



UC RIVERSIDE - Student Evaluation of Instructor, Instructional Development Courses - Fall 2006

Course: CS 141 Section: 001 - INTERMED DATA
STRUCS & ALGORITHM
Instructor: Neal E. Young
Home Dept.: Computer Science & Engineering
Tracking #: 91

Enrollment: 22
Respondents: 21
Response Rate: 95%

Enrollment: 1608
Respondents: 960
Response Rate: 60%

Enrollment: 40766
Respondents: 28576
Response Rate: 70%

Questions	Course						Department				Campus						
	<u>5</u> High	<u>4</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>1</u> Low	<u>N/A</u>	Mean	Med	SD	% tile	Mean	Med	SD	% tile	Mean	Med	SD
1 I had a strong desire to take this course	4	9	6	1	1	-	3.7	4.0	1.0	53	3.6	4.0	1.0	52	3.8	4.0	1.1
2 I attended class regularly	14	4	-	3	-	-	4.4	5.0	1.1	54	4.3	5.0	1.0	57	4.5	5.0	0.8
3 I put considerable effort into this course	7	9	4	1	-	-	4.0	4.0	0.9	38	4.0	4.0	0.9	47	4.2	4.0	0.8
4 I gained a good understanding of the course content	5	12	2	1	-	1	4.1	4.0	0.8	50	4.0	4.0	0.8	61	4.1	4.0	0.9
5 I normally spent at least two hours preparing for each hour of class	2	4	10	3	2	-	3.0	3.0	1.1	33	3.1	3.0	1.2	35	3.3	3.0	1.2
6 Instructor was prepared and organized	13	5	2	1	-	-	4.4	5.0	0.9	69	4.3	4.0	0.8	74	4.4	5.0	0.8
7 Instructor used class time effectively	14	6	-	1	-	-	4.6	5.0	0.7	85	4.3	4.0	0.9	84	4.4	5.0	0.8
8 Instructor was clear and understandable	13	6	-	1	-	1	4.6	5.0	0.8	77	4.3	4.0	0.8	85	4.3	5.0	0.9
9 Instructor exhibited enthusiasm for subject and teaching	13	7	1	-	-	-	4.6	5.0	0.6	79	4.2	4.0	1.0	83	4.4	5.0	0.8
10 Instructor respected students; sensitive to and concerned with their progress	12	7	1	1	-	-	4.4	5.0	0.8	75	4.2	4.0	0.9	74	4.4	5.0	0.9
11 Instructor was available and helpful	13	5	2	-	1	-	4.4	5.0	1.0	71	4.2	4.0	0.9	73	4.3	5.0	0.9
12 Instructor was fair in evaluating students	11	8	-	2	-	-	4.3	5.0	0.9	58	4.2	4.0	0.8	70	4.3	5.0	0.9
13 Instructor was effective as a teach overall	15	5	1	-	-	-	4.7	5.0	0.6	85	4.3	4.0	0.8	88	4.4	5.0	0.9
14 The syllabus clearly explained the structure of the courses	7	11	2	1	-	-	4.1	4.0	0.8	53	4.2	4.0	0.8	69	4.3	5.0	0.8
15 The examinations reflected the materials covered during the course	9	9	3	-	-	-	4.3	4.0	0.7	67	4.2	4.0	0.8	72	4.3	4.0	0.9
16 The required readings contributed to my learning	4	3	12	1	1	-	3.4	3.0	1.0	31	3.9	4.0	1.0	45	4.1	4.0	1.0
17 The assignments Contributed to my learning	5	13	3	-	-	-	4.1	4.0	0.6	50	4.1	4.0	0.9	59	4.2	4.0	0.9
18 Supplementary materials (e.g. films, slides, videos, guest lectures, iLearn, web pages, etc) were informative	4	6	10	1	-	-	3.6	3.0	0.9	50	3.8	4.0	1.0	48	4.1	4.0	1.0
19 The course overall as a learning experience was excellent	7	11	3	-	-	-	4.2	4.0	0.7	64	4.0	4.0	0.9	71	4.2	4.0	1.0
20 Q1	-	-	-	-	-	21	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4.0	4.0	0.9	n/a	4.2	4.0	1.0
21 Q2	-	-	-	-	-	21	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	3.9	4.0	0.9	n/a	4.0	4.0	1.3
22 Q3	-	-	-	-	-	21	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4.1	4.0	0.9	n/a	4.1	4.0	1.1
23 Q4	-	-	-	-	-	21	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4.0	4.0	0.9	n/a	4.1	4.5	1.1
24 Q5	-	-	-	-	-	21	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	4.0	4.0	0.9	n/a	4.4	5.0	0.9

* The number of N/A is not included in the Mean, Median, and S.D. calculation.

