Detecting Android Root Exploits by Learning from Root Providers

Ioannis Gasparis, Zhiyun Qian, Chengyu Song, Srinkanth V. Krishnamurthy



We all love our smartphones



We all love our smartphones

I love my Android phones especially!



Why Android security is challenging?

- Fragmentation / diversity / customization
- Slow update





Everybody puts their code into Android



Kernel / driver vulnerabilities

Android Security Bulletins

	Bulletin	Languages	Published date	Security patch level
 100+ CVEs -> Elevation of privilege: 1. only AOSP, 2. excluding closed-source components 	August 2017	Coming soon	August 7, 2017	2017-08-01 2017-08-05
	July 2017	English / 日本語 / 한국어 / рýсский / 中文 (中国) / 中文 (台 灣)	July 5, 2017	2017-07-01 2017-07-05
	June 2017	English / 日本語 / 한국어 / рýсский / 中文 (中国) / 中文 (台 灣)	June 5, 2017	2017-06-01 2017-06-05
	. <u>May 2017</u>	English / 日本語 / 한국어 / рýсский / 中文 (中国) / 中文 (台 灣)	May 1, 2017	2017-05-01 2017-05-05
	April 2017	English / 日本語 / 한국어 / рýсский / 中文 (中国) / 中文 (台 灣)	April 3, 2017	2017-04-01 2017-04-05
	March 2017	English / 日本語 / 한국어 / рýсский / 中文 (中国) / 中文 (台 灣)	March 6, 2017	2017-03-01 2017-03-05

Android root exploits



- From vulnerabilities to exploits
 - Towelroot, Pingpong root, DirtyCow, perf use-after-free (Samsung S7)
- Malware with root exploit capabilities
 - GODLESS, HummingBad, PokemonGo guide app, Dvmap, SpyDealer, ...
 - Obfuscated, anti-debugging/virtualization
 - Dynamically load exploits
 - Survive months before taken down
 - e.g., Pokemon Go Guide removed after 500,000+ downloads



Goal

- Develop a cloud-based app screening system (similar to bouncer)
 - Addressing challenges mentioned earlier
- Detect exploits against known vulnerabilities
 - Zero-day out-of-scope
 - Android malware exploit known vulnerabilities

Android root exploits



- Dual problem
 - A challenging task to write
 - A challenging task to detect
- Availability of vulnerabilities
- Exploit adaptation



How to catch variety of root exploits?

Naïve solution:



Our solution:



Requirements of the analysis environment

- Need to emulate the correct environment/preconditions
 - Device environment (device, model, version)
 - Program preconditions



Input generation problem (system call return values)



Requirements of the analysis environment

- Need to emulate the correct environment/preconditions
 - Device environment (device, model, version
 - Program preconditions

• Inp.

int fd

if (fd

Where can we collect data?

- success

 $n^n;$

input

Key observations

One-click root apps [CCS' 15]

- Some claim to support 100,000+ models
- One app contains: 167 exploit binaries, 59 exploit families



Key observations

- One-click root apps [CCS' 15]
- Root exploits mostly reused



[CCS 15] H. Zhang, D. She, Z. Qian, Android Root and its Providers: A Double-Edged Sword

Key observations

- One-click root apps [CCS' 15]
- Root exploits mostly reused
- Why not learn from them to understand exploit requirements?
 <Exploit, Device/Model/Version, Program Preconditions>



[CCS 15] H. Zhang, D. She, Z. Qian, Android Root and its Providers: A Double-Edged Sword





Behavior Graph

- System call based behavior signature [1]
 - Root exploits interact with the OS through syscalls in unique ways
 - Data & control dependencies (robust to simple reordering)



[1] KOLBITSCH, C., COMPARETTI, P. M., KRUEGEL, C., KIRDA, E., ZHOU, X.Y., AND WANG, X. Effective and efficient malware detection at the end host. USENIX Security 2009

Behavior Graph

- System call based behavior signature [1]
 - Root exploits interact with the OS through syscalls in unique ways
 - Data & control dependencies (robust to simple reordering)
 - Statically extracted (for a given path)



Extracting expected syscall returns

Example: what 'line' should be returned from readline()?

```
readline() of file("/proc/
int fdIo = open("/proc/iomem");
                                                             iomem") cannot return NULL,
// locate the kernel code offset in physical memory
                                                            line != NULL
while ((line = readline(fdIo)) > 0) {
    if((buf = strstr(line, "Kernel code")) != NULL) {
        addr = getAddress(buf);
                                                            strstr() of the line returned
                                                            cannot return NULL \rightarrow line
        break;
                                                            has to contain "Kernel code"
int getAddress(buf) {
                                                            The buf has to have at least
    return atoi[buf-20];
                                                            20 preceding characters \rightarrow
                                                            line has to have 20
                                                            characters before "Kernel
           code"
                        20 unconstrained characters
```

