

## The Complexity Class P (continued)

Alan Cobham [1964], Jack Edmonds [1965], and Michael Rabin [1966] suggested the “**polynomial time**” as a broad classification of problems that are solvable in a *reasonable amount of time*

$$P = \bigcup_{k>0} \text{TIME}(n^k)$$

*Why polynomial, why not, say  $n^3$ ?*

Because the “polynomial time” is invariant under the model of computation

**NP** is the **nondeterministic counterpart of P**

$$NP = \bigcup_{k>0} \text{NTIME}(n^k)$$

## Problems in P

**The Path Problem**

**Input:** A directed graph  $G = (V, E)$  and  $s, t, 1 \leq s, t \leq |V|$

**Question:** Does the graph has a **directed path from  $s$  to  $t$ ?**

$PATH$  : the set of all positive instances  $\langle G, s, t \rangle$  to the Path Problem

An encoding of a graph can be its **adjacency matrix**  $(a_{ij})$ :

for every  $i, j, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$ ,  $a_{ij} = 1$  if  $(i, j) \in E$  and 0 otherwise

The entire encoding can be

$$0^n \# a_1 a_2 \cdots a_n \# 0^s \# t,$$

where  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  are the rows of the adjacency matrix

## The Complexity Class P

Juris Hartmanis and Dick Stearns [1965] : proposed *computational complexity* — measuring complexity of problems by the number of steps (or the number of cells) expended in the worst case under the TM model

Fundamental results in the Hartmanis-Stearns paper:

1. **Time Hierarchy Theorem** (see Section 9.1) . . .

$$\text{TIME}(t(n)) \neq \text{TIME}(t(n)^2) \text{ for all reasonable } t(n)$$

2. **Linear Speed-up Theorem** . . .

$$\text{TIME}(t(n)) = \text{TIME}(ct(n)) \text{ for all } c > 0 \text{ and all reasonable } t(n)$$

A better hierarchy theorem is proven by Harry Lewis and Stearns

## A Polynomial Time Algorithm for RELPRIME

Use the Euclidean Algorithm: On input  $\langle x, y \rangle$ :

1. **repeat**  $x \leftarrow x \text{ mod } y$ ; swap  $x$  and  $y$ ; **until**  $y = 0$
2. **output**  $x$

**How quickly does  $x$  decrease?**

If  $y > x/2$ , then  $x \text{ mod } y \leq x - y < x/2$ ;

If  $y \leq x/2$ , then  $x \text{ mod } y \leq y - 1 < x/2$ .

So, each iteration reduces  $x$  by at least half.

If  $\max\{|x|, |y|\} = n$ , then the running time is  $O(n^3)$ .

(\*) If the Euclidean on  $\langle x, y \rangle$  outputs 1 then accept ; else reject

**The Running Time Analysis:**  $O(n^3)$ .

## A Polynomial Time Algorithm for PATH

Let  $G = (V, E)$  be an instance of  $PATH$ ,  $n = |V|$ , and  $A$  the adjacency matrix of  $G$ .

1. For each  $k \geq 1$ , let  $A^{(k)}$  be the  $k$ th power of  $A$ , where  $\vee$  and  $\wedge$  replace  $+$  and  $\times$ .

Then for every  $k \geq 1$  and every  $i, j, 1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , the  $(i, j)$ th entry of  $A^{(k)}$  is a 1 if and only if there is a directed path from  $i$  to  $j$  of length at most  $k$  in  $G$ .

Thus the following will do:

(\*) Compute  $B = A^{(n)}$ ; if the  $(s, t)$ th entry of  $B = 1$  accept ; else reject

**The Running Time Analysis:**  $2n$  bits examined per entry,  $n^2$  entries,  $n - 1$  sequential multiplication yields  $A^{(n)} \dots A^{(1)}$  an  $O(n^4)$  step algorithm

## Polynomial Time Decidability of Context-Free Languages

**Theorem.** Every context-free language is in P.

**Proof** Let  $L$  be context-free. Let  $G$  be a CNF grammar for  $L$ . Suppose  $w = w_1 \dots w_n$  be a string whose membership in  $L$  we are testing

**if**  $n = 0$  **then accept** if and only if  $S \rightarrow \epsilon$  is rule.

For each  $i, 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ , let  $t(i, j)$  be the set of all variables from which  $w_i \dots w_j$  can be produced

**Idea:** Compute  $t(i, j)$  for all  $i, j$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$ , using dynamic programming; then test the membership by examining whether  $S \in t(1, n)$

## Testing Relative Primality of Two Numbers

### The Relative Primality Problem

**Input:** Integers  $x, y \geq 1$

**Question:** Are  $x$  and  $y$ , relatively prime, i.e.,  $\gcd(x, y) = 1$ ?

**RELPRIME** : the set of all positive instances  $\langle x, y \rangle$  of the Relative Primality Problem

**Note:**  $x$  and  $y$  should not be encoded in unary

## A Characterization of NP by Verifiers

A **verifier** of a language  $A$  is an algorithm  $V$  such that  
 $A = \{w \mid V \text{ accepts } \langle w, c \rangle \text{ for some } c\}$ .

Measure the time of  $V$  in terms of the length of  $w$ . For a fixed  $V$ , the string  $c$  witnessing to  $\langle w, c \rangle \in A$  is called a **certificate** or a **proof**  
**Definition.** (alternate) NP is the class of languages that have polynomial time verifiers.

## Dynamic Programming for Computing the Table

**Initial:**  $t(i, i) \leftarrow$  the set of all  $A$  such that  $A \rightarrow w_i$  is a rule

**Loop:**

```
for  $\ell = 2$  to  $n$ 
  for  $i = 1$  to  $n - \ell + 1$ 
     $j = i + \ell - 1$ ;  $t(i, j) = \emptyset$ 
    for  $k = i$  to  $j - 1$ 
      if  $\exists A, B \in t(i, k), C \in t(k + 1, j)$  such that  $A \rightarrow BC$  is a rule
        then add  $A$  to  $t(i, j)$ 
```

**Final Test:** accept if and only if  $S \in t(1, n)$

## Equivalence Between the Two Definitions of NP

**Theorem.** The alternative definition is equivalent to the first definition of NP.

**Proof** (Sketch) Let  $p$  be any polynomial.

Suppose  $L$  has a  $p(n)$  time verifier  $V$ . Then for every  $x$ , we can consider all certificates of length at most  $p(|x|)$ . Let  $N$  be an NTM that, on input  $x$ , (i) nondeterministically guess a string  $c$ ,  $|c| \leq p(n)$ , (ii) simulates  $V$  on  $\langle x, c \rangle$ , and (iii) accepts if and only if  $V$  has accepted. Then  $N$  decides  $L$  and is  $O(p(n))$  time.

Suppose  $L$  is decided by a  $p(n)$  time NTM  $N$ . Consider a verifier  $V$  that, on input  $\langle x, c \rangle$ , simulates  $N$  on  $x$  along  $c$  for at most  $p(|x|)$  steps and accepts if and only if  $N$  has accepted., tests whether  $c$  encodes Then for every  $x$ ,  $V$  accepts  $x$  for some  $c$  if and only if  $N$  on  $x$  accepts for some computation path.

## The Class NP

### The Hamilton Path Problem

**Input:** A directed graph  $G = (V, E)$  and  $s, t \in V$ ,  $s \neq t$

**Question:** Is there a Hamilton Path from  $s$  to  $t$  in  $G$ , i.e., a directed path from  $s$  to  $t$  that visits all the nodes exactly once?

HAMPATH : the set of all positive instances  $\langle G, s, t \rangle$  to the Hamilton Path Problem

### The Compositeness Problem

**Input:** Integer  $x \geq 1$

**Question:** Does  $x$  a composite number, i.e., have an integer divisor other than 1 and  $x$ ?

COMPOSITES : the set of all composite numbers  $x$

## More Problems in NP (cont'd)

### The Subset Sum Problem

**Input:** integers  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  and  $t$

**Question:** Is there a subset of  $\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$  that adds up to  $t$ ?

$SUBSET\text{-}SUM$  : the set of all positive instances  $\langle S, t \rangle$  to the Subset Sum Problem

**Theorem.**  $SUBSET\text{-}SUM$  is in NP.

**Proof** (Sketch) Define a certificate for an instance  $\langle S, t \rangle$  with  $|S| = n$  in  $SUBSET\text{-}SUM$  to be an  $n$  bit sequence such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n c_i x_i = t$

Then verification can be done in  $O(n^2)$  steps. ■

## Membership of HAMPATH and COMPOSITES in NP

$HAMPATH$ : Define a certificate for each  $\langle G, s, t \rangle \in HAMPATH$  to be any sequence  $\langle v_1, \dots, v_n \rangle$  of nodes such that

- (i) for every  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $i = v_j$  for some  $j$ ,

(ii)  $s = v_1$ ,

(iii)  $t = v_n$ , and

- (iv) for every  $i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ ,  $(v_i, v_{i+1}) \in E$ .

A correct certificate can be of length  $O(n \log n)$  and verification can be done in  $O(n^3)$  steps.

$COMPOSITES$ : Define a certificate for each  $x \in COMPOSITES$  to be any number  $y$  such that  $y$  divides  $x$  and  $1 < y < x$ . Then a correct certificate can be of length  $O(n)$

## More Problems in NP

### The Clique Problem

**Input:** A graph  $G = (V, E)$  and  $k \geq 1$

**Question:** Does  $G$  contain a complete graph of size  $\geq k$ ?

$CLIQUE$  : the set of all positive instances  $\langle G, k \rangle$  to the Clique Problem

**Theorem.**  $CLIQUE$  is in NP.

**Proof** (Sketch) Define a certificate for an instance  $\langle G, k \rangle$ , where  $G$  is an  $n$  node graph, to be an  $n$  bit sequence  $c = c_1 \dots c_n$  such that:

for every  $i, j$ ,  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$ , if  $c_i = c_j = 1$ , then  $(i, j) \in E$

Then verification can be done in  $O(n^3)$  steps. ■