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Abstract—The singular value decomposition (SVD) factors a matrix into three separate matrices: two (semi-)unitary matrices whose columns are left/right singular vectors and one diagonal matrix whose diagonal entries are singular values. Typically, performing SVD on big matrices is taxing due to its computational complexity in the cubic order of its dimensions. With the advances and rapid growth of deep learning techniques in a broad spectrum of applications, a fundamental question arises: can deep neural networks learn the singular values of a matrix? To answer this question, we propose a novel algorithm, namely SV-learn, to predict the singular values of a given input matrix by leveraging the advances of neural networks. Numerical results demonstrate that our proposed method outperforms the competing alternatives in terms of achieving lower normalized mean square error on singular value prediction when using real-world datasets. Further, the predicted singular values combined with singular vectors of an input data allow us to reconstruct the input matrices with promising performance.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) and eigenvalue decomposition are of prominent importance in broad spectrum of real-world applications such as computer vision [1], signal processing [2], and data science [3], [4], [5]. A key feature of the SVD is that it is rank-revealing, which means that it identifies the rank of a given matrix, as well as providing us with a means for evaluating the so-called “low rank” of a given data matrix, which would be a rank typically much smaller than the full rank of the matrix to which we can approximate the matrix with minimal loss of reconstruction accuracy. The concept of that low rank is central to virtually every attempt in using the SVD (or other matrix factorizations) for the purposes of dimensionality reduction, either as a pre-processing step in a analytical pipeline, or as the actual analysis itself (e.g., by identifying latent concepts in term-document matrices [3]). Furthermore, SVD is extremely useful in calculating the pseudo inverse with low-cost.

As a result, the singular value profile of a matrix is an extremely important product of the SVD, since it can characterize a given matrix dataset with respect to the number of latent patterns that it contains. Thus, in this work, we propose a novel neural network based approach that is meant for quick and effective prediction of the singular values of a given matrix. Most of the existing work rely on the direct computation of SVD or eigenvalue decomposition on a matrix or sub-matrix. Recently, a new model was proposed in [6] to predict the latent dimensionality of non-negative matrix factorization using neural networks.

To the best of our knowledge, using deep neural networks to explore the full spectrum of singular values has not been studied yet. Our contributions include:

- Proposing a novel deep learning-based framework to predict singular values of a matrix.
- Evaluating the effectiveness of the proposed method through numerical tests with promising visualized and quantified results.

II. BACKGROUND

The SVD has been applied successfully to a wide variety of datasets, with applications in image compression [7], [8], recommender systems [9], etc. However, the time complexity of calculating the SVD is significant, making it difficult to scale to extremely large datasets. This has led to work focused on speeding it up for sparse matrices [10], [11], distributing it across multiple machines [12], [13], and increasing its efficiency on accelerators [14].

In this work, we leverage neural networks to help speed up calculating an approximation of the SVD by feeding a model a set of training data to make predictions faster. Neural networks have been shown to be highly effective in learning and modeling non-linear and complex relationships. In our case, we theorize that with proper optimization and training, we can use an neural network to predict a significant amount of the singular values and vectors with less time complexity than the typical SVD.

This application has a major use in big data where execution time is extremely important. Instead of having to calculate the SVD from scratch over all of the matrices, we can use a shared model trained over many sample matrices to decrease the resource usage and run times of future factorization. In conjunction with such a model, it is possible to perform many tasks that are dependent on the SVD, such as principal component analysis, spectral analytics, and manifold embeddings, much faster, especially on larger data sets.

III. PROBLEM FORMULATION AND PROPOSED METHOD
A. Problem Formulation

We tackle the problem of predicting the singular values of two-dimensional matrices by training supervised neural networks with matrices and their respective singular value decomposition. To get more accurate results, our model needs to be trained on matrices of varying ranks. There are a couple of ways to do this, but our method involves using real data sets and “windowing” (creating certain sized matrices by moving a window of size $m \times n$ over the data with different dimensions) the data to fit our model. The goal of this process is for a model to successfully predict the singular values of any matrix of the size that it was trained on. This can then be compared to other methods of solving for the decomposition of a matrix such as our SVD-LIGHT in Table 1, which uses a subset of a data set for the singular values and vectors, or the full SVD. This theoretically will decrease run times and resource usage for large data sets where repetitively finding the singular values of matrices is necessary.