Implementation

- Offline learning
 - Custom symbolic execution engine based on IDA pro
- Online learning
 - Loadable Kernel Module
 - System call hooking
 - Background Service
 - Decides what to do for syscall invocations
 - Monitor and match behavior graphs



Experiment Setup

- > Analysis environment: Android emulators
 - Loaded with real files, e.g., call logs, messages, contacts.
 - Real IMEI number, appropriate build.prop file

App input generator

- DroidBot: random user input and system events
- Run every app for 10 minutes

Evaluation Dataset

- Training set:
 - 167 exploit binaries (from 59 exploit families)
 - from a single one-click root app
- Testing set:
 - Positive samples
 - PoC from Internet, GODLESS malware, 7 one-click root apps
 - Grey samples
 - 1497 malware samples from an antivirus company
 - 2000 recently uploaded apps from unofficial Android markets
 - Negative samples
 - Top 1000 free apps from Google's Play Store

Detecting Exploit PoCs from the Internet

Exploit	VirusTotal	RootExplorer	Exploit	VirusTotal	RootExplorer
diag	1/57	\checkmark	diag	0/57	\checkmark
exynos	4/57	\checkmark	exynos	1/57	\checkmark
pingpong	1/57	\checkmark	pingpong	0/57	✓
towelroot	3/57	\checkmark	towelroot	1/57	1

Detection rate for debug compilation

Detection rate for obfuscated compilation

- Downloaded and compiled 4 different PoC exploits from the Internet
- · Compiled them with all debugging info
- Compiled them with obfuscation (using LLVM) and packed them with UPX

Detecting GODLESS

Run GODLESS against 5 different emulated devices and observed the following:

	HTC J Butterfly	Fujitsu Arrows Z	Fujitsu Arrows X	Galaxy Note LTE	Samsung S3
acdb	1	×	×	×	×
hdcp	×	1	×	×	×
msm_camera	1	1	1	✓	1
put_user	1	1	1	1	1
fb_mem	1	1	1	✓	1
perf_swevent	×	×	1	×	×
diag	×	×	×	1	×

Detecting other one-click root apps

One-Click Root Apps	Exploit
0 ₁	/dev/camera-sysram
O ₂	/dev/graphics/fb5
O ₃	/dev/exynos-mem
O ₄	/dev/camera-isp
0 ₅	/dev/camera-isp
0 ₆	/dev/camera-isp
0 ₇	towelroot

Different one-click root apps choose to launch different exploits against a device

Detecting Malware in the Antivirus malware dataset and 3rd-party Android Markets

- 1497 malware samples, 2000 apps from 3rd party Android Market
 - Emulated Samsung S3 device
- Two apps flagged as containing root exploits
 - Wifi Analyzer from MoboMarket (pingpong root)
 - *Flashlight* app from the malware samples (camera-isp)
 - Confirmed by VirusTotal or Anti-virus company

Conclusions

- RootExplorer, a system that learns from commercial-grade root exploits and detects similar root exploits in malware
- We show that this is an effective solution and has the potential to be used in practice

Questions? zhiyung@cs.ucr.edu

Contributions

- Detection of Android root exploits that target a diverse set of Android devices
 - Based on commercial one-click root apps
 - What environmental features are sought
 - What pre-conditions need to be met for a root exploit to be triggered

Design and implement RootExplorer

- Detects malwares that contain root exploits
- Uses static analysis to understand the environment and attack profile of the exploits
- It utilizes the learned information to construct proper analysis environments

• Evaluation of *RootExplorer*

- Successfully detects malwares with root exploits
- Result in no false positives in our test case
- Found an application in Android market that contains root exploits



Static Analyzer

- Native Code Detector
 - App matches signatures of known malwares
 - App has any native code/dynamically loading native code
 - Custom heuristics to decide if it contains root exploits
- Device Detector
 - Parses APK and finds (A) methods that contain code that check the version of Android or the device name
 - Parses APK and finds (B) methods that contain code that run native executable code
 - If there is a path between (A) to (B), a new appropriate device is created
- Device Initiator
 - Modifies Android's property system accordingly
 - Modifies system files (/proc/version, etc) accordingly



Dynamic Analyzer

- Loadable Kernel Module
 - Hooks every system call
 - Tracks only a specific app
 - Communicates with background service
- Background Service
 - Decides which action to take
 - Deploys decoy objects
 - Chooses behavior graphs and preconditions accordingly

