

Proof (cont'd)

Reduce $\mathcal{B}SAT$ to $VERTEX-COVER$.

Let ϕ be an instance of $\mathcal{B}SAT$ with n variables and m clauses. Define the graph G as follows:

- **the nodes:** the literals $v_i, \bar{v}_i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, and
- **their occurrences** $a_{i1}, a_{i2}, a_{i3} : 1 \leq i \leq m$,
... a total of $3m + 2n$ nodes
- **the edges:** $(v_i, \bar{v}_i), 1 \leq i \leq n$;
 $(a_{i1}, a_{i2}), (a_{i2}, a_{i3}), (a_{i3}, a_{i1}), 1 \leq i \leq m$;
for each $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, and $j, 1 \leq j \leq 3$, connect a_{ij} and its corresponding literal.

Proof (cont'd)

We claim that G has an $(n + 2m)$ node vertex cover if and only if ϕ is in $\mathcal{B}SAT$.

There are precisely n edges of the type $(v_i, \bar{v}_i), 1 \leq i \leq n$. So an n -node vertex cover has to have at least one out of v_i and \bar{v}_i for every i .

There are precisely m triangles for the clauses. At least two nodes have to be selected from each triangle to cover the triangle edges. So $2m$ nodes are needed.

More NP-Completeness

Clique

We know: $\mathcal{B}SAT \leq_P CLIQUE$, $CLIQUE \in NP$, and $\mathcal{B}SAT$ is NP-complete. So, $CLIQUE$ is NP-complete.

Vertex Cover

A vertex cover of an undirected graph is a subset of nodes such that every edge touches a member of the subset.

$VERTEX-COVER = \{(G, k) \mid G \text{ has a vertex cover of size } k\}$.

Theorem. $VERTEX-COVER$ is NP-complete.

Proof Proving $VERTEX-COVER \in NP$ is easy. Guess a bit for each node to decide whether or not select the node. Check whether precisely k nodes are selected, if so, check whether the set of k nodes is a vertex cover.

Proof (cont'd)

Let ϕ be a formula of n variables and m clauses. Introduce decimal numbers $y_1, \dots, y_m, z_1, \dots, z_n, c_1, \dots, c_m, d_1, \dots, d_m$, each of at most $n + m$ digits.

y_i : y_i has a 1 at the $(m+i)$ th digit and has a 1 at position j if x_i appears in the j th clause; all the other positions have a 0

z_i : z_i has a 1 at the $(m+i)$ th digit and has a 1 at position j if \bar{x}_i appears in the j th clause; all the other positions have a 0

c_i, d_i : c_i has a 1 only at the i th position, d_i has a 1 only at the i th position,

S : S is the number that has a 3 at every position between 1 and m and has a 1 at every position between $m+1$ and $m+n$

Proof (cont'd)

A selection of $n + 2m$ nodes becomes a cover if and only if:

- (*) for each clause, the literal side of one "literal-occurrence" edge is selected, say l_k of (a_{ij}, l_k) , where l_k is a literal, and two nodes a_{ij} and $a_{i'j'}$ are selected, where $\{j, j', j''\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$, and
- (**) for each variable precisely one of v_i and \bar{v}_i is selected.

So an $n + 2m$ node vertex cover corresponds to an assignment that satisfies each clause. ■

Proof (cont'd)

In order to generate S , exactly one of y_i and z_i has to be selected for every i so that the selection as a whole touches each bit position between 1 and m at least once (and at most three times). Such a selection is a satisfying assignment of ϕ . ■

Subset-Sum is NP-complete

SUBSET-SUM is the problem of, given a multiset of numbers z_1, \dots, z_m and a number S , whether there is subset y_1, \dots, y_t of z_i 's such that $y_1 + \dots + y_t = S$.

Theorem. *SUBSET-SUM is NP-complete.*

Proof Reduce *3SAT* to *SUBSET-SUM*. The construction is reminiscent of the reduction from *3SAT* to *VERTEX-COVER*, where the reduction generates a graph whose $n + 2m$ node cover has a property that at least one "literal-occurrence" edge of each triangle is touched and the rest of the nodes in each triangle is touched.