Algorithm 1 SVD-LIGHT

Inputs: matrix $M$; $k$, the number of rows and columns from $M$ used for singular vector approximation

Outputs: submatrix’s singular vectors stored in the columns of $U_C$ and $V_R$; sparsified singular value matrix $\Sigma_O$

Description: A method of decomposing a matrix where SVD is applied to a smaller subset of a matrix which acts as a form of comparison against using the full SVD and our trained model.

1. **procedure** SVD-LIGHT($M, k$)
2. $\Sigma \leftarrow$ PREDICTSVD($M$)
3. $M_R \leftarrow$ SAMPLEROWS($M, k$) // Randomly select $k$ rows of $M$ to form a submatrix $M_R$
4. $M_C \leftarrow$ SAMPLECOLS($M, k$) // Randomly select $k$ columns of $M$ to form a submatrix $M_C$
5. $U_R, \Sigma_R, V^T_R \leftarrow$ SVD($M_R$) // Perform SVD on $M_R$
6. $U_C, \Sigma_O, V^T_C \leftarrow$ SVD($M_C$) // Perform SVD on $M_C$
7. diag($\Sigma_O$) = $[\text{diag}(\Sigma)[1 : k], 0, ..., 0]$ // Preserve the top $k$ singular values of $M$ and zero-out the remaining ones forming the diagonal matrix $\Sigma_O$
8. return $U_C, \Sigma_O, V^T_R$
9. **end procedure**

B. Proposed Method

**Dataset:** We opt to use the CIFAR-10 dataset [15] to get $32 \times 32$ square matrices in order to obtain the most accurate SVD predictions off of real data. The dataset also allows us to easily provide visualizations for the accuracy of our predictions. We then scaled down the dimensions of each image to create a 2D $32 \times 32$ matrix $M$, which holds the gray-scale values of the image at each pixel within the original image. For our other data set, we opted to use the Spambase [16] dataset, which we obtained from the UCI Machine Learning Repository [17]. We extract $32 \times 32$ windows from the feature matrix to match dimensions of the CIFAR-10 set. We chose as an example of tabular data. For all of the matrices from these sets, the SVD was taken to calculate the singular values of the data. We then saved the original matrices, along with their singular values taken from the SVD, to use for training our neural network.

**Training neural regression model:** Our goal with our neural network is to find the singular values of a given matrix. After getting the singular values from the SVD, we developed a model to train on the data. From our testing, we found that using a regression model with 2 hidden layers with 2 dropout layers worked the best to predict our singular values. To be able to train the neural networks, they require a 1D array for each unit. To form a submatrix from $M$, we first need to perform repetitive operations on similar matrices. This yields a model that outputs a 1D array of singular values for $m_f$ and their corresponding 1D arrays of singular values $s_f$ where $f$ denotes the sample index. The matrices and singular values were then fed into the neural network with $m_f$ as input and $s_f$ as the base truth. For training, we used $L_1$ loss to adjust the weights of the neurons. This yields a model that outputs a 1D array of singular values for $m_f$; the overview of our proposed framework can be found in Fig. 1. The purpose in doing this is that for wider applications, users will simply need to perform SVD operations only once for a few samples of their datasets. They can use the model that is trained using the SVD from a couple of samples to the entirety of their data, eliminating the need to perform repetitive operations on similar matrices.

IV. Experimental Evaluation

In evaluating the accuracy of the model for singular value predictions, we split the original data into training and testing. In looking for possible sets to train and test our regression model on, it is important that we use real data instead of artificially constructed data. This is since we get matrices of varying ranks but still with enough significant patterns that our neural network can learn and further predict on. With the CIFAR-10 dataset [15], we randomly selected 50,000 images for training and 10,000 for testing. On the Spambase [16] dataset, we randomly chose 104,858 and 18,505 (about...
15% of the whole dataset) matrices for training and testing, respectively.

A. Metrics

Below, we evaluate our proposed model in a number of different dimensions: (1) Comparison between the real and predicted singular values, (2) Matrix reconstruction error, and (3) Visual comparison between the true and reconstructed images.

Comparison of singular values: Our first method in validating the effectiveness of our proposed model is to directly compare the real and predicted singular values. In the testing phase, we feed in the flattened matrices to the trained neural network receiving an array of 32 predicted singular values for every test matrix. For a numerical comparison, we used normalized mean squared error (NMSE) and mean absolute error (MAE) of all the test matrices, which, for each matrix, are defined in Eq. 1 where \( \{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^N \) and \( \{\hat{\sigma}_i\}_{i=1}^N \) are the real and estimated singular values with \( N \) representing the total number of singular values.

\[
\text{NMSE} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N}(\sigma_i - \hat{\sigma}_i)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_i^2}, \quad \text{MAE} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{N}|\sigma_i - \hat{\sigma}_i|}{N}
\]  

(1)

In Table I, the performance of our proposed method in estimating the singular values of matrices from CIFAR-10 [15] and Spambase [16] datasets are reported. Specifically, we present the average and standard deviation of NMSE and MAE among all the test samples, which shows that our neural networks can be used to accurately and consistently predict singular values. In order to fully present our prediction performance, we also show the error histograms in Figure 2, which shows fairly low and consistent errors giving insight on the accuracy of our model despite having a moderate amount of data to train on. In the future, these values could be improved on with a more advanced deep learning model and more training data.

Furthermore, we conduct a direct comparison between the true and predicted values of some randomly chosen data from the CIFAR-10 and Spambase datasets. In Figure 3, we plot the predicted and true singular values in a logarithmic scale versus their indices in the top 4 panel, the predicted and true singular values in a linear scale versus their indices in the middle 4 panel, and the gray-scaled images in the bottom 4 panel followed by their corresponding MAE of the predicted singular values, where each column represents the results of a specific image sample. Clearly, our predicted singular values are very close to the real ones, and the significant ones are almost identical the real values indicating that the proposed method is a good tool to predict the rank of a matrix. Similar conclusions can be drawn from the testing results of Spambase data in Figure 4.

Matrix reconstruction: Another method in evaluating our algorithm is by reconstructing matrices using the predicted singular values combined with the true singular vectors. We performed this specifically on the CIFAR-10 datasets as it allows us to visualize the reconstructed images as well. Specif-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>NMSE</th>
<th>MAE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CIFAR-10 [15]</td>
<td>1.59% ± 0.89%</td>
<td>43.36 ± 11.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spambase [16]</td>
<td>4.04% ± 2.89%</td>
<td>1.00 ± 2.14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE I: The NMSE and MAE of the predicted singular values; \( a \pm b \) where \( a \) and \( b \) are mean and standard deviation.

![Fig. 2: Error histograms of our proposed method for CIFAR-10 and Spambase.](image)

![Fig. 3: Direct comparison of the predicted and true singular values of a CIFAR-10; see the logarithmic singular values and the singular values in the first and second rows, respectively.](image)
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**Fig. 4:** Direct comparison of the predicted and true singular values of the Spambase dataset; see the logarithmic singular values and the singular values in the first and second rows, respectively. We can see that our model generally predicts the singular values accurately for the first few significant values but tends to predict 0 for more numbers than the real SVD.