UCR STUDENT EVALUATION OF TEACHING FORM
OFFICE OF INSTRUCTIONAL DEVELOPMENT
Fall 2006

COMPUTER SCIENCE & ENGINEERING DEPARTMENTAL SUMMARY

ENROLLMENT: 1608

FORMS COMPLETED: 960

PERCENT COMPLETED: 59.7

1. I had a strong desire to take this course

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	210	302	320	91	34	3
	MEAN: 3.6		MEDIAN: 4	STD. DEV: 1		

2. I attended class regularly

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	524	282	83	44	22	5
	MEAN: 4.3		MEDIAN: 5	STD. DEV: 1		

3. I put considerable effort into this course

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	296	441	153	49	9	12
	MEAN: 4		MEDIAN: 4	STD. DEV: .9		

4. I gained a good understanding of the course content

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	275	478	151	33	6	17
	MEAN: 4		MEDIAN: 4	STD. DEV: .8		

5. I normally spent at least two hours preparing for each hour of class

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	140	192	310	217	95	6
	MEAN: 3.1		MEDIAN: 3	STD. DEV: 1.2		

6. Instructor was prepared and organized

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	459	388	86	16	9	2

MEAN: 4.3 MEDIAN: 4 STD. DEV: .8

7. Instructor used class time effectively

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	466	356	89	35	10	4

MEAN: 4.3 MEDIAN: 4 STD. DEV: .9

8. Instructor was clear and understandable

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	447	365	112	23	8	5

MEAN: 4.3 MEDIAN: 4 STD. DEV: .8

9. Instructor exhibited enthusiasm for subject and teaching

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	460	313	115	48	19	5

MEAN: 4.2 MEDIAN: 4 STD. DEV: 1

10. Instructor respected students; sensitive to and concerned with their progress

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	416	351	143	29	15	6

MEAN: 4.2 MEDIAN: 4 STD. DEV: .9

11. Instructor was available and helpful

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	396	367	162	18	11	6

MEAN: 4.2 MEDIAN: 4 STD. DEV: .9

12. Instructor was fair in evaluating students

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	413	370	139	20	8	10

MEAN: 4.2 MEDIAN: 4 STD. DEV: .8

13. Instructor was effective as a teach overall

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	443	370	105	24	8	10

MEAN: 4.3 MEDIAN: 4 STD. DEV: .8

14. The syllabus clearly explained the structure of the courses

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	390	399	130	26	8	7
		MEAN: 4.2	MEDIAN: 4	STD. DEV: .8		

15. The examinations reflected the materials covered during the course

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	409	385	119	27	9	11
		MEAN: 4.2	MEDIAN: 4	STD. DEV: .8		

16. The required readings contributed to my learning

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	276	377	223	44	30	10
		MEAN: 3.9	MEDIAN: 4	STD. DEV: 1		

17. The assignments Contributed to my learning

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	362	393	144	27	17	17
		MEAN: 4.1	MEDIAN: 4	STD. DEV: .9		

18. Supplementary materials (e.g. films, slides, videos, guest lectures, iLearn, web pages, etc) were informative

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	275	322	276	34	31	22
		MEAN: 3.8	MEDIAN: 4	STD. DEV: 1		

19. The course overall as a learning experience was excellent

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	317	396	178	35	17	17
		MEAN: 4	MEDIAN: 4	STD. DEV: .9		

20. Q1

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	14	9	13	1	0	923

MEAN: 4 MEDIAN: 4 STD. DEV: .9

21. Q2

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	11	9	11	1	0	928
		MEAN: 3.9	MEDIAN: 4	STD. DEV: .9		

22. Q3

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	13	9	8	1	0	929
		MEAN: 4.1	MEDIAN: 4	STD. DEV: .9		

23. Q4

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	12	7	10	1	0	930
		MEAN: 4	MEDIAN: 4	STD. DEV: .9		

24. Q5

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	11	10	8	1	0	930
		MEAN: 4	MEDIAN: 4	STD. DEV: .9		

UCR STUDENT EVALUATION OF TEACHING FORM
OFFICE OF INSTRUCTIONAL DEVELOPMENT
Fall 2006

UCR CAMPUSWIDE SUMMARY

ENROLLMENT: 40766

FORMS COMPLETED: 28576

PERCENT COMPLETED: 70.1

1. I had a strong desire to take this course

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	8162	9491	7455	2315	1002	151
	MEAN: 3.8		MEDIAN: 4	STD. DEV: 1.1		

2. I attended class regularly

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	18055	8045	1516	556	251	153
	MEAN: 4.5		MEDIAN: 5	STD. DEV: .8		

3. I put considerable effort into this course

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	10951	12947	3470	743	212	253
	MEAN: 4.2		MEDIAN: 4	STD. DEV: .8		

4. I gained a good understanding of the course content

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	10179	12750	3759	1039	299	550
	MEAN: 4.1		MEDIAN: 4	STD. DEV: .9		

