UC RIVERSIDE - Faculty Instruction Evaluation (iEval) Winter 2015

Course: CS 215 Section: 001 - THEORY OF COMPUTATION
Instructor: Neal E. Young
Home Dept.: Computer Science & Engineering

Enrollment: 20 Respondents: 18 Response Rate: 90%

Enrollment: 2464 Respondents: 1883 Response Rate: 76%

Enrollment: 68452 Respondents: 52598 Response Rate: 77%

Questions	5 High	4	3	2	1 Low	N/A	Course Mean	Med	SD	Dept % tile	Mean	Med	SD	Campus % tile	Mean	Med	SD
1 I had a strong desire to take this course	7	7	3	1	-	-	4.1	4.0	0.9	60	3.9	4.0	1.0	65	4.0	4.0	1.1
2 I attended class regularly	12	5	1	-	-	-	4.6	5.0	0.6	77	4.1	4.0	1.0	76	4.4	5.0	0.8
3 I put considerable effort into this course	10	6	2	-	-	-	4.4	5.0	0.7	79	4.1	4.0	0.9	71	4.3	4.0	0.8
4 I gained a good understanding of the course content	7	9	2	-	-	-	4.3	4.0	0.7	79	4.0	4.0	0.9	70	4.2	4.0	0.9
5 I normally spent at least two hours preparing for each hour of class	7	7	2	2	-	-	4.1	4.0	1.0	79	3.6	4.0	1.1	68	3.9	4.0	1.1
6 Instructor was prepared and organized	9	9	-	-	-	-	4.5	4.5	0.5	86	4.3	4.0	0.8	78	4.3	5.0	0.8
7 Instructor used class time effectively	9	7	2	-	-	-	4.4	4.5	0.7	79	4.2	4.0	0.9	77	4.3	5.0	0.9
8 Instructor was clear and understandable	10	6	2	-	-	-	4.4	5.0	0.7	81	4.2	4.0	0.9	78	4.2	4.0	1.0
9 Instructor exhibited enthusiasm for subject and teaching	12	6	-	-	-	-	4.7	5.0	0.5	100	4.3	4.0	0.8	86	4.4	5.0	0.8
10 Instructor respected students; sensitive to and concerned with their progress	13	5	-	-	-	-	4.7	5.0	0.5	100	4.2	4.0	0.9	86	4.4	5.0	0.8
11 Instructor was available and helpful	14	4	-	-	-	-	4.8	5.0	0.4	100	4.2	4.0	0.8	91	4.3	5.0	0.8
12 Instructor was fair in evaluating students	12	5	1	-	-	-	4.6	5.0	0.6	92	4.2	4.0	0.8	83	4.3	4.0	0.9
13 Instructor was effective as a teacher overall	10	8	-	-	-	-	4.6	5.0	0.5	93	4.2	4.0	0.9	85	4.3	4.0	0.9
14 The syllabus clearly explained the structure of the courses	8	6	2	-	1	-	4.2	4.0	1.1	55	4.3	4.0	0.8	67	4.4	5.0	0.8
15 The examinations reflected the materials covered during the course	9	7	1	-	-	-	4.5	5.0	0.6	85	4.3	4.0	0.8	78	4.3	4.0	0.8
16 The required readings contributed to my learning	8	8	1	-	-	-	4.4	4.0	0.6	80	4.2	4.0	0.9	75	4.2	4.0	0.9
17 The assignments contributed to my learning	10	6	1	-	-	-	4.5	5.0	0.6	77	4.2	4.0	0.9	78	4.3	4.0	0.8
18 Supplementary materials (e.g. films, slides, videos, demonstrations, guest lectures, iLearn, web pages, etc) were informative	8	4	4	1	-	-	4.1	4.0	1.0	58	4.1	4.0	0.9	59	4.2	4.0	0.9
19 The course overall as a learning experience was excellent	8	8	1	-	-	-	4.4	4.0	0.6	80	4.1	4.0	0.9	77	4.2	4.0	0.9
20 Q1	1	-	-	-	-	-	5.0	5.0	0.0	100	4.2	4.0	0.9	100	4.1	4.0	0.9
21 Q2	1	-	-	-	-	-	5.0	5.0	0.0	100	4.2	4.0	0.9	100	4.1	4.0	0.9
22 Q3	1	-	-	-	-	-	5.0	5.0	0.0	100	4.2	4.0	0.9	100	4.1	4.0	0.9
23 Q5	1	-	-	-	-	-	5.0	5.0	0.0	100	4.2	4.0	0.9	100	4.1	4.0	0.9

* The number of N/A is not included in the Mean, Median, and S.D. calculation.

Question # 25: Please comment on how the instructor's teaching helped your learning of the material in this course. Please give serious thought to your comments. Your comments will be studied by the professor after the grade and performance evaluation of your work have been submitted and may be used in changing future offerings of the course. In addition, these comments are placed in the instructor's file and may be used for purposes of evaluating the instructor's teaching. The information collected will remain anonymous.

- The professor explains the concepts well and takes effort to make sure we've understood the concepts. He is ready to spend extra time to clear our doubts and is always available to help us.

- Good overall.

- If he gave less tedious assignments and exams, it would have been great. Both were pretty difficult for the entire class

- Professor N. Young has given enough effort to make the course contents understandable to the students. His teaching has helped me to understand the materials of the course properly. This is my second course with Professor N. Young. Although he always makes hard question for exams I would like to take his courses again in future.

- A bit slow.

- This course is one of the most difficult course in Computer Science. The contents are very hard to understand. Considering that, the instructor tried a lot to make the content as easy as possible to his student. He knows the content very well and he is well prepared for his class. It gave me some idea about the content I hope that will be helpful in future. The instructor is very good overall. He understands the difficulty level of the course and judges his student accordingly.