In Figure 5, we compared the reconstructions, there are worse results and unintelligible details.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Singular Values (Rank)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Graph 2](image2.png)

**Fig. 5:** Reconstruction of a CIFAR-10 [15] image using various number of singular values (a.k.a., ranks where \( k = 1, 2, 5 \) and 32) from the real and predicted singular values (a.k.a., Sigma in the figure), and the true singular values. The neural net’s singular values serve as an accurate alternative especially at low ranks of 5 and up.

Overall, there is a very minimal error when using a neural network to predict singular values. Especially with medium-sized datasets under 100,000 instances, these results are indicative that the use of neural networks has the possibility of being used as a practical method in reducing run-time and handling large input datasets over the SVD.

![Image 3](image3.png)

**Fig. 6:** Three image reconstruction examples from the CIFAR-10 [15] dataset. The first three columns are the reconstructed images using real, random, and model predicted singular values and the true singular vectors. The last column shows the results of the SVD-LIGHT method.

### B. Discussion

Our experiments cover the prediction of the singular values of matrices but do not cover the full decomposition for the singular vectors. In the future, this same process can be applied to find these matrices to make a neural network that is completely independent from the SVD after training. Our datasets were also not very large to the point where our models can be applied to all different types of data. When training, we specialized our network to correctly predict the singular values.
of matrices with similar properties. This can be improved by providing much more data to train so that the application of that model is broader. The paper also focuses more on the feasibility of using a neural network to find singular values and not exactly the time it takes for the training and further predictions. Though, further work can focus on lowering the time and resource usage for large dataset applications of the SVD by creating more optimized models.

V. RELATED WORK

On the note of faster SVD methods, [18] proposes a method of using a mix of Monte Carlo (repeated random sampling) and empirical sampling to use a subset of a large-scale matrix to approximate the SVD within an error bound. Similar to our SVD-LIGHT their method involves taking parts of a matrix but further decreases error by adjusting the variance of the stratified data to meet the target accuracy, finding a more efficient method of sampling for the SVD. This gives an idea on how our neural network can practically decrease run-time but also yield accurate results by decreasing the amount of data used for the decomposition for left and right singular vectors while also using the model to give accurate singular values based off the entire original matrix.

[19] and [20] propose a similar method where they use a subset of the columns of matrices but repeat this with using fixed and adaptive sampling schemes to decrease the error bounds when generating low-rank matrix approximations. They add on to this work by applying the SVD on a submatrix of a large matrix using a randomized low-rank approximation algorithm to effectively maintain the accuracy of a large SVD with the time complexity of a small SVD. Like SVD-LIGHT and [18], a possible addition that our work can make to this is using a neural network to calculate accurate singular values based off the entire matrix while using their method to perform the rest of the SVD. If a model can be used to predict the entire SVD decomposition, then the complexity of using SVD can be eliminated altogether with the [19].

VI. CONCLUSION & FUTURE WORK

While there is still a lot more work to be done in the area, this work shows the feasibility of using a supervised neural network to accurately predict the singular values of a given matrix and the future application of a faster and lighter alternative to the singular value decomposition. Throughout our process, we explored the application of neural regression models on visual data sets such as the CIFAR-10 [15] and numerical sets such as UCI’s Spambase [16].

For evaluation, we utilized a mix of visual comparison in using the CIFAR-10 data [15] and direct comparisons for the Mean Absolute Error and Normalized Mean Squared Error between our predicted and SVD singular values. As a result of these tests, we found significant results giving us singular values that were very close to what the SVD could compute. When comparing our singular values to randomized data or our SVD-LIGHT1, the neural network outperformed these methods drastically, showing the feasibility of using trained models instead of the typical SVD algorithm, with errors between 1-5% and under 100,000 instances to train on.

Thus far, we have presented a proof-of-concept framework, demonstrating the viability and plausibility of our original goal. In the future, we envision that these findings will make way for a much faster alternative and lighter alternative to the SVD for applications in large data where the time complexity of the SVD becomes too significant. We defer this exploration for future work, where major interesting challenges will include ways to best represent the input data, and designing the most appropriate architecture which may leverage the structure of the problem in order to learn more efficiently.
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