTAL SUMMARY

ENROLLMENT: 1642

FORMS COMPLETED: 860

PERCENT COMPLETED: 52.38

1. What is your overall rating of the instructor?

	High						Low	N/A
	(7)	(6)	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	348	271	157	55	17	8	3	1
	MEAN: 6		MEDIAN: 6		STD. DEV: 1.1			

2. What is your overall rating of the course?

	High						Low	N/A
	(7)	(6)	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	270	242	214	78	36	14	3	3
	MEAN: 5.7		MEDIAN: 6		STD. DEV: 1.2			

3. Did the instructor present the material in an organized, understandable manner?

	High						Low	N/A
	(7)	(6)	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	398	234	136	65	15	6	4	2
	MEAN: 6.1		MEDIAN: 6		STD. DEV: 1.1			

4. Was the instructor concerned about students learning and understanding the course material?

	High						Low	N/A
	(7)	(6)	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	394	248	119	72	18	5	2	2
	MEAN: 6.1		MEDIAN: 6		STD. DEV: 1.1			

5. Have you learned something you consider valuable?

	High						Low	N/A
	(7)	(6)	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	412	179	124	100	30	7	7	1
	MEAN: 5.9		MEDIAN: 6		STD. DEV: 1.3			

UCR STUDENT EVALUATION OF TEACHING FORM
OFFICE OF INSTRUCTIONAL DEVELOPMENT
Winter 2005

UCR CAMPUSWIDE SUMMARY

ENROLLMENT: 51432

FORMS COMPLETED: 33648

PERCENT COMPLETED: 65.42

1. What is your overall rating of the instructor?

	High						Low	N/A
	(7)	(6)	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	13890	10074	5595	2371	878	477	320	43
	MEAN: 5.9		MEDIAN: 6		STD. DEV: 1.2			

2. What is your overall rating of the course?

	High						Low	N/A
	(7)	(6)	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	11027	9584	7043	3535	1330	609	406	114
	MEAN: 5.7		MEDIAN: 6		STD. DEV: 1.3			

3. Did the instructor present the material in an organized, understandable manner?

	High						Low	N/A
	(7)	(6)	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	15251	8787	5051	2459	1032	606	322	140
	MEAN: 5.9		MEDIAN: 6		STD. DEV: 1.3			

4. Was the instructor concerned about students learning and understanding the course material?

	High						Low	N/A
	(7)	(6)	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	15508	8781	4663	2631	939	519	449	158
	MEAN: 6		MEDIAN: 6		STD. DEV: 1.3			

5. Have you learned something you consider valuable?

	High						Low	N/A
	(7)	(6)	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	15035	7299	4666	4037	1214	695	589	113
	MEAN: 5.8		MEDIAN: 6		STD. DEV: 1.5			

UCR STUDENT EVALUATION OF TEACHING FORM
OFFICE OF INSTRUCTIONAL DEVELOPMENT
WINTER QUARTER 2005

Instructor: Young, Neal E.
Home Dept.: Computer Science & Engineering
Enrollment: 22 (Excluding auditors and concurrently enrolled students)

Course: Computer Science 141
INTERMED DATA STRUCS & ALGORITHM

Number of Forms Returned: 14

Tracking Number: 673

Below are the comments submitted by the students enrolled in the above listed course. All comments have been typed exactly as they were written, including any misspelling, grammatical errors, or punctuation errors. All comments submitted by a given student are grouped in a single paragraph, with a space separating the comments of different students. The number of students writing comments may be less than the number of forms returned because some of the students choose not to make comments.

The comments have been ordered on the basis of student responses (5-Strongly Agree , followed by 4-Agree, etc...) to the following questions: Section 2 - 1A: I had a strong desire to take this course. Section 2 - 8B: Instructor was effective as a teacher overall Section 2 - 6C: The course overall as a learning experience was excellent The comments of students who did not respond to the questions were typed last. It is hoped this ordering system will provide a useful but unbiased grouping of comments.

1. Professor is clearly concerned about teaching at a level appropriate to students.
2. Best CS class I have taken you really pay attention to the student's need for understanding.
3. The teacher's teaching is good.
4. Very concerned with the students understanding the material.
5. He was a very good teacher.
6. I think Professor Young is getting better at teaching and getting his point across. He's always available to help me.
7. Very smart guy. Tries to present things easy way to understand. Fair.