5. I normally spent at least two hours preparing for each hour of class

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	5019	7313	8807	5368	1834	235
	MEAN: 3.3		MEDIAN: 3	STD. DEV: 1.2		

6. Instructor was prepared and organized

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	16130	9366	2031	650	275	124

MEAN: 4.4 MEDIAN: 5 STD. DEV: .8

7. Instructor used class time effectively

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	15927	9142	2215	815	340	137

MEAN: 4.4 MEDIAN: 5 STD. DEV: .8

8. Instructor was clear and understandable

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	15245	8584	2913	1188	474	172

MEAN: 4.3 MEDIAN: 5 STD. DEV: .9

9. Instructor exhibited enthusiasm for subject and teaching

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	17276	7785	2344	634	352	185

MEAN: 4.4 MEDIAN: 5 STD. DEV: .8

10. Instructor respected students; sensitive to and concerned with their progress

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	15939	8499	2837	716	411	174

MEAN: 4.4 MEDIAN: 5 STD. DEV: .9

11. Instructor was available and helpful

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	14446	8686	4334	565	334	211

MEAN: 4.3 MEDIAN: 5 STD. DEV: .9

12. Instructor was fair in evaluating students

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	14342	9168	3779	655	354	278

MEAN: 4.3 MEDIAN: 5 STD. DEV: .9

13. Instructor was effective as a teach overall

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	15553	8924	2576	872	421	230

MEAN: 4.4 MEDIAN: 5 STD. DEV: .9

14. The syllabus clearly explained the structure of the courses

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	14752	9966	2643	650	322	243

MEAN: 4.3 MEDIAN: 5 STD. DEV: .8

15. The examinations reflected the materials covered during the course

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	13947	9619	3359	827	384	440

MEAN: 4.3 MEDIAN: 4 STD. DEV: .9

16. The required readings contributed to my learning

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	11254	9982	5145	1225	560	410

MEAN: 4.1 MEDIAN: 4 STD. DEV: 1

17. The assignments Contributed to my learning

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	12162	10424	4111	850	395	634

MEAN: 4.2 MEDIAN: 4 STD. DEV: .9

18. Supplementary materials (e.g. films, slides, videos, guest lectures, iLearn, web pages, etc) were informative

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	11344	9227	5737	868	585	815

MEAN: 4.1 MEDIAN: 4 STD. DEV: 1

19. The course overall as a learning experience was excellent

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	12456	9939	3813	1176	588	604

MEAN: 4.2 MEDIAN: 4 STD. DEV: 1

20. Q1

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	769	453	248	47	50	27009

MEAN: 4.2 MEDIAN: 4 STD. DEV: 1

21. Q2

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	648	295	184	92	99	27258

MEAN: 4 MEDIAN: 4 STD. DEV: 1.3

22. Q3

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	471	221	160	59	39	27626

MEAN: 4.1 MEDIAN: 4 STD. DEV: 1.1

23. Q4

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	448	243	137	25	43	27680

MEAN: 4.1 MEDIAN: 4.5 STD. DEV: 1.1

24. Q5

	High				Low	N/A
	(5)	(4)	(3)	(2)	(1)	(0)
NUMBER	376	152	85	6	13	27944

MEAN: 4.4 MEDIAN: 5 STD. DEV: .9

UCR STUDENT EVALUATION OF TEACHING FORM
OFFICE OF INSTRUCTIONAL DEVELOPMENT
FALL QUARTER 2006

Instructor: Young, Neal E.
Home Dept.: Computer Science & Engineering
Enrollment: 22 (Excluding auditors and concurrently enrolled students)

Course: Computer Science 141
INTERMED DATA STRUCS & ALGORITHM

Number of Forms Returned: 21

Tracking Number: 91

Below are the comments submitted by the students enrolled in the above listed course. All comments have been typed exactly as they were written, including any misspelling, grammatical errors, or punctuation errors. All comments submitted by a given student are grouped in a single paragraph, with a space separating the comments of different students. The number of students writing comments may be less than the number of forms returned because some of the students choose not to make comments.

The comments have been ordered on the basis of student responses (5-Strongly Agree , followed by 4-Agree, etc...) to the following questions: Section 2 - 1A: I had a strong desire to take this course. Section 2 - 8B: Instructor was effective as a teacher overall Section 2 - 6C: The course overall as a learning experience was excellent The comments of students who did not respond to the questions were typed last. It is hoped this ordering system will provide a useful but unbiased grouping of comments.

1. Alright Homie.
2. Great teacher, very informative, always there to help.
3. A++
4. He was always willing to help. Overall he was a great teacher and really cared that the students understand the material.
5. Young stayed late when asked, was informative, friendly, and very approachable. I complain about professors a lot but I have no complaints about Dr. Young. The challenge problemss were fun and motivating too!!
6. World is complicated.
7. Great teacher.