

An example: $L = \{0^n 1^n \mid n \geq 0\}$

Algorithm A, for a 1-tape TM

Match and erase the outermost non-blank symbols

Notation: C : the symbol currently scanned
 $[H \Rightarrow] ([H \Leftarrow])$: moving the head to the right (left) by one cell

The Main Loop:

1. **if** $C = \sqcup$ **then accept**; **if** $C = 1$ **then reject**
2. $C \leftarrow \sqcup$
3. **repeat** $[H \Rightarrow]$ **until** $C = \sqcup$;
4. $[H \Leftarrow]$; **if** $C \neq 1$ **then reject**; $C \leftarrow \sqcup$
5. **repeat** $[H \Leftarrow]$ **until** $C = \sqcup$; $[H \Rightarrow]$

Bio-O, small-o

Definition. Let $f, g : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^+$ be two functions.

- $f = O(g)$ if there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that for all but finitely many n , $f(n) \leq cg(n)$.
- $f = o(g)$ if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(n)}{g(n)} = 0$.

Example: $\log n = o(n)$, $\log n \log n = o(n)$, $10n + 8 \log n = O(n)$, etc.

Note: $f = o(g)$ implies $f = O(g)$, but the converse does not necessarily hold

The running time of Algorithm A is $O(n^2)$

Measuring Complexity

Complexity of a problem = the efficiency of the best algorithm for the problem

Measure the efficiency by time or space, or both

Analyze the efficiency by the growth of the function that relates the input size and the amount of resources used

The worst-case analysis ... analyze the function that maps each nonnegative integer n to the maximum amount of resources used for solving any input of size n with the best algorithm known

An alternative is the average case analysis

Deterministic Time Complexity Classes

Definition. Let $t : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be a function. A Turing machine N is $t(n)$ time (or $t(n)$ time-bounded) if for every $n \in \mathcal{N}$, and for every input x of length n , N on x halts within $t(n)$ steps.

Definition. Let $t : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be a function. Define $\text{TIME}(t(n)) = \{L \mid L$ is decided by an $O(t(n))$ time multi-tape Turing machine $\}$.

$L = \{0^n 1^n \mid n \geq 1\}$ is in $\text{TIME}(n)$, the linear time

1. **(the initial check)**
if $C_1 = \sqcup$ then accept ; if $C_1 = 1$ then reject
2. **put the left marker**
 $C_2 \leftarrow \#; [H_2 \Rightarrow]$
3. **(copying the first block)**
while $C_1 = 0$ **do**
 - $C_2 \leftarrow 0; [H_1 \Rightarrow]; [H_2 \Rightarrow]$

Can do in $O(n)$ steps if two tapes are available

Algorithm B, for a 2-tape TM
Copy the first run of 0s onto Tape 2 and measure the length of the following run of 1s

Notation: $C_i, [H_i \Rightarrow], [H_i \Leftarrow], i = 1, 2$

1. **(the initial check)**
if $C_1 = \sqcup$ then accept ; if $C_1 = 1$ then reject
2. **put the left marker**
 $C_2 \leftarrow \#; [H_2 \Rightarrow]$
3. **(copying the first block)**
while $C_1 = 0$ **do**
 - $C_2 \leftarrow 0; [H_1 \Rightarrow]; [H_2 \Rightarrow]$

Nondeterministic Time Complexity Classes

Definition. Let $t : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be a function. A nondeterministic Turing machine N is $t(n)$ time if for every $n \in \mathcal{N}$, and for every input x of length n , N on x halts within $t(n)$ steps along all computation paths.

Definition. Let $t : \mathcal{N} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be a function. Define $\text{NTIME}(t(n)) = \{L \mid L$ is decided by an $O(t(n))$ time nondeterministic multi-tape Turing machine $\}$.

4. if $C_1 = \sqcup$ then reject ;
5. **(comparing the length)**
while $C_1 = 1$ **do**
 - if $C_2 = \#$ then reject ; $[H_1 \Rightarrow]; [H_2 \Leftarrow];$
6. if $C_1 = \sqcup$ and $C_2 = \#$ then accept ; else reject

Algorithm B runs in $n + 1 = O(n)$ steps.

Relationships Among Models

Theorem. For every $t(n) \geq n$, each $t(n)$ time multi-tape Turing machine has an equivalent $t(n)^2$ time single-tape Turing machine.

Theorem. For every $t(n) \geq n$, each $t(n)$ time nondeterministic Turing machine has an equivalent $2^{O(t(n))}$ time single-tape Turing